Influence of Temperature on Electrical Characteristics of Different Photovoltaic Module Technologies
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ABSTRACT. The aim of this study was to analyse the influence of temperature on electrical characteristics of crystalline and amorphous photovoltaic (PV) modules in outdoor conditions at Nawabshah. The experimental setup was made over the roof of the departmental building. The climatic conditions of site were recorded with the help of HP-2000 Professional Weather Station in three different timings of the day, i.e. morning, noon and evening. The electrical characteristics of the PV modules were recorded with Prova-210 and module temperatures with Prova-830. The maximum intensity of global solar radiation was recorded at noon and ambient temperature in the evening and the relative humidity in the morning hours. It was observed that amorphous module got 0.7°C, 1.0°C and 1.6°C more average temperature than polycrystalline, thin film and monocristalline modules respectively. The average maximum measured open-circuit voltage was noted from amorphous with 96.7% and minimum from thin film with 81.3% of their respective values on standard conditions, whereas, the average maximum recorded short-circuit current was produced by thin film with 64.9% and minimum by amorphous with 51.4%. The average maximum power was produced by polycrystalline and minimum by amorphous module. It was discovered that the crystalline PV modules gave more fill factor than thin film and amorphous module.
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1. Introduction

Pakistan is suffering from acute energy crisis since last decade. At present, the gap between energy demand and supply is being fulfilled through blackouts and the country falls into darkness from ten to twelve hours per day. The shortfalls reached up to 4.5GW in 2010, 6.620GW in 2012, and 5.2GW 2013 (Rafique and Rehman, 2017; Shakeel et al. 2016; Asif 2009; Asif and Munee, 2007). If Pakistan is unable to balance its demand and supply, it will further aggravate the situation. However, the renewable energy resources like hydropower, wind and solar can be utilized to fulfill increasing energy demand (Farooq and Shakoor, 2013).

Solar energy is one of the most commanding renewable energy source, environment and nature-friendly, and does not produce emissions that contribute greenhouse effect or destroy the ecological balance of the area (Jakhrani et al. 2013; Belmonte 2009). Luckily, Pakistan is receiving huge amount of solar radiations with 3.75 kWh/m²/day in December to 6.42 kWh/m²/day in May with an annual average of 5.24 kWh/m²/day (NASA 2013). Solar energy can be converted into electricity indirectly through the use of thermal systems and directly by Photovoltaic (PV) modules (Kalogirou 2014; Duffie and Beckman, 2013). Photovoltaic (PV) modules are usually influenced by a number of factors, such as available solar radiation, ambient temperature, wind speed, latitude of the location, operating conditions of module, rain, dust, types of encapsulating material, thermal absorption, dissipation properties, types, configuration and time of the day (Ali et al. 2017; Ghani et al. 2015; Jakhrani et al. 2011a; Jakhrani et al. 2011b).

PV modules are generally rated under standard test conditions (STC) with the solar radiation of 1000W/m², cell temperature of 25°C, and solar spectrum of 1.5 by the manufacturers (Sarkar 2016; Jakhrani et al. 2014). These values may not match with the measurement in actual operating conditions due to random nature of climatic conditions (Fuentes et al. 2007; Soto et al. 2006). Generally, the efficiency of a monocristalline silicon solar cell is around 14-15%, polycrystalline 12-13% and amorphous 6-7% (Kalogirou 2014). PV module performance is usually inversely proportional to the operating temperature of cell because increase of temperature reduces the band gap of a PV cell and increases the energy of the electrons in the material. Since the STC is seldom encountered, the Current-
Voltage (I-V) curve of a PV cell describes its actual energy conversion capability at any existing conditions of light level (irradiance) and temperature (Alami 2014; Almaktar et al. 2013; Duffie and Beckman, 2013; Jakhrani et al. 2011b; García and Balenzategui, 2004). PV cells give their maximum performance in cold climate and sunny skies rather than cloudy and hot climates (Ariyadhara et al. 2013). In summer, panel’s temperature typically ranges from 40 to 70°C which makes a 7.5 to 22.5% drop in the conversion rate (Biwol et al. 2011). The efficiency of PV cells moderately decreases by 0.2% to 0.5%/°C with the rise of ambient temperature beyond nominal cell operating temperature (NOCT) (Jakhrani et al. 2017; Eveloy et al. 2012; Skolplki et al. 2008; Marion 2008; King 1997). It is crucial to know the actual effect of climatic conditions on the performance of PV modules due to installation of PV systems in different environments (Jakhrani et al. 2014). This study is conducted to quantify the influence of climatic parameters, particularly solar radiation and temperature on the electrical characteristics of different photovoltaic module technologies at outdoor conditions of Nawabshah.

