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ABSTRACT: Even though domestic energy can be from either renewable or non-renewable sources, the former is preferred because of its role in reducing both the operational energy intensity and carbon footprint. Given the positive role renewable energy plays in the energy mix, this paper examined the pattern of operational energy use with particular reference to the renewable and non-renewable energy content in medium and high density public residential buildings in Lagos, Nigeria. A survey research method was adopted for primary data collection while data analysis was by descriptive statistics. The study found that renewable energy use in the residential units is very low. In contrast, there was high dependence of the occupants on non-renewable direct fuel combustion through the use of fossil fuel-driven privately-owned electricity generators for electricity supply as a result of the inadequate supply from the national grid. In addition to the relatively high operational energy intensity observed in the studied buildings, the findings have implications for the safety, health and wellbeing of the building occupants as well as for carbon emissions from the buildings and for overall environmental sustainability. Recommendations to increase renewable energy use in new buildings and as retrofits in existing buildings were made.
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1. Introduction

Buildings play a vital role in global energy consumption and carbon dioxide emissions. Carbon dioxide emissions from buildings are due largely to the high carbon content of delivered energy for building operations (Vossenaar & Jha, 2010). Building-related greenhouse gases (GHGs) emissions of which carbon dioxide is an important part, has been on the increase with rapid urbanization especially in developing countries where increased urban population with access to improved income has resulted in increased energy consumption (IPCC, 2007). Housing constitutes an integral part of the building stock of any society and documented energy consumption patterns for housing confirm their importance (UNEP, 2007).

Energy use and carbon intensity are indices of the environmental sustainability of a building as they contribute to global warming and ultimately, climate change. Household energy use and carbon intensity are related through the energy mix which describes the relative contribution of various energy sources to the total energy profile. In general, the higher the renewable energy content in the energy mix, the lower the energy intensity in primary energy terms. In order to reduce energy use intensity and carbon emissions from the housing sector, a combination of low-carbon energy and energy efficiency measures are recommended (IPCC, 2007). One such low-carbon energy source is renewable energy which includes solar energy, wind energy, geothermal energy, ocean energy, bio-energy and hydropower. Renewable energy is a sustainable form of energy as it is from naturally-occurring, non-depleting resources. Apart from being low in primary energy impact, it is a low-carbon form of energy that helps to keep the carbon footprint of buildings low.
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evaluate the extent of use of both renewable energy
residences.

In spite of the obvious advantages of renewable
energy, its uptake in various contexts especially in
developing countries has not been seamless as it is
beset by a myriad of barriers. In general, the barriers
to renewable energy technology (RET) deployment
include financial barriers (Moula et al., 2013; Nasirov,
Silva & Agostini, 2015), technological or grid connection
barriers (Nasirov et al., 2015), regulatory or
administrative barriers (Moula, 2013), as well as social
acceptability barriers (Sriwannawit & Laestadius, 2013;
Moula et al., 2013).

In Nigeria, available data from the International
Energy Agency (EIA) as presented by Intec (2015),
show that total energy consumption in 2012 was
estimated at 116.5Mtoe with bio-fuels sources
constituting about 85% and residential consumption
ascribed mostly to household cooking taking up about
90% of the bio-fuels. Inefficient combustion of fuel-
wood and other forestry products dominated the
domestic energy profile. Similarly, total energy
consumption distributed according to economic sectors
indicate that residential consumption at 78% eclipsed
industrial and other uses which make up the remaining
22%. With respect to electricity consumption, the
residential sector is estimated to consume between
50.4% (Akinbami & Lawal, 2010) and 65% (UNDP,
2010) which are clearly above the world average of
about 31% (Saidur, Masjuki & Jamaliddin, 2007). Hence,
in terms of energy consumption, the residential sector
in Nigeria is very important. Paradoxically, the actual
capita electrical energy consumption is among the
lowest in the world (USEIA, 2015). From the foregoing,
the energy situation is not only inadequate but also
inefficient thus underscoring the need for RETs in the
study context.

Even though national renewable energy targets
(IRENA, 2011) are far from being met, the use of
renewable energy technology is gradually being
established mostly in micro-level off-grid installations
such as street lighting, water pumping, agriculture,
refrigeration in rural medical centres, private
residential buildings as well as in institutional buildings
(Sambo, 2010). As indicated in a study by Eronini
(2014), the use of photovoltaic systems is gaining
ground in urban commercial and residential buildings
mostly as a result of poor electricity supply from the
national grid. Constraints to widespread use of RET in
buildings have been identified and they include initial
cost of installation, dearth of technical knowhow and
ineffective or unfavourable government policy
(Ogunleye & Awogbemi, 2011; Okedu, Uhunmwangho &
Promise, 2015). As a result, earlier uptake of RETs was
associated with relatively high income residences. With
improved diffusion of the RET technology, there is the
need to understand its use in low and medium income
residences.

