

Original Paper

FISHING CAPACITY OF THE SMALL-PELAGIC FISHERY AT BANDA SEA, MOLUCCAS

Johanis Hiariey¹⁾ and Mulyono S. Baskoro^{2)*)}

¹⁾ Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Pattimura University, Ambon, Indonesia

²⁾ Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Bogor Agriculture University, Bogor, Indonesia

Received : March, 23, 2010 ; Accepted : November, 30, 2010

ABSTRACT

Excessive fishing capacity is a core issue in marine capture fisheries. In relation with the capacity issue, this study was conducted to determine annual changes of fishing capacity of the small-pelagic fishery at FMA-714 Banda Sea using time-series data of 1985 until 2006 which was analyzed using data envelopment analysis (DEA) approach. The small-pelagic fishery was found to be excess capacity in 17 out of 22 DMU. And there was indication of overcapacity in the period of 1989 until 1998. The fishery had a tendency to be not efficient with the highest score of 23.7% at DMU-1998. Consequently, alternative fishery management policies are needed to reduce fishing inputs of the fishery at the FMA-714 Banda Sea.

Key words: Small-pelagic fishery; fishing capacity; data envelopment analysis; Banda sea

Correspondence: Phone : +62-81343145411; email: johanishiariey@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Fisheries Management Area (FMA)-Banda Sea, so-called "the FMA-714 Banda Sea" (Nurhakim, *et al.*, 2007) is one of the important region in Moluccas which contains abundant small-pelagic fish resources (DKP RI, 2006). Most of the resources are exploited by fishers that inhabit in coastal region of Central Moluccas and its surrounding area.

Exploitation of the small-pelagic fish at the FMA-714 Banda Sea has grown rapidly since early 1980's. In 2005 the exploitation attained 146,470 tonnes (DKP RI, 2006), while the resource's potential was estimated approximately 132,000 tonnes per year (BRKP and LIPI, 2001). On the other side, based on the last two-year observation on the small-pelagic fishing activities in Central Moluccas and Ambon City there was indication of declining catch per unit effort (CPUE) of the purse-seine and lift-net gears.

Control of the exploitation can be performed on the basis of fishing capacity which is useful information for policy's consideration. Fishing capacity is a maximum capital stock of fisheries that can be fully

utilized at a maximum technical efficiency at a certain time and a market condition (Kirkley and Squires, 1998). The excessive capacity has become crucial and global issue in world's fisheries. FAO, for instance, has already given a great attention to the crucial issue since 1999 through its suggestion to manage the world's fisheries including Indonesia on the basis of fishing capacity; that is efficient, equitable, and transparent (Fauzi, 2005).

Dimension of fishing capacity is an important measurement of the capacity that provides strategic information for the direction of policy's implementation when there is an indication of declining catches. The dimension can be measured by data envelopment analysis (DEA) approach. The technique of measurement of performance can be applied to evaluate a relative efficiency of decision making unit (DMU) at any activity and a certain periode of time. This technique is an input and output orientation developed by Charnes, Cooper, and Rhodes or CCR and then continued by Färe, *et al.*, 2000. (Fauzi and Anna, 2005). Using the technique in fisheries

was introduced by Kirkley and Squires, 1998. The technique is able to determine whether a fishery activity at certain waters is efficient in term of economic overfishing when production decreases because of imbalance of fishing inputs and fish stocks.

The DEA technique is a non-parametric method that uses mathematical programming to determine optimal solution that is subject to existing constraints (Kirkley, *et al.*, 2004). Efficiency measure from DEA analysis is a free value because it is based on data available without taking consideration from decision making (Korhunen, *et al.*, *vide* Fauzi and Anna, 2005).

Differences in measure unit of inputs and/or outputs of capture fishery can be analyzed by the technique (van Hoof and de Wide, 2005). Results from DEA measurement is a relative technical efficiency on the optimal frontier technology in terms of "best practice" of fishing fleets. The frontier technology of output orientation is the combination of optimal input i.e. tonnage, engine power, and fish catch.