2. Materials and Methods

The electrical characteristics, such as current-voltage (I-V) and power-voltage (P-V) of crystalline (mono and poly) and non-crystalline (amorphous and double junction thin film) PV modules were examined at Nawabshah, Pakistan. Their specifications are given in Table 1. The modules were oriented towards true south at the slope of 12° with respect to horizontal as shown in Fig. 1.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module Parameters</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Module Technologies</th>
<th>Module Technologies</th>
<th>Thin Film</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(p-Si)</td>
<td>(m-Si)</td>
<td>(a-Si)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>SUN 40P</td>
<td>SUN 40M</td>
<td>TPS 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isc</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imax</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmax</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>m²</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean experimental setup of photovoltaic modules

The mean experimental setup of photovoltaic modules

The mean global solar radiation, GSR (W/m²), ambient temperature, Ta (°C), wind speed, Vw (m/s), and relative humidity, RH (%) were measured with the help of Professional Weather Station, Model HP-2000, on 08:00, 12:00 and 16:00 hours from the month of April till June 2016. Open circuit voltage, Voc (V), short circuit current, Isc (A) and power, Pm (W) of PV modules were recorded with PV Analyzer, Prova-210. The surface and back surface temperature of the modules were recorded with Prova-830. A total of eight K-type thermocouples were used for recording module temperatures. The data loggers were interfaced with computer storing data for further analysis. The PV module temperatures were considered using mean of both surface and back surface temperature of each module as given in Eq. (1) (Jatoi et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2011).
and minimum GSR during study period were 959.3 W/m² and 435.7 W/m². Ta 41.2°C and 29.7°C, Vw 2.4 and 1.6 m/s, and RH 54.4 and 21.7% respectively. The mean maximum value of GSR was noted at 12:00 hours, Ta at 16:00 hours, and RH at 08:00 hours. It was observed from the analysis that the intensity of GSR and Ta were increasing linearly from morning til noon, and then decreasing gradually up to the evening. The level of relative humidity was more when ambient temperature was low, and vice versa. It is deduced from the analysis that the relative humidity is inversely proportional to the intensity of global solar radiation and ambient temperature during study period in outdoor conditions at Nawabshah.

3.2. Photovoltaic modules temperature

Fig. 4 demonstrates the values of all examined photovoltaic (PV) modules temperatures (Tm). The mean recorded maximum temperature of polycrystalline (Tmp), monocrystalline (Tmm), amorphous (Tma) and thin film (Tmt) PV modules were 61.1, 59.9, 61.9 and 59.9°C respectively when the level of GSR was 959.3 W/m² and Ta was 38.5°C. At 12:00 hours, the amorphous PV module gained 0.8°C temperature more than polycrystalline, 2.1°C than monocrystalline and 2.0°C than thin film modules. Besides amorphous, the polycrystalline module acquired 1.2°C more temperature than monocrystalline and thin film modules. The sequence of maximum temperature gain to minimum was acquired by amorphous, polycrystalline, monocrystalline and thin film in the entire day, while the monocrystalline module gained more temperature than thin film at morning time, and thin film module obtained more temperature than monocrystalline from noon to evening, and vice versa.

3.3. Electrical characteristics of photovoltaic modules

Electrical characteristics, such as, open-circuit voltage (Voc), short-circuit current (Isc), and power output (Pm) of all examined PV modules were recorded at three different timings of the day at 08:00, 12:00 and 16:00 hours for their performance trend in different periods of time. In addition, the measured output parameters are normalized with their respective rated values for comparative analysis.

3.3.1. Open-circuit voltage and short-circuit current of modules at 08:00 hours

The mean measured I-V characteristics of all PV modules at 08:00 hours are given in Fig. 5 and P-V characteristics in Fig. 6. As shown in Fig. 5, the maximum measured open-circuit voltage (Voc) was noted from amorphous with 99.2% and minimum from thin film with 84.2% of their respective standard open-circuit voltage conditions. The maximum recorded short-circuit current (Isc) was produced by thin film with 40.7% and minimum by two modules, namely polycrystalline and amorphous with 30.8%. The measured maximum to minimum level of Voc was produced by amorphous, monocrystalline, polycrystalline and thin film with 99.2, 95.7, 92.2 and 84.2% respectively. It was revealed from analysis that amorphous PV module generated 3.5, 7.0 and 15.0% more Voc than monocrystalline, polycrystalline and amorphous. While the thin film gave 9.5, 9.9 and 10.0 more Isc than monocrystalline, amorphous and polycrystalline.

Fig. 6 demonstrates the measured P-V characteristics of all PV modules. The maximum power was generated by monocrystalline with 22.6% and minimum was 17.2% from amorphous of their respective rated power values. The mean Pm output of all modules was low at this time, because of low intensity of global solar radiations. The maximum to minimum power was produced by monocrystalline, polycrystalline, thin film and...
amorphous with 22.6, 21.4, 19.3 and 17.2% respectively. It was revealed that monocrystalline gives 1.2, 3.3 and 5.4 more mean percentage power than polycrystalline, amorphous and thin film.