Against the above background, this study sought to
evaluate the extent of use of both renewable energy
technologies and non-renewable energy sources in high
and medium density public housing estates in Lagos,
Nigeria. While the non-renewable sources are
dominated by fossil fuels, four main renewable energy
options have been identified for micro- and off-grid
purposes in Nigeria and they are: small hydro, solar,
biomass and wind (Intec, 2015). In the study context of
Lagos, however, solar and wind installations have more
potential for unhindered widespread use. This is
because the resource base for small hydro and biomass
installations, that is, flowing steams and municipal solid
waste handling points respectively, are location-specific
and hence not accessible to the housing units under
study. The renewable energy sources of focus are therefore
solar and wind energy. In addition, the study area is
endowed with good solar intensity and prevailing wind
speed conditions (ECN, 2005). In order to situate the study
properly, the pattern of operational energy use in the
buildings was considered and the renewable and non-renewable aspects identified.

2. The Energy Scenario in Nigeria

There are various sources of energy in Nigeria which
include non-renewable sources such as fossil fuels and
renewable energy sources (ECN, 2003). Nigeria has
abundant renewable energy sources which have been
identified by source assessment studies to include solar
energy, wind energy, biomass and hydropower
(Shaaban & Petinrin, 2014). However, delivered energy
in form of electricity to households falls short of
expectation. As at 2008, per capita electricity
consumption in Nigeria was estimated at 125 kWh as
against 4500 kWh, 1934 kWh and 1379 kWh in South
Africa, Brazil and China respectively (FGN, 2009). The
scenario has not changed remarkably as estimates by
(World Bank, 2013) indicated that per capita electricity
consumption was 149 kWh and 156 kWh in 2011 and
2012 respectively.

In addition, the electrical energy mix in Nigeria is
dominated by two main sources namely non-renewable
thermal and renewable hydropower (Ajayi, 2013).
Incidentally, the mix is lopsided with about 82% of
electricity coming from thermal power generation using
non-renewable resources and 18% from renewable
hydropower generation (KPMG, 2013). In addition, the
performance indicators of the thermal power plants
have been found to be below expectation. Using
performance indices that included plant capacity, plant
use factor, load factor and utilisation factor, some
studies (Obodeh & Esabunor, 2011; Oyedepo et al,
2014) revealed that the Nigerian thermal power plants
had performed sub-optimally. As a result, thermal
efficiency level is low as earlier indicated for non-
OECD (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and
Development) countries by (Taylor et al, 2008). In this
direction and as recommended by Afa and Anerihi
(2013), Nigeria needs to broaden her electrical energy

mix in such a way that no energy source contributes more than 40% to the total electrical energy supply.

In addition, electricity supply is erratic and access is available only to about 55.6% of the population (World Bank, 2014). The foregoing is corroborated by an earlier study by Otegbulu (2011) which found that a majority of households in Lagos get grid electricity for between 1 hour and 5 hours daily as a result of which more than 60% of the households use private generators for captive electricity generation. Hence, Nigeria can be described as characterized by the suppressed demand situation with respect to grid electricity as enunciated by Spors (2011). Suppressed demand is a situation where energy services are either insufficient or inaccessible to those who need it. This situation could arise due to insufficient capacity or unaffordable electricity tariff. Low capacity adequately describes the Nigerian electricity supply situation and in building up capacity, all available energy sources need to be exploited. Given the inadequate electricity supply situation and the poor diffusion of grid electricity especially in the rural areas of Nigeria, the use of renewable energy has been strongly recommended (Akpan & Isihak, 2013; Elusakin, Ajide & Diji, 2014).

Solar energy is particularly suitable for Nigeria because of all year round sunshine. With solar radiation estimated at 3.5 – 7.0 kWh/m2/day, the entire national energy demand can be met by solar energy (ECN, 2005). Wind energy potentials are moderately high especially in coastal areas like Lagos and in the northern part of the country where wind speeds at 10 metres height can be up to 5 m/s (ECN, 2005). A number of researchers have advