Mitochondrial COI Haplotype Diversity of *Rhynchobatus australiae* Collected from Ketapang Fish Port, Bangka Belitung Islands

Novita Permata Putri[1], Irwani[1], Munasik Munasik[1]*, Ranny Ramadhani Yuneni[2], Faqih Akbar Alghozali[2], Angka Mahardini[3]

[1]Department of Marine Science, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Diponegoro University
Jl. Prof. H. Soedarto, S.H, Tembalang, Semarang, Jawa Tengah 50275 Indonesia
[2]WWF Indonesia
Graha Simatupang Tower 2 Unit C Lt 7th-11th, Jl. Tahi Bonar Simatupang Ps. Minggu, Kota Jakarta Selatan, Daerah Khusus Ibukota Jakarta, 12540 Indonesia
[3]Jeju Marine Research Center, Korea Institute of Ocean Science and Technology (KIOST)
2670 Iljudong-ro, Gujwa-eup, Jeju, Jeju Island, 63349, Republic of Korea
Email: munasikmotawi@gmail.com

Abstract

Rhynchobatus australiae is a member of the Rhinidae family and can be found in the Indo Pacific. This species is categorized as Critically Endangered according to The International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) Red List and listed as Appendix II Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), due to population declines. Sustainable fisheries management is urgently needed. Information related to genetic diversity is one of the most important aspects of information for appropriate sustainable fisheries management. Therefore, this research aims to investigate the genetic diversity of Rhynchobatus australiae collected from Ketapang Fish Port Bangka Belitung Islands. Total 21 samples were collected at Ketapang Fish Port. DNA extraction was carried out using the 10% chelex method and amplification was done through PCR method on the mitochondrial DNA using Fish BCL and Fish BCH primer. Sequences in size of 653 base pairs were successfully obtained from all fish samples showing the existence of 2 clades from the construction of the phylogeny tree with close genetic distance. Results showed high haplotype diversity (Hd: 0.733 ± 0.082) and low nucleotide diversity (π: 0.00176± 0.00036) with 6 polymorphic sites (S) from 7 unique haplotypes (h). This research provides basic information of genetic diversity of Rhynchobatus australiae collected from Ketapang Fish Port and complements other information to better understand the status of the threatened Rhynchobatus australiae population.

Keywords: *Genetic Diversity, Wedgefish, Rhynchobatus australiae, Bangka Belitung Islands*

Introduction

Sharks and rays are vulnerable to extinction due to their slow growth and sex maturity level. Despite the long cycle of reproduction exhibited by sharks and rays, only a few offspring are successfully born (Stevens *et al*., 2000). Fahmi and Darmadi (2005), stated that from the beginning of 1988 the demand for shark and rays' fin has grown and it leads to a significant increase in sharks and rays' fins trading activities. Three Chondrichtyans in the Rhinidae Family are considered the most vulnerable, this includes the Rhynchobatus (Dulvy *et al*., 2014).

Rhynchobatus australiae is endemic to the Indo-West Pacific and can be found in Southeast Asia and Australia including Thailand, Taiwan and Indonesia to the Australian sub-tropics (Last *et al.,* 2013; Giles *et al*., 2016). *R. australiae* population-level in certain areas are declining significantly for almost 80% (Jabado *et al*., 2019). This species is commonly caught as a target catch that are sought primarily or else they are caught unintentionally in fishing gears such as gillnets, trawl and longlines (Kurniawan *et al*., 2017). The largest fishing ground for *R. australiae* are located in WPP 711, 712 and 713, among them are The Java Sea, Flores Sea, The Natuna Sea, Makassar Strait, Malacca Strait and Karimata Strait (Yuwandana *et al.,* 2020). *Rhynchobatus* spp. more mobile than the predominantly sedentary batoid species but spends part of their time motionless at the bottom and thus has a medium-sized activity space (White *et al.,* 2014).

Ketapang Fish Port is a fish landing site under the region of WPP 711. The condition of natural fisheries resources is at an alarming state, it is shown by the result of density measurement toward rays that

*) Corresponding author

https://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/ijms
DOI: 10.14710/ik.ijms.27.2.133-140
Received : 24-01-2022
Accepted : 13-04-2022

were caught in the WPP 711 in November 2017. From the measurement, only 68 kg.km^{-2} of average density of rays caught by trawl was collected. The occurrence was caused by high fishing activities in the area (Yusuf *et al*., 2018).