3.3.2. Open-circuit voltage and short-circuit current of modules at 12:00

The mean measured I-V characteristics at 12:00 hours of all PV modules are given in Fig. 7 and P-V characteristics in Fig. 8. As shown in Fig 7, the maximum open-circuit voltage (Voc) was noted from amorphous with 95.5% and minimum from thin film with 80.5% of standard open-circuit voltage conditions. The maximum short-circuit current (Isc) produced by thin film module with 105.3 and minimum by amorphous with 83.3% at 12:00 hours. The higher to lower output values of Voc was given by amorphous, monocrystalline, polycrystalline and thin film with 95.5, 92.2, 89.4 and 80.5% respectively. Likewise, the Isc was given by thin film, polycrystalline, monocrystalline and amorphous with 105.3, 90.2, 84.7 and 83.3% respectively. It was revealed from analysis that amorphous PV module generated 3.3, 6.1 and 15.0 more mean percentage of Voc than monocrystalline, polycrystalline and thin film, and thin film module gave 15.1, 20.6 and 22.0% more Isc than polycrystalline, monocrystalline and amorphous at 12:00 hours data records.

Fig 8 demonstrates the measured P-V characteristics of all PV modules. The maximum Pm was generated by polycrystalline with 54.6% and minimum was 40.9% by amorphous of their standard power values. The mean Pm outputs of all modules were found high at this time, because of maximum intensity of global solar radiations. The mean maximum to minimum power was recorded from polycrystalline, monocrystalline, thin film and amorphous with 54.7, 53.0, 48.8 and 40.9% respectively. It was noted that polycrystalline gives 1.7, 5.9 and 13.8 more percentage mean power than monocrystalline, thin film and amorphous modules.

It was revealed from the analysis that amorphous and thin film modules are more sensitive with respect to module temperature than polycrystalline and monocrystalline PV modules. Besides that, the overall efficiency of amorphous and thin film PV modules are less than that of polycrystalline and monocrystalline PV modules respectively.

3.3.3. Open-circuit voltage and short-circuit current of modules at 16:00 hours

The mean measured I-V characteristics at 16:00 hours of all PV modules are given in Fig. 9 and P-V characteristics in Fig. 10. As shown in Fig. 9, the maximum open-circuit voltage (Voc) was noted from amorphous with 95.2% and minimum from thin film with 79.3% of their respective standard open-circuit voltage conditions. The maximum short-circuit current (Isc) was produced by thin film module with 48.7 and minimum by amorphous with 40.0%.

The maximum to minimum trend of Voc showed by amorphous, monocrystalline, polycrystalline and thin film with 95.2, 92.2, 88.9 and 79.3% respectively.
Likewise, higher to lower trend of Isc values were shown by thin film, polycrystalline, monocrystalline and amorphous with 48.7, 48.0, 43.4 and 40.0% respectively of their respective standard conditions. It was exposed from analysis that the amorphous PV module gave 3.0, 6.3 and 15.9 more mean percentage of Voc than monocrystalline, polycrystalline and thin film. Moreover, thin film PV module gave 0.7, 5.3 and 8.7 more percentage of mean Isc than polycrystalline, monocrystalline and amorphous.

Fig. 10 demonstrates the measured P-V characteristics of all PV modules during 16:00 hours. The mean Pm was generated by polycrystalline with 31.0% and minimum 21.4% from amorphous of their respective standard power values. The mean Pm output of all modules was again low at this time, because of low intensity of global solar radiations. The maximum to minimum level of power was noted from polycrystalline, monocrystalline, thin film and amorphous with 31.0, 29.2, 23.4 and 21.4% of their respective standard conditions respectively. It was noted that polycrystalline gives 1.8, 7.7 and 9.7 more percentage power than monocrystalline, thin film and amorphous PV modules respectively.

3.3.4 Average electrical characteristics of modules

The average values of I-V and P-V of all examined modules during different timings of the days are given in Figs 11 and 12. As shown in Fig. 11, the maximum average open-circuit voltage (Voc) was noted from amorphous with 96.7% and minimum from thin film with 81.3% of their respective standard conditions. The average maximum short-circuit current (Isc) was produced by thin film module with 64.9 and minimum by amorphous with 51.4%. The maximum to minimum trend of average Voc showed by amorphous, monocrystalline, polycrystalline and thin film with 96.7, 93.4, 90.1 and 81.3% respectively. Likewise, higher to lower trend of average Isc values were shown by thin film, polycrystalline, monocrystalline and amorphous with 64.9, 56.3, 53.1 and 51.4% respectively of their respective standard conditions. It was also found that the amorphous module generated 3.4, 6.6 and 15.4% more average Voc than monocrystalline, polycrystalline and thin film respectively, whereas, the thin film module gave 8.6, 11.8 and 13.5% more average Isc than polycrystalline, monocrystalline and amorphous respectively.