General strengths of the DEA approach are: (i) able to estimate fishing capacity under constrains of policy's implementation such as total allowable catch or TAC, vessel size, tax, and socio-economic constrains (Kirkley and Squires, 1998); (ii) able to accommodate a non-discrete input and output, and to determine a level of maximum potential from input variables and their optimal rates; (iii) possible to make a combination of input variable, output variable, fixed input, and fishing enterprise characteristics in terms of maximum outputs and minimum inputs. Therefore, the technique is useful for estimating capacity and evaluating efficiency of fisheries policy at any fishery's region.

Fishery studies using the DEA approach have just been developed for the last two decades. In Indonesia, study of using the technique in fisheries is extremely lacking, and recent studies are as follows. A research conducted by Effendi (2007) used the DEA technique to measure fishing capacity in terms of inefficiency and/or overcapacity of the purse-seine fishery of Pekalongan. An application of the DEA was also carried out by (Desniarti, 2007) to determine fishing capacity of the small-pelagic fishery at the West Sumatera waters. In addition, Hiariey (2009) applied the DEA to analyze the status of pelagic fish at

Moluccas waters. Results of the studies imply that fishing efficiency is declining, and the exploitation of fish resource at each area of the study tends to be overcapacity.

Kirkley and Squires (1998) stated that fishing capacity can be measured in the basis of the resource stocks available and/or unavailable. With regards to the term of available resource stock, fishing capacity is the output maximum potential that can be produced at a given resource rate. Capacity measure based on the unavailable resource stock can be assumed as potential output that is produced without resource constraints. The latter concept of the measurement was utilized in this paper; hence, rate of available resource is not treated as input. The purpose of this paper is to study annual changes of fishing capacity of small-pelagic fishery at the FMA-714 Banda Sea, Moluccas.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Data Collection

Data of the small-pelagic were derived from annually published data by the Provincial Fisheries Offices located in Ambon as well as by the Department of Marine Affairs and Fisheries in Jakarta. The data were also derived from all Regencies Fisheries Offices and Ambon City of the Province Moluccas except for Regency of Aru Islands. This is because the Banda Sea covers most area of the regencies and/or the city of Moluccas. In addition, data from the fishing industries in Moluccas and the Scientific Research Reports of the small-pelagic fisheries in the Banda Sea were combined with the province and regencies data so as to obtain appropriate data by small-pelagic species, fishing gears, and fishing efforts. The data collected cover 22 time-series data from 1985 until 2006, and were considerably reviewed and computed. The fishing gears and fishing effort were then treated as variable inputs, while catch as a variable output. Each annual time-series data is treated as a DMU that is used as a basis of evaluation for development of capacity's performance.

In this paper, efficiency is typically represented by the fish production to fishing input ratio. A "maximum" efficiency, in terms of comparison across DMU, is then

characterized by notion of the highest ratio of fish production and fishing inputs that has been reached. Thus, a relative efficiency of fishing input utilization that is attained in the small-pelagic fishery is not more than 100%.

Data Analysis

Assumed that input and output transformation on fishery production function is variable return to scale or VRS, DEA model used in this paper was designed and modified following the model developed by Banker, Charnes, and Cooper or BCC (Fauzi and Anna, 2005). The specification of model is able to analyze the efficiency of economic activity that is VRS. The DEA model of input minimization with VRS assumption is formulated as follows,

$$TE = \text{Min } \theta$$

Subject to the following restrictions,

$$\theta u_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^J z_j u_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^J z_j x_{jn} \leq x_{jn}, \quad n \in \alpha$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^J z_j = 1$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^J z_j x_{jn} = \lambda_j x_{jn}, \quad n \in \hat{\alpha}$$

$$z_j \geq 0, \quad \lambda_j \geq 0, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, J, \quad n=1, 2, \dots, N$$

Where $j=1, 2, \dots, J$ is total year observation, or 22 DMU's. Fishing gears and fishing trips are treated as variable inputs, hence $n=1, 2$, and TE = technical efficiency of the- j^{th} year;

θ = value of measurement for each observation (≥ 1)

u_j = output of the- j^{th} year, that is one output (catch fish)

x_{jn} = the- n input used, consist of 2 inputs (total fishing effort and total fishing gear)

λ_j = utilization rate of the- n variable input

z_j = utilization rate of variables.