Genetic diversity of species in a certain population is advantageous because it enables some individuals to adapt and survive from many conditions of the environment while maintaining the survival of the population (Booy *et al*., 2000). Genetic diversity helps the population maintain its health as it allows every gen a specific yet varies response to environmental change (Yusron, 2005). However, biological data and information availaible on the genetic diversity of *R. australiae* is still limited. This research aims to study the genetic diversity of *Rhynchobatus australiae* collected from Ketapang Fish Port.

Materials and Methods

Study site and sample collection

Total of 21 samples of *R. australiae* were collected from Ketapang Fish Port, Bangka Belitung Islands, Indonesia (Figure 1.). The specimens obtained came from the waters around Pulau Tiga, Pulau Tujuh to the border of Riau Archipelago. The samples were dissected and preserved using 96% ethanol. Sample of each individual identified based on the description by Giles (2016).

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and electrophoresis

The DNA isolation was conducted using a modified Chelex 100 method. Sample extraction was carried out by placing a 0.5 cm tissue sample comprising 500 µL of Chelex resin (10%) mixed with 7 µL proteinase K (10 mg.mL^{-1}) in an *eppendorf* tube. The mixtures were then incubated using a heat block at 55^{o}C for 90 min to degrade protein. To inactivate proteinase K, incubation continued at 100^{o}C for 20 min (Walsh *et al*., 1991; Galal-Khallaf *et al*., 2014).

The extracted DNA was used as a template for amplification at the cytochrome oxidase I (COI) locus in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). The amplification of the DNA product was performed using the Polymerase Chain Reaction technique with the forward primer fish-BCL (5'–TCA ACY AAT CAY AAA GAT ATY GGC AC–3′) and the reverse primer fish-BCH (5'–ACT TCY GGG TGR CCR AAR AAT CA–3′) (Baldwin *et al*., 2009). PCR was carried out in a 26 µL reaction mixture containing 12.5 µL of MyTaq Red Mix, 1.25 µL 10 mM of forward primer, 1.25 µL 10 mM of reverse primer, 1 µL DNA template and 10 µL ddH2O (Alghozali *et al*., 2019).

PCR cycling was adjusted according to the standard protocol of MyTaq Red Mix under the following conditions: initial denaturation for 1 min at 95°C, 34 cycles of denaturation for 15 s at 95°C, annealing for 15 s at 50°C, elongation for 10 s at 72°C, and final elongation for 5 min at 72°C. The amplicons were loaded into 1% agarose gel with



Figure 1. Maps of Ketapang Fish Port, Bangka Belitung Islands, Indonesia

Florosafe DNA Stain, electrophoresed (100 V for 30 min) for band visualization. Amplicons were sent for purification and sequencing to Genetika Science Indonesia.

Data analysis

The mtDNA COI gene sequences from 21 individuals of *R. australiae* were edited using BioEdit software (Hall, 1999). Samples identification were done by using DNA barcoding. Sequences of samples were compared to the highest identity percentage value on the DNA database sequence contained in the BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information). Each sample was then submitted to Genbank under the accession number of MW509711-30. Phylogenetic tree reconstruction was analyzed using several sequences from GenBank as their ingroup and outgroup. The method used was Neighbor-Joining with the Kimura-2-Parameter model (Saitou and Nei, 1987) and using bootstrap of 1000 replications to test the confidence level at each node (Felsenstein, 1985). Pairwise distance tools with the Kimura-2-Parameter model were used to determine the genetic distance. Polymorphic sites, genetic diversity and nucleotide diversity were calculated and unique haplotypes were quantified using DNASP version 4.0 (Librado and Rozas, 2009).

Results and Discussion

Phylogenetic analysis

A segment length of sequenced cytochrome C oxidase I (COI) were visualized with a UV transilluminator and showed DNA bands of 600-700 base pairs (bp). This is consistent with the variation in the target length of the COI gene (Cytochrome Oxidase subunit I), which is between 675 - 820 bp (Lv *et al*., 2014). The percentage identity of all sequences was identified as *R. australiae* with values of 99.40-100% (Table 1.).

