Experimental and Numerical Investigation of Nanofluid Usage in A Plate Heat Exchanger for Performance Improvement
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ABSTRACT: Plate heat exchangers, a compact-type heat exchanger, are commonly used heat transfer devices because of their superior characteristics. Their thermal performances are strongly dependent to working fluid circulating inside the system. The influences of nanofluid utilization as the working fluid in a plate heat exchanger was experimentally and numerically analysed in this study. In order to show off the improvement rate in heat transfer, the experiments were performed by using deionized water and TiO2-deionized water nanofluid. The nanofluid was prepared at the rate of 1.5 % as weighted. A surface-active agent, Triton X-100, was also doped into the mixture at the rate of 0.2% of a final concentration to prevent the sedimentation and flocculation of the nanoparticles inside the solution. The experiments were performed in different temperatures as 40°C, 45°C, 50°C and varying cold fluid mass flow rates as 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 lpm. In addition, using the experimental data, a numerical simulation was realized by ANSYS Fluent software. The both results indicate that heat transfer rate in plate heat exchanger can be improved using nanofluid as the working fluid in place of deionized water. The maximum improvement rate in heat transfer was obtained as 11 % in experimental study. It is also seen that experimental and numerical results are in good agreement.
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1. Introduction

Energy efficiency has become the most popular subject in recent years, especially in heat transfer applications. It is an incontrovertible fact that heat exchangers are widely used heat transfer equipment in industry. They have plenty of different types according to the usage area of them. Plate heat exchangers, a compact-type heat exchanger, are commonly used heat transfer devices in combi boilers due to their superior characteristics. They operate at temperatures under 250°C and pressures below 40 bar. Thermal performance of plate heat exchangers is affected by plate geometry, plate number, plate thickness and chevron angle. The effects of geometrical parameters of plate heat exchanger on heat transfer rate and pressure drop have been subjected in many academic studies (Yang et al. 2017). In addition, thermal performance of plate heat exchanger is considerably affected by working fluid. Although there are lots of methods are available in literature to improve the thermal characteristics of plate heat exchangers, the most preferred method is upgrading the thermophysical properties of the working fluid (Behrangzadeh & Heyhat 2016; Barzegarian et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2016; Sarafranz & Hormozi 2016; Tughizadeh-Tabari et al. 2016).

To illustrate, Tiwari et al. tested SiO2/water, CeO2/water, TiO2/water and Al2O3/water nanofluids in the plate heat exchanger with the aim of determining the best working fluid in terms of thermal performance. They demonstrated that CeO2/water exhibited better performance than the other ones (Tiwari et al. 2013). Kumar et al. (2018) studied the influence of chevron angle on heat transfer rate by using ZnO/deionized water. They tested symmetrical (30°/30° and 60°/60°) and unsymmetrical (30°/60°) chevron angles and indicated that 60°/60° symmetrical chevron angle gave the better results (Kumar et al. 2016). Kabeel et al. studied Al2O3/water nanofluid usage as the working fluid with different particle concentrations in plate heat exchanger. Their experimental results showed that maximum improvement in heat transfer coefficient was achieved as 13% at 4% particle concentration (Kabeel et al. 2013). Pandey and Nema (2012) investigated Al2O3/water nanofluid with 2%, 3% and 4% volume concentrations in the plate heat exchanger. They indicated that overall heat transfer coefficient improved between 4.6-10% by using Al2O3/water nanofluid. In another study, Serebryakova et al. (2015) tested a hybrid base fluid (ethylene glycol and water) and alumina nanoparticles at the rate of 1.5% to constitute a new nanofluid. They investigated the
increment in thermal conductivity of this nanofluid, when it is used as working fluid in heat transfer applications.

Furthermore, some researchers investigated plate heat exchanger characteristics numerically by using CFD approach. Tiwari et al. (2014) simulated heat transfer in a plate heat exchanger assuming CeO$_2$/water and Al$_2$O$_3$/water as homogenous fluids. In addition, they analyzed nanofluids experimentally to verify their numerical model. The obtained results demonstrated that their CFD model could be used to predict thermal behavior of various nanofluids. Han et al. (2014) developed a 3D CFD model to predict thermal characteristics of a plate heat exchanger. They reported that plate type, plate number and fluid flow direction had important effects on heat transfer in a plate heat exchanger. Kan et al. (2012) numerically investigated the effects of plate channel angle and fluid mass flow rate on heat transfer in the plate heat exchanger. The numerically obtained results indicated that plate channels with 30° angle has better thermal performance than the others.