The modified DEA model is used to find out outputs produced by fishing effort without reducing inputs, and to compute how many fishing gears that must be reduced without any changes of outputs. Therefore, the analysis of technical efficiency with the VRS and input orientation is used to determine input utilization

rates in producing outputs, in addition to describe technical efficiency of each DMU. The use of the specific model is based on the assumptions that (i) there is still budget constraint faced by fishing units in capture small-pelagic fish at the FMA-714 Banda Sea; (ii) not all fishing units are operating in optimum scale. Consequently, the BCC model of DEA can be utilized to analyze technical efficiency.

According to Cooper *et al.*, (2000) *vide* (Lindebo, 2004), degrees of freedom for DEA analysis will increase if the DMU increases. On the contrary, the degrees of freedom will decrease if variable input and output increase. A rule of thumb of the significant level at the minimum total observation is: $n \geq \max \{m^*s, 3(m+s)\}$; where: n = number of minimum observation; m = total inputs used; and s = number of output.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A Short Description of Small-Pelagic Fisheries

The dominant fishing gears used by fishers in catching small-pelagic fishes at coastal waters of the FMA-714 Banda Sea are of purse seine, gill net, lift net, and beach seine. The purse seine, locally named "jaring bobo" among them, is the most productive fishing gear in that area. The season for small-pelagic fish comes about in March until October or the east season (Merta, *et al.*, 1998) very related to the upwelling process occurred in the Banda Sea in the season.

The development of the small-pelagic fishery started in mid-1970's by introducing of a mini-purse seine powered by outboard engine "ketinting". In mid-1980's the purse seine was continuously developed by local fishers with gear size of 250m long and 75 m wide and boat size of 22 m long, 3 m wide, and 1.5 m high. The boat is powered by outboard engine of Yamaha 120 HP (horsepower).

The average production of the small pelagic per DMU amounted to 27,145.87 tonnes, with the average fishing effort of 582,214 trips and fishing gear of 6,005 units.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the small-pelagic fishery per DMU

Variables	N	Average	Std. deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Production	22	27145.87	10636.5947	14225.72	62314.70
Effort	22	582214	191293.0643	176901	771741
Fishing gear	22	6005	2703.17	3051	11658

Changes of production for the small-pelagic fishery as shown at **Fig. 1** are fluctuated and tended to increase from 2001 until 2006. The lowest production was found in 1985, and the highest in 2006. Allocation of actual fishing trips represented in **Fig. 2** varied and tended to increase from 1985 to 1998, then declined from

1999 to 2006. Allocation of fishing unit relatively increased from 1985 to 1998, and then sharply increased from 1999 to 2006 (**Fig. 3**). Trends of declining fishing effort in the period 1999 to 2006 were due possibly to decreasing efforts as impact of the social conflict in Moluccas in the 1999.




Fig. 1 Production of the small pelagic fish of 1985-2006.




Fig. 2 Allocation of fishing effort of the small pelagic fishery of 1985-2006.




Fig. 3 Fishing gears of the small pelagic fish of 1985-2006.

Fishing Efficiency of Small-Pelagic Fishery

Results of the DEA analysis provide the efficiency of input utilization in terms of increasing, constant, and decreasing to scale. Annual efficiency is fluctuated in accordance with the fishing efficiency scale, and the most efficiency changes are categorized as increasing rate to scale. These characteristics describe proportion of the rate of input utilization that is lower than the rate of output increases. Fluctuation of the input utilization efficiency was due to declining of fishing effort in period 1985 to 2006, but fishing fleet and production tended to increase.

The results of DEA in **Table 2** provide a dimension of relative efficiency for the small-pelagic fishery. There are six out of twenty-two DMU which have efficiency score equaled to 1 and this score is used as a base value in determining relative efficiency. The score 1

with constant scale was found at DMU-1987, DMU-2005, and DMU-2006. Therefore, those DMU were fully efficient. Efficiency score of input utilization in the period of 1985 to 2006 ranged from 0.763 to 1. These values imply that efficiency of all the fishing inputs are greater than 70 percent. Färe, *et al.*, (2000), suggests that if the efficiency of input is less than 1, the input used is not efficient. The inefficiency score can be calculated by 1 minus the efficiency score. Efficiency value in 1989 diminished to 83% compared to 1987. The increase in efficiency was found in period 1989 to 1992 because proportion of increasing production was larger than that of fishing unit, but proportion of fishing trip got smaller. Relative efficiency in period 2000-2004 was less than that of period 2005–2006. The lower rate of efficiency in the period of 2000- 2004 was due to increasing in production, fishing unit, and declining in trip.