The phylogenetic tree resulted in two lineages; clade 1 which consisted most of the collected samples except for two samples (*R. australiae* 17 and *R. australiae* 18) that clustered into clade 2. The pairwises genetic distance were ranging from 0.000 – 0.007 and the furthest was observed between *R. australiae* 17 and *R. australiae* 18.

R. australiae from clade 1 were observed to be closely related to those from Australia, India, Malaysia, Thailand and Papua New Guinea. This results indicated this subtype is widely distributed across the eastern part of the Indo-Pacific region. The low genetic distance between samples originating from WPP 711 and from other regions could be due to population migration in habitat use which affect the phylogeography of this species (Fetzner Jr and Crandall 2001; Ferreira *et al*., 2017).

In addition, the samples in clade 2 are likely to be firstly recorded in the current study. However, whether these variations are endemic to this specific locality, requires further study with more sample numbers from various localities.

Despite the limited studies on seasonal migratory patterns of *R. austra*liae across the wider range of ocean regions, the previous studies revealed its possible pattern between Indonesia and Australia which applied an episodical patterns (Giles *et al.,* 2016). Moreover, Jabado *et al.* (2018) added that the seasonal migratory of Batoids species around the Arabian Sea were related to the life-history stages and events including the birth that occurred around the coastal or shallower waters area. The study by White *et al.* (2013) in northern Australia, examined the residence and spatial ecology of *R. australiae,* provide evidence of certain individuals to leave the area for periods varying from days to weeks.

Genetic diversity

Table 3 and 4 showed that among 21 samples, total 7 haplotypes were discovered with 6 polymorphic sites from 653 sites of COI. The haplotype diversity (Hd) were at moderate level which consistent with the Hd of *R. australiae* two populations in Indonesia, Jakarta and Kalimantan based on control region (Giles *et al*., 2016). Meanwhile, unlike the Hd value, the nucleotide diversity (π) were observed to be relatively low (Table 2) which partially represent a low polymorphism level (Qin *et al*., 2021).

According to the Hd, a relatively high genetic diversity could be observed in this population. Previous study reported that a species with high migration rates like hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna lewini)* tend to have a large population size with high nucleotide substitution and high gene flow which eventually lead to high genetic diversity (Hadi *et al.,* 2020). Thus, it could be assumed that the high genetic diversity of *R. australiae* in Bangka-Belitung Islands was resulted by migratory event.

Moreover, Camacho *et al*. (2017) and Avise (2000) mentioned, the high diversity of haplotypes and the low diversity of nucleotides usually corresponds to a relatively bottleneck event followed by rapid demographic expansion from a small effective population size, assuming this population had sufficient time to form high diversity of haplotypes but insufficient to increase the diversity

Figure 2 Phylogenetic tree of *Rhynchobatus australiae* collected from Ketapang Fish Port Bangka Belitung Islands constructed by Neighbor-Joining method with Kimura 2-parameter model and 1000 bootstrap replications.

Table 1. Results of nucleotide bases BLAST in GenBank

Sample Code	BLAST Results	Identify (%)	Accesion Code
Rhynchobatus australiae 1	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.85%	MW509730
Rhynchobatus australiae 2	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.70%	MW509729
Rhynchobatus australiae 3	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.70%	MW509728
Rhynchobatus australiae 4	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.70%	MW509727
Rhynchobatus australiae 5	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.70%	MW509726
Rhynchobatus australiae 6	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.55%	MW509710
Rhynchobatus australiae 7	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.85%	MW509725
Rhynchobatus australiae 8	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.70%	MW509724
Rhynchobatus australiae 9	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.85%	MW509723
Rhynchobatus australiae 10	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.70%	MW509722
Rhynchobatus australiae 11	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.55%	MW509721
Rhynchobatus australiae 12	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	100.00%	MW509720
Rhynchobatus australiae 13	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.55%	MW509719
Rhynchobatus australiae 14	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.70%	MW509718
Rhynchobatus australiae 15	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.85%	MW509717
Rhynchobatus australiae 16	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.70%	MW509716
Rhynchobatus australiae 17	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.41%	MW509715
Rhynchobatus australiae 18	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.40%	MW509714
Rhynchobatus australiae 19	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.55%	MW509713
Rhynchobatus australiae 20	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.55%	MW509712
Rhynchobatus australiae 21	*Rhynchobatus australiae*	99.55%	MW509711