In this study, nanoparticle-including working fluid, i.e. nanofluid, was utilized as the working fluid in a plate heat exchanger for varying temperature and cold fluid flow rates. The experiments were conducted for both deionized water and TiO$_2$-deionized water nanofluid. Using the obtained results from the experiments, a numerical study was also performed to visualize the findings. Alterations in heat transfer rate for each working fluid was determined numerically and experimentally.

2. Materials and Methods

TiO$_2$ particles were grained to nano scales with average sizes of 46 nm to prepare a nanofluid. The nanofluid suspension had 1.5% nanoparticle content as weighted. Nanoparticles were doped into the deionized water. A surfactant material was added into the mixture to prevent not just the flocculation, but also the precipitations of nanoparticles during operation. In addition, surfactants have a role on decreasing surface tension and increasing wetting capability of the solution. The thermophysical properties of prepared nanofluid can be seen in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working fluid</th>
<th>Viscosity (mPa.s)</th>
<th>Density (kg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>Heat capacity (kJ/kgK)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 °C</td>
<td>40 °C</td>
<td>60 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$/water</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The schematic diagram of the test rig was given in Figure 1. Figure 1 shows the test rig includes a coiled heat exchanger, heater, circulation pump, plate heat exchanger, flow meter and K-type four thermocouples. 16 stainless-steel corrugated plates with the corrugation angle of 60° form the plate heat exchanger. A general view and geometrical properties of plate heat exchanger used in experiments were illustrated in Figure 2 and Table 2, respectively.

A water pump was used to provide circulation of the working fluid inside the plate heat exchanger. The required cold fluid flow rates (3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 lpm) were adjusted and monitored during the tests. The experimental setup consists of two fluid loops, hot fluid and cold fluid, respectively. Cold fluid loop is open and water is discharged from the system after soaking up the heat from the hot fluid, whilst the hot one is closed and circulates between main heat exchanger and plate heat exchanger.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plate length (mm)</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate width (mm)</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port to port length (mm)</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port to port width (mm)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of corrugated plates</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevron angle</td>
<td>60°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The overall heat transfer coefficient can also be computed by following equation:

\[ U = \frac{Q_{\text{ave}}}{\Delta \text{LMTD}} \]  

(4)

where the logarithmic mean temperature difference (LMTD) is:

\[ \text{LMTD} = \frac{(T_{h,i} - T_{c,o}) - (T_{h,o} - T_{c,i})}{\ln \left( \frac{T_{h,i} - T_{c,i}}{T_{h,o} - T_{c,o}} \right)} \]  

(5)

For plate heat exchangers, the Reynolds number could be calculated by means of Eq. [6].

\[ \text{Re} = \frac{\rho \cdot \text{d} \cdot \text{v}}{\mu} \]  

(6)

Similarly, the Prandtl number could be computed using Eq. [7].

\[ \text{Pr} = \frac{\nu \cdot c_p}{k} \]  

(7)

Heat transfer coefficient could be calculated using Nusselt number:

\[ \text{Nu} = \frac{h \cdot \text{D}}{k} \]  

(8)

Using the correlation in below, the Nusselt number in plate heat exchanger can be obtained (Kakaç et al. 2012):

\[ \text{Nu} = 0.348 \cdot \text{Re}^{0.663} \cdot \text{Pr}^{0.33} \]  

(9)

Finally, the heat transfer coefficient of hot side could be calculated by using heat transfer coefficient of cold side and overall heat transfer coefficient:

\[ U = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{U_h} + \frac{1}{U_c} + \frac{1}{U_p}} \]  

(10)

3.1. Uncertainty Analysis

In order to specify uncertainties and evaluate the obtained data in an experimental study, an uncertainty analysis must be performed. This method is a powerful and reliable tool. In an experimental work, the uncertainties could arise from some parameters such as test conditions, calibration, instrument types and so on. In this study, flow rates and temperatures were measured by using suitable instruments.