Table 2. Efficiency of the small pelagic fishery at the FMA-714 Banda Sea

No.	DMU (year)	BCC-Score (VRS)	Scale
1.	1985	1.000	Increasing
2.	1986	0.909	Increasing
3.	1987	1.000	Constant
4.	1988	1.000	Increasing
5.	1989	0.838	Increasing
6.	1990	0.897	Increasing
7.	1991	0.931	Increasing
8.	1992	0.951	decreasing
9.	1993	0.915	increasing
10.	1994	0.882	increasing
11.	1995	0.925	decreasing
12.	1996	0.849	increasing
13.	1997	0.881	increasing
14.	1998	0.763	increasing
15.	1999	0.931	increasing
16.	2000	1.000	increasing
17.	2001	0.869	increasing
18.	2002	0.903	increasing
19.	2003	0.890	increasing
20.	2004	0.849	increasing
21.	2005	1.000	constant
22.	2006	1.000	constant

Computed by the DEAP Version 2.1

The lowest score of efficiency of 76.3% was found in 1998 because of increased fishing unit of 11.9%, and decreased production of 16%. With an assumption that condition of decreasing return to scale occurred at the lowest efficiency, the management of small-pelagic fishery indicated overcapacity at fishing industry level. The indication of overcapacity was also shown by the most technical efficiency scores that are less than 1. In addition, the potential of increasing inputs of DMU based on the DEA analysis has a negative sign that points to overcapacity on the small-scale fishery at the FMA-714 Banda Sea. This implies that management of the small-pelagic fishery is not efficient.

The optimal use of input with efficiency scale of "constant" that was found in the DMU-1987, DMU-2005, and DMU-2006 denoted that all inputs were fully utilized; hence there was no potential to allocate more inputs in the fishery. In this regard the management is fully efficient. In DMU-1995 there was the inefficiency of 0.925 under decreasing return to scale. To use inputs optimally, fishing trip should be decreased by 9.13% and fishing unit by 7.45% as well. In 2001 inefficiency valued at 0.869. To reach input utilization optimally, each input of the fishing unit and fishing effort should be decreased by 13.08%, and production should be increased by 5.79%.

Managing Fishing Capacity

Most DMU show excess capacity, except for the DMU-1985, DMU-1987, DMU-1988, DMU-2000, DMU-2005 and DMU-2006. The excess capacity is the difference between target input and actual input. The highest value of the excess capacity occurred at DMU-1998 of 23.71%, and followed by DMU-1989 (16.21%), DMU-1996 (15.21%), and DMU-2004 (15.04%). The excess capacity indicated that input allocation is relatively high in the small-pelagic fishery. Kirkley *et al.*, (2004) stated that efficiency score of DMU less than 1 can be classified as excess capacity, and in the long-term it will cause overcapacity. Indication of excess capacity of the small-pelagic fishery at the FMA-714 Banda Sea is an important factor to explore for future management of sustainable fisheries.

The excess capacity that continuously existed at the FMA-714 Banda Sea from 1989 to 1998 indicated that overcapacity has occurred in the management of the small-pelagic fishery. This indication was also relevant to a tendency of declining CPUE in the period of time. The overcapacity was due to the increasing of demand for fishery production which imposed fishing fleets to heavily exploit fish stocks. This was in turn to gradually deplete fish resources, and input utilization in the small-pelagic fishery tended to become inefficient. This finding is consistent with the work of Salayo *et al.*, (2008) on the management of fishing capacity in small-scale fisheries in three Southeast Asian countries, i.e. Cambodia, Philippines, and Thailand. They concluded that excess capacity leads to a number of negative impacts such as overfishing, environmental degradation, economic wastage, and resource use conflicts.

Although the actual production was inclined to be increased from 1985 to 2006, the fishing effort tended to be declined. These changes showed that the fishers reduced their fishing activities to increase efficiency of the production as well as economic rent. However, the reducing of fishing activities was slightly inconsistent with an effort of the fishers in increasing a number of fishing gears in the same period. The strategy conducted by the fishers aimed to improve the productivity individually because they often belong to the poorest segment of the coastal communities. They are not likely to accept the prospect of being prevented from engaging in fishing activities (Salayo, *et al.*, 2008).