Table 2. Genetic diversity of *Rhynchobatus australiae* collected from Ketapang Fish Port Bangka Belitung Islands based on number of samples (n), polymorphic sites (S), number of haplotype (h), haplotype diversity (Hd) and nucleotide diversity (π).

n	S	h	Hd ± SD	(π) ± SD
21	6	7	0,733 ± 0.082	0,00176 ± 0,00036

Table 3. Haplotype and Polymorphic Sites

	Polymorphic Sites					
Haplotype	2	3	3	5	6	6
	7	4	8	4	3	3
	0	8	4	4	3	9
Haplotype 1	C	G	A	T	A	A
Haplotype 2	.	.	.	.	G	.
Haplotype 3	A	.	G	.	.	.
Haplotype 4	.	.	G	.	.	G
Haplotype 5	.	.	G	.	.	.
Haplotype 6	.	.	.	C	.	.
Haplotype 7	.	A	.	.	G	.

Table 4. The sample code for each haplotype

Haplotype	Total Sample	Sample Code
Haplotype 1	10	*Rhynchobatus australiae* 1 (MW509730) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 4 (MW509727) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 5 (MW509726) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 6 (MW509710) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 8 (MW509724) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 10 (MW509722) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 13 (MW509719) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 14 (MW509718) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 15 (MW509717) *Rhynchoatus australiae* 20 (MW509712)
Haplotype 2	5	*Rhynchobatus australiae* 2 (MW509729) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 3 (MW509728) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 11 (MW509721) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 16 (MW509716) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 21 (MW509711))
Haplotype 3	1	*Rhynchobatus australiae* 7 (MW509725)
Haplotype 4	1	*Rhynchobatus australiae* 9 (MW509723)
Haplotype 5	1	*Rhynchobatus australiae* 12 (MW509720)
Haplotype 6	2	*Rhynchobatus australiae* 17 (MW509715) *Rhynchobatus australiae* 18 (MW509714)
Haplotype 7	1	*Rhynchobatus australiae* 19 (MW509713)

of nucleotides. Population bottleneck is an event that reduces the size of a population, limiting the genetic diversity of a species that can be caused by various events (Ali and Roossinck, 2008). In regards to confirm this hypothesis and for a deeper understanding of the *Rhynchobatus australiae* population further study is necessary.

The conservation status of *Rhynchobatus australiae* population according to the catch data is currently at the state of overexploitation and severe population depletion. This fish is included in 80% of the target gillnet fisheries in Indonesia. This high fishing pressure may lead to the decrease of effective population size and subsequently genetic variability

lost due to genetic drift (Marty *et al.,* 2015; Jabado, 2019; Kyne *et al.,* 2020).

The genetic diversity surveyed in the present study may provide a baseline data for the following *Rhynchobatus australiae*. Further studies can be conducted using larger sample size and area to fully understand the genetic diversity of this species.

Conclusion

The genetic diversity of *Rhynchobatus australiae* collected from Ketapang Fish Port, Bangka Belitung Islands, showed high haplotypes diversity and low nucleotides diversity. Phylogeny tree construction and genetic distance values showed the close relationship between the 2 clades.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to WWF-Indonesia for funding this research; to the Department of Marine Science Diponegoro University for their support; and to the Diponegoro Marine Biodiversity Project providing its facilities to perform the molecular analyses. We thanks to Sohibul and Khaeruddin for providing help in collecting samples. The colleagues and coworkers contributed to the completion of this work. Editors and reviewers who have provided valuable comments and useful advices to improve the quality of the paper.

References

Alghozali, F.A., Wijayanti, D.P. & Sabdono, A. 2019. Short Communication: Genetic Diversity of Scalloped Hammerhead Sharks (*Sphyrna Lewini*) Landed in Muncar Fishing Port, Banyuwangi. *Biodiversitas,* 20(4): 1154-1159. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d200430

Ali, A. & Roossinck, M.J. 2008. Genetic Bottlenecks. In: Roossinck M.J. (eds) Plant Virus Evolution. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-75763-4

Avise, J.C., 2000. Phylogeography: The History and Formation of Species. Harvard University Press, Cambridge. 464 pp. https://doi.org/10.2307/jctv1nzfgj7

Baldwin, C.C., Mounts, J.H., Smith, D.G. & Weigt, L.A. 2009. Genetic Identification and Color Descriptions of Early Life-History Stages of Belizean *Phaeoptyx* and *Astrapogon* (Teleostei: Apogonidae) with Comments on Identification of Adult *Phaeoptyx*. *Zootaxa,* 2008: 1-22.