The result R is a given function in terms of the independent variables x1, x2, ..., xn. WR is the uncertainty in the result and w1, w2, ..., wn are the uncertainties in the independent variables. If the uncertainties in the independent variables are all presented with the same odds, then the uncertainty in the finding having these odds is given by using the methodology developed by Holman (2001):

\[ w_R = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial w_1} w_1 \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial w_2} w_2 \right)^2 + \cdots + \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial w_n} w_n \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]  

(11)

The accuracy of the thermocouples and the mass flow meter are ±0.4 °C and ±0.8 lpm, respectively. The uncertainty of the experimental results was specified depended on the deviation in experimental parameters.
The experiments were replicated three times and average of the temperatures at each experiment was utilized. The overall uncertainty of the experiments lies within ±5.13% based on Eq. [1]. When the overall uncertainties of the measured variables were evaluated, it is seen that they were in an acceptable range.

4. Results and Discussion

First of all, the experiments were performed by using deionized water as working fluid in the hot loop. Then, TiO$_2$/deionized water nanofluid was used as working fluid in the hot flow loop and the results of them were compared to each other. When the system attained to steady-state conditions, the data were monitored and recorded via data acquisition system. The obtained heat transfer coefficients via Reynolds number and cold fluid exit temperatures were illustrated in Figure 4 for each working fluid. It is seen from this figure that, TiO$_2$/deionized water nanofluid usage as the working fluid enhanced the heat transfer coefficient of plate heat exchanger. An average increment of 8% in heat transfer coefficient was obtained by using nanofluid as the working fluid in plate heat exchanger. Similar results were also reported by Barzegarian et al. (2016) for the overall heat transfer coefficient.

![Fig. 4 Heat transfer coefficient values for different operating conditions](image)

The numerical analysis were performed only for TiO$_2$ nanofluid and both results were compared to each other. Figure 5 shows the transferred heat in the plate heat exchanger via Reynolds number for various cold fluid exit temperatures. The transferred heat displayed an increasing trend with increasing the flow rate in plate heat exchanger for TiO$_2$ nanofluid. It was also observed that experimental and numerical results were in good agreement with each other. The maximum deviation between experimental and numerical data was 10% for all cases.

In numerical analysis, the flow regime was investigated and velocity contours presented in Figure 6 were obtained. While examining these figures, the effects of increasing mass flow rate was clearly seen. As the mass flow rate increases, turbulence intensity increases because of the plate geometry. As a result, heat transfer rate was also increased.

![Fig. 5 Transferred heat via Reynolds number](image)

It is a well-known fact that pressure drop is an important parameter for plate heat exchanger. So, the pressure drop inside the plate heat exchanger was numerically investigated. Figure 7 shows the pressure drop in hot side of the plate heat exchanger, when TiO$_2$ nanofluid was employed as working fluid. The pressure drop was found as 1.065 kPa.
5. Conclusions

In this study, thermal performance of a plate heat exchanger using TiO2 nanofluid as working fluid was experimentally and numerically investigated. According to the experiments performed in this study, CFD approach can be a valuable tool to find out performance of plate heat exchangers and optimization of temperatures, design and so on.

Furthermore, the effects of fluid flow rate and temperature on heat transfer improvement was examined. The outcomes of this study are as flows:

- TiO2/deionized water nanofluid usage as the working fluid in a plate heat exchanger upgrades the heat transfer coefficient significantly.
- Heat transfer coefficient increases based on increment in flow rate.
- CFD approach can be readily utilized to determine the thermal characteristics of the plate heat exchangers.

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>total heat transfer area, m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_p$</td>
<td>specific heat capacity (J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_h$</td>
<td>hydraulic diameter (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>mass velocity (kg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>heat transfer coefficient (W m$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>thermal conductivity (W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{LMTD}$</td>
<td>log mean temperature difference (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{m}$</td>
<td>mass flow rate (kg s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu$</td>
<td>Nusselt number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pr$</td>
<td>Prandtl number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{Q}$</td>
<td>heat transfer rate (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Re$</td>
<td>Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>temperature (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>overall heat transfer coefficient (W m$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_o$</td>
<td>the total uncertainty (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta x$</td>
<td>plate thickness (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_c, w_i, w_o$</td>
<td>the uncertainties in the independent variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>dynamic viscosity (kg m$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subscripts

- $c$: cold
- $i$: Inlet
- $h$: hot
- $o$: outlet
- $p$: plate
- $R$: the function uncertainty
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