Status of fishing capacity and policy of input utilization from 1985 to 2006 is presented in **Table 4**. The status can be grouped as the following; (i) fishing level of 1985 was fully utilized and it was needed policies to maintain that status; (ii) fishing level of 1986 indicated excess capacity and it was needed to reduce fishing gears and fishing effort by 349 unit and 55,800 trips respectively to reach fully utilized status; (iii) fishing levels in 1987 and 1988 were fully utilized, and needed a strategy to maintain the status; (iv) fishing level from 1989 to 1999 was excess capacity and in the long-term period has indicated overcapacity.

Table 3. Excess capacity, actual input, and target input of the small-pelagic fishery

DMU/ Year	Actual inputs ^b		Target inputs ^a		Excess capacity ^c			
	Effort	Fishing gears	Effort	Fishing gears	Effort		Fishing Gears	
	(trip)	(unit)	(trip)	(unit)	(trip)	%	(unit)	%
1985	571 554	3 051	571 554	3 051	0	0.00	0	0.00
1986	611 709	3 831	555 909	3 482	-55 800	-9.12	-349	-9.12
1987	716 172	3 898	716 172	3 898	0	0.00	0	0.00
1988	671 393	3 935	671 393	3 935	0	0.00	0	0.00
1989	741 059	4 014	620 935	3 363	-120 124	-16.21	-651	-16.21
1990	722 333	4 158	647 865	3 729	-74 468	-10.31	-429	-10.31
1991	771 741	4 182	715 240	3 893	-56 501	-7.32	-289	-6.92
1992	768 626	4 299	705 114	4 090	-63 512	-8.26	-209	-4.85
1993	722 712	4 338	661 204	3 969	-61 508	-8.51	-369	-8.51
1994	738 624	4 741	651 232	4 180	-87 392	-11.83	-561	-11.83
1995	720 247	5 371	654 486	4 971	-65 761	-9.13	-400	-7.45
1996	712 503	5 488	605 217	4 662	-107 286	-15.06	-826	-15.06
1997	735 705	5 159	647 836	4 543	-87 869	-11.94	-616	-11.94
1998	738 011	5 773	563 007	4 404	-175 004	-23.71	-1369	-23.71
1999	561 427	4 809	522 461	4 475	-38 966	-6.94	-334	-6.94
2000	399 399	5 734	399 399	5 734	0	0.00	0	0.00
2001	457 296	6 654	397 460	5 783	-59 836	-13.08	-871	-13.08
2002	361 767	8 393	326 780	7 581	-34 987	-9.67	-812	-9.67
2003	333 791	9 994	297 106	8 896	-36 685	-10.99	-1098	-10.99
2004	305 815	11 231	259 788	9 541	-46 027	-15.05	-1690	-15.05
2005	176 901	11 394	176 901	11 394	0	0.00	0	0.00
2006	269 917	11 658	269 917	11 658	0	0.00	0	0.00

^aComputed by the DEAP Version 2.1

^bFrom the raw data

^cTarget input minus actual input

It was needed a strategy of reducing the fishing gears by 550 unit and the fishing effort by 85,308 trips as well; (v) fishing level in 2000 showed fully utilized status and needed to be maintained; (vi) fishing level of 2001-2004 was excess capacity and needed to reduce the capacity; and (vii) fishing level of 2005 and 2006 showed fully utilized and needed to be maintained. The status of fishing capacity and the implementation of the above policies which is based on the technical measures aimed at balancing of fishing inputs and production. The type of managing fishing capacity is relevant with the one which was recommended by FAO (2008).

Approaches to managing excess fishing capacity in the small-scale fisheries can be grouped into effort reduction, gears/fishing area/temporal restrictions, and alternative and supplemental livelihoods generating for fishermen (Salayo, *et al.*, 2008). These approaches are considered as an appropriate solution to manage the excess fishing capacity in the small-pelagic fishery at FMA-714 Banda Sea.

Annual change of the fishing capacity needed a temporal management of the small-

pelagic fishery. This means the annual allocation of inputs in fishing should be managed efficiently to reduce capital waste in the fishery. To attain a higher efficiency of fishing, it was needed a strategy for reducing fishing capacity through a control mechanism such as reduction of investment, adjustment of fishing gears, setting of fishing period and fishing ground (Kirkley, *et al.*, 2004; FAO (2008).