Booy, G., Hendriks, R.J.J., Smulders, M.J.M., Van Groenendael, J.M. & Vosman, B. 2000. Genetic Diversity and the Survival of Populations. *Plant Boil.,* 2: 379-395. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2000-5958

Camacho, M.A., Leiva-D, V., López-Wilchis, R. & Santiago, F.B. 2017. Genetic Diversity of the Ghost-faced Bat *Mormoops megalophylla* Peters, 1864 (Chiroptera: Mormoopidae) in Ecuador; Implications for its Conservation. *Threya*, 8(3): 223-232.

Dulvy, N.K., Fowler, S.L., Musick, J.A., Cavanagh, R.D., Kyne, P.M., Harrison, L.R., Carlson J.K., Davidson, L.N.K., Fordham, S.V., Francis, M.P., Pollock, C.M., Simpfendorfer, C.A., Burgess, G.H., Carpenter, K.E., Compagno, L.J.V., Ebert, D.A., Gibson, C., Heupel, M.R., Livingstone, S.R., Sanciangco, J.C., Stevens, J.D., Valenti, S. & White, W.T. 2014. Extinction Risk and Conservation of the World's Sharks and Rays. *Elife.* 3: e00590. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.005 90

Fahmi & Dharmadi. 2005. Status Perikanan Hiu dan Aspek Pengelolaannya. *Oseana*. 30(1):1-8.

Felsenstein, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evol.,* 39: 783-791. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-56461985.tb00420.x

Ferreira, D.G., Souza-Shibatta, L. & Shibatta, O.A. 2017. Genetic Structure and Diversity of Migratory Freshwater Fish in a Fragmented Neotropical River System. *Rev. Fish. Biol. Fish.,* 27: 209-231. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-016-9441-2

Fetzner, Jr J.W. & Crandall, K.A. 2001. Genetic variation. In: Holdich DM (ed.). Biology of Freshwater Crayfish. Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK.

Galal-Khallaf A, Ardura, A., Mohammed-Geba, K., Borell, Y.J. & Garcia-Vasquez, E. 2014. DNA Barcoding Reveals a High Level of Mislabeling in Egyptian Fish Fillets. *Food Control,* 46: 441-445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.06.016

Giles, J.L., Riginos, C., Naylor, G.J.P., Dharmadi & Ovenden, J.R. 2016. Genetic and Phenotypic Diversity in The Wedgefish *Rhynchobatus australiae*: A Threatened Ray of High Value in The Shark Fin Trade. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.,* 548: 165-180. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11617

Hadi, S., Andayani, N., Muttaqin, E., Simeon, B.M. & Ichsan, M. 2020. Genetic Connectivity of the Scalloped Hammerhead Shark *Sphyrna Lewini* across Indonesia and the Western Indian Ocean. *Plos One,* 15(10): e0230763. https://doi.org /10.1371/journal.pone.0230763

Hall, T.A. 1999. BioEdit: A User-Friendly Biological Sequence Alignment Editor and Analysis Program for Window 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series*, 41: 95-98.

Jabado, R.W. 2018. The Fate of the Most Threatened Order of Elasmobranchs: Sharks-like Batoids (Rhinopristiformes) in the Arabian Sea and Adjacent Waters. *Fish. Res.,* 204: 448-457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2018.03.022

Jabado, R.W. 2019. Wedgefishes and Giant Guitarfishes: A Guide to Species Identification. New York. Wildlife Conservation Society. 28 p.