Fig. 12 demonstrates the measured P-V characteristics output of all PV modules. The average Pm was generated by polycrystalline with 36.3% and minimum 26.5% from amorphous of their respective standard power values. The maximum to minimum average of Pm was noted from polycrystalline, monocrystalline, thin film and amorphous with 36.3, 34.9, 30.2 and 26.5% of their respective standard conditions respectively.

It was noted that polycrystalline gives 1.5, 6.1 and 9.8 more average percentage of power than monocrystalline, thin film and amorphous PV modules respectively. Polycrystalline module gave 1.5 more of average percentage of power than monocrystalline and thin film gave 3.8% more average percentage of power than amorphous.
3.3.5. Fill factor of selected PV modules from rated conditions

The recorded fill factor (FF) of all examined modules during different timings of the days is given in Fig. 13. It is observed from the analysis that both polycrystalline and monocrystalline gave maximum fill factor values with 0.76, and minimum fill factor from amorphous module with 0.56 of their respective rated values at 08:00 hours. Higher to lower trend of fill factor was noted from polycrystalline, monocrystalline, thin film and amorphous respectively. Moreover, polycrystalline and monocrystalline exhibited 0.19 and 0.2 more fill factor than thin film and amorphous respectively during study period.

Similarly, the mean maximum fill factor was noted from polycrystalline with 0.70 and minimum from amorphous module with 0.52 of their rated values at 12:00 hours. The higher to lower trend of fill factor was noted from polycrystalline, monocrystalline, thin film and amorphous with 0.70, 0.69, 0.58 and 0.52 respectively. It was seen that polycrystalline gave 0.01, 0.12 and 0.18 more fill factor than monocrystalline, thin film and amorphous respectively. Moreover, the mean maximum FF was noted from both polycrystalline and monocrystalline with 0.73 and minimum from amorphous module with 0.56 of their rated values at 16:00 hours. The higher to lower trend of fill factor was noted from polycrystalline, monocrystalline, thin film and amorphous with 0.73, 0.73, 0.61 and 0.56 respectively. It was revealed from the analysis that the fill factor of polycrystalline and monocrystalline was 0.12 and 0.17 more than thin film and amorphous modules respectively during 16:00 hours data recordings.

It was found from analysis that polycrystalline, monocrystalline, amorphous and thin film modules gave same fill factor at morning and evening (08:00 and 16:00 hours) data recording periods, but at the noon, the maximum fill factor was noted from polycrystalline with 0.70, monocrystalline 0.69, thin film 0.58 and minimum from amorphous with 0.52.

In general, the crystalline (i.e. polycrystalline and monocrystalline) photovoltaic modules gave 0.14 and 0.18 more average fill factor than thin film and amorphous modules respectively.

4. Conclusions

The mean maximum recorded global solar radiation during study period was 959.3W/m², ambient temperature 41.2°C, wind speed 2.4m/s and relative humidity 54.4%. The maximum intensity of global solar radiation was recorded at noon, and ambient temperature was in the evening, and the relative humidity was in the morning. The relative humidity was found inversely proportional to the intensity of global solar radiation and ambient temperature. It was observed that amorphous module attained the highest daily average temperature of 52.0°C, polycrystalline 51.3°C, thin film 51.0°C, and monocrystalline became the lowest with 50.4°C, throughout the study period. It showed that amorphous got 0.7°C, 1.0°C and 1.6°C more average temperature than polycrystalline, thin film and monocrystalline modules respectively. It was also found that the amorphous module generated 3.4, 6.6 and 15.4% more average V<sub>oc</sub> than monocrystalline, polycrystalline and thin film respectively, whereas, the thin film module gave 8.6, 11.8 and 13.5% more average I<sub>sc</sub> than polycrystalline, monocrystalline and amorphous respectively. On the other hand, the average maximum power was produced by polycrystalline with 36.3%, whereas, monocrystalline 34.9%, thin film 30.2% and amorphous generated minimum power with 26.5% of their respective rated power values. Polycrystalline module gave 1.5 more percentage of average power than monocrystalline, and thin film gave 3.8% more average power than amorphous. It was also exposed from the analysis that crystalline module has same average fill factor with 0.73, and amorphous gave minimum fill factor of 0.55. It showed that the crystalline photovoltaic modules gave 0.14 more fill factor than thin film and 0.18 more than amorphous module.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support provided by the authorities of Quaid-e-Awam University of Engineering Science and Technology, (QUEST), Nawabshah, Pakistan for conducting this research.
References