Indications of excess capacity and overcapacity at the small-pelagic fishery require policy strategies of the optimal allocation of inputs based on the fishing capacity. The strategies aim to balance the level of fishing gears and trips with the sustainability of the small-pelagic resource. That is, the use of inputs in fishing should consider fish stocks to improve economic rent and to reduce degradation of the stocks as well. FAO (2008) suggested that at the open-access fishery such as fishery at the FMA-714 Banda Sea, it is required to limit gears into the fishing industry to decrease fishing capacity.

Table 4. Status of the fishing capacity and strategy of input use for the small-pelagic fishery

Period/ Year	Excess capacity		Status of the capacity	Strategy of input utilization
	Effort (trip)	Fishing gear (unit)		
1985	0	0	Fully utilized	Maintained
1986	-55 800	-349	Excess capacity	Reduced
1987	0	0	Fully utilized	Maintained
1988	0	0	Fully utilized	Maintained
1989	-120 124	-651	Overcapacity	Reduced
1990	-74 468	-429	Overcapacity	Reduced
1991	-56 501	-289	Overcapacity	Reduced
1992	-63 512	-209	Overcapacity	Reduced
1993	-61 508	-369	Overcapacity	Reduced
1994	-87 392	-561	Overcapacity	Reduced
1995	-65 761	-400	Overcapacity	Reduced
1996	-107 286	-826	Overcapacity	Reduced
1997	-87 869	-616	Overcapacity	Reduced
1998	-175 004	-1369	Overcapacity	Reduced
1999	-38 966	-334	Overcapacity	Reduced
2000	0	0	Fully utilized	Maintained
2001	-59 836	-871	Excess capacity	Reduced
2002	-34 987	-812	Excess capacity	Reduced
2003	-36 685	-1098	Excess capacity	Reduced
2004	-46 027	-1690	Excess capacity	Reduced
2005	0	0	Fully utilized	Maintained
2006	0	0	Fully utilized	Maintained

in addition, other mechanism in managing capacity would be gear and vessel restrictions. Such gear restriction of minimum mesh size should be particularly implemented to lift-net fishery to lessen fishing mortality of the small-pelagic fish in the coastal waters.

In the context of managing excess capacity of the small-pelagic fishery at the FMA-714 Banda Sea, an alternative and supplemental livelihood as suggested by Salayo, *et al.*, (2008) would provide supplemental income to the fishers and their households. The alternative livelihood may be related to the fisheries sector such as seaweeds farming, and/or outside the sector such as livestock raising and vegetable gardening. The new alternative and supplemental livelihood, which provides an income that is at least equal to what they are obtaining from fishing, is considered as a key pre-condition to moving out of fishing. The strategy for exit from the fisheries should take into account the technical, social, economic, and political feasibility in addition to management supports and integrated approach (Salayo, *et al.*, 2008).

CONCLUSION

Fishing capacity of the small pelagic in the period of 1985 until 2006 can be analyzed using the DEA approach. The results contribute some findings on how to temporarily manage the open-access fishery that is indicative of excess capacity, and overcapacity at fishing industry level. The findings include annually estimated technical efficiency and its scale, the optimal allocation of fishing inputs, status of fishing capacity, and strategy of input utilization. Annual changes in fishing capacity of the fishery at the FMA-714 Banda Sea should be managed wisely to improve economic rent and to reduce resource degradation for sustainable fisheries management in future. That is, fishing inputs should be allocated optimally in terms of fishing capacity. This optimal allocation can be done through a Fishery Management Plan as a policy's strategy established by stakeholders with the province government as an initiator. Implementation of the strategy will create a condition for balancing input utilization with the existing resource stocks towards sustainable fisheries development.