Kimura, M. 1980. A Simple Method for Estimating Evolutionary Rates of Base Substitutions through Comparative Studies of Nucleotide Sequences. *J. Mol. Evol.,* 16(2): 111-20. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01731581

Kurniawan, A. & Supratman, O. 2017. Identifikasi Jenis Ikan (Penamaan Lokal, Nasional Dan Ilmiah) Hasil Tangkapan Utama (HTU) Nelayan dan Klasifikasi Alat Penangkap Ikan di Pulau Bangka Provinsi Kepulauan Bangka Belitung. *Akuatik: J. Sumberdaya Perairan*. 13(1): 42-51. https://doi.org/10.33019/akuatik.v13 i1.1107

Kyne, P.M., Jabado, R.W., Rigby, C.L., Dharmadi, Gore, M.A., Pollock, C.M., Herman, K.B., Cheok, J., Ebert, D.A., Simpfendorfer, C.A. & Dulvy, N.K. 2020. The Thin Edge of the Wedge: Extremely High Extinction Risk in Wedgefishes and Giant Guitarfishes. *Aqua. Conserv. Mar. Fresh. Ecosyst.,* 30: 1337-1361. https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3331

Last, P.R., Ho, H.C. & Chen, R.R. 2013. A New Species of Wedgefish, *Rhynchobatus immaculatus* (Chondrichthyes, Rhynchobatidae), from Taiwan. *Zootaxa,* 3752(1): 185-198. https://doi.org /10.11646/zootaxa.3752.1.11

Librado, P. & Rozas, J. 2009. Dna SP v5: A Software for Comprehensive Analysis of DNA Polymorphism Data. *Bioinformatics*, 25:1451-1452. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187

Lv, J., Wu, S., Zhang, Y., Chen, Y., Feng, C., Yuan, X., Jia, G., Deng, J., Wang, C., Wang, Q. & Mei, L. 2014. Assessment of four DNA fragments (COI, 16S rDNA, ITS2, 12S rDNA) for species identification of the Ixodida (Acari: Ixodida). *Parasites Vectors*, 7(1): 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-7-93

Marty, L., Dieckmann, U. & Ernande, B. 2015. Fisheries-induced Neutral and Adaptive Evolution in Exploited Fish Populations and Consequences for Their Adaptive Potential. *Evol. Appl.,* 8(1): 47-63. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12220

Nei, M. 1972. Genetic Distance between Populations. *Am. Naturalist,* 106: 243-292. https://doi.org/10.1086/282771

Nei, M. & Kumar, S. 2000. Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics. England, Oxford: Incorporated of the United States of Oxford.

Qin, A., Ding, Y., Jian, Z., Ma, F., Worth, J.R.P., Pei, S., Xu, G., Guo, Q., Shi, Z. 2021. Low genetic diversity and population differentiation in Thuja sutchuenensis Franch., an extremely endangered rediscovered conifer species in southwestern China. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv*. 25, e01430. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GECCO.2020.E01430

Saitou, N. & Nei, M. 1987. The Neighbor-Joining Method: A New Method for Reconstructing Phylogenetic Trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol*., 4(4): 406-25.

Stevens, J., Bonfil, R., Dulvy, N. & Walker, P. 2000. The Effects of Fishing on Sharks, Rays, and Chimaeras (Chondrichthyans), and the Implications for Marine Ecosystems. *J. Mar. Sci*., 57: 476-494. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.2000.0724

Walsh, P.S., Metzge, D.A. & Higuchi, R. 1991. Chelex 100 as a Medium for Simple Extraction of DNA for PCR-Based Typing from Forensic Material. *Biotechniques,* 10(4): 506-13.

White, J., Simpfendorfer, C.A., Tobin, A.J. & Heupel, M.R. 2014. Spatial Ecology of Shark-Like Batoids in a Large Coastal Embayment. *Environ. Biol. Fishes*, 97(7): 773-86. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-013-0178-7

Yusron, E. 2005. Pemanfaatan Keragaman Genetik dalam Pengelolaan Sumberdaya Hayati Laut. *Oseana.* 30(2): 29-34.

Yusuf, H.N., Priatna, A. & Wagiyo, K. 2018. Sebaran dan Kelimpahan Ikan Pari di Wilayah Pengelolaan Perikanan (WPP) 711-NRI Perairan Laut Natuna Utara. *Prosiding Simposium Nasional Hiu Pari Indonesia Ke-2* at 28-29 Maret 2018, Jakarta, pp. 67-78.

Yuwandana, D.P., Agustina, S., Haqqi, M.B. & Simeon, B.M. 2020. Studi Awal Perikanan Pari Kekeh (*Rhynchobatus* Sp.) dan Pari Kikir (*Glaucostegus* Sp.) di Perairan Utara Jawa Tengah. *J. Akuatika Indonesia*. 5(1): 1-6. https://doi.org/10.24198/jaki.v5i1.25938