REFERENCES

- [BRKP] Badan Riset Kelautan Perikanan dan LIPI, Jakarta. 2001. Pengkajian Stok Ikan di Perairan Indonesia. Kerjasama PRPT-BRKP-DKP dan PPPO-LIPI, Jakarta. 125 hal. (in Indonesian)
- Desniarti. 2007. *Analisis Kapasitas Perikanan Pelagis di Perairan Pesisir Provinsi Sumatera Barat*. [Disertasi]. Sekolah Pascasarjana, Institut Pertanian Bogor. 181 hal. (in Indonesian)
- [DKP RI] Departemen Kelautan dan Perikanan Republik Indonesia. 2006. Statistik Kelautan dan Perikanan Tahun 2005. Departemen Kelautan dan Perikanan, Republik Indonesia. 314 hal. (in Indonesian)
- Effendi, S.E. 2007. *Analisis Kapasitas berlebih Perikanan Pukat Cincin Pekalongan dalam Kerangka Kebijakan Perikanan Tangkap di Laut Jawa dan Sekitarnya*. [Thesis]. Sekolah Pascasarjana, Institut Pertanian Bogor. 126 hal. (in Indonesian)
- [FAO] Food and Agriculture Organization. 2008. Fisheries Management: 3. Managing fishing capacity. *FAO Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fisheries*. No.4 Suppl.3. Roma, FAO. 104p.
- Färe R., S. Gosskopf and E. Kokkenlenberg. 2000. *Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA): A Framework for Assessing Capacity in Fisheries When Data are Limited*, Presented at the International Institute of Fisheries Economics and Trade Conference. IIFET X 2000, July.
- Fauzi, A. 2005. Kebijakan Perikanan dan Kelautan. Isu, Sintesis, dan Gagasan. PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama. 185hal. (in Indonesian)
- Fauzi, A. and S. Anna. 2005. *Pemodelan Sumberdaya Perikanan dan Kelautan. Untuk Analisis Kebijakan*. PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama, Jakarta. 343hal. (in Indonesian)
- Hiariey, J. 2009. *Status Eksploitasi Sumberdaya Ikan Pelagis Kecil di Perairan Maluku dan Kapasitas Penangkapannya*. [Disertasi]. Sekolah Pascasarjana, Institut Pertanian Bogor. 259hal. (in Indonesian)
- Kirkley, J.E and D. Squires. 1998. *Measuring Capacity and Capacity Utilization in Fisheries*. Background Paper Prepared for FAO Technical Working Group on the Management of Fishing Capacity, La Jolla, USA, 160pp. Forthcoming, FAO Fisheries Report.
- Kirkley, J. E., C.J.M. Paul and D. Squires. 2004. *Deterministic and Stochastic Capacity Estimation for Fishery Capacity Reduction*. *Marine Resources Economics*, Marine Resources Foundation, USA. Vol 19: 271-294.
- Lindebo, E. 2004. *Capacity Indicators of the European Fishing Fleet: Analytical Approach and Data Aggregation*. Presented at the XVth EAFE Conference, Brest, May.
- Merta, I.G.S., S. Nurhakim, and J.Widodo. 1998. *Sumberdaya Perikanan Pelagis Kecil. Dalam: Potensi dan Penyebaran Sumberdaya Ikan Laut di Perairan Indonesia*. (J. Widodo, K.A. Aziz, B.E. Priyono, G.H. Tambubolon, N. Naamin, and A. Djamali, eds). Komisi Nasional Pengkajian Stok Sumberdaya Ikan Laut, Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia. hal 89-106. (in Indonesian)
- Nurhakim, S., V.P.H. Nikijuluw, D. Nograho and B.I. Prisanto. 2007. *Status Perikanan Menurut Wilayah Pengelolaan. Informasi Dasar Pemanfaatan Berkelanjutan*. Pusat Riset Perikanan Tangkap, BRKP, Departemen Kelautan dan Perikanan. 47 hal. (in Indonesian)
- Salayo, N., L. Garces, M. Pido, K. Viswanathan, R. Pomeroy, M. Ahmed, I. Siason, K. Seng, and A. Massae. 2008. *Managing Excess Capacity in Small-scale Fisheries: Perspectives from Stakeholders in three Southeast Asian Countries*. *Marine Policy*. The *Int. J. Mar Aff.*

32:692-700. Copyright © 2010 Elsevier
B.V.
Van Hoof and de Wide W. 2005. Capacity
Assessment of the Dutch Beam-Trawler

Fleet Using Data Envelopment
Analysis (DEA). *Marine Resources
Economics*. Marine Resources
Foundation, USA 20(4): 327-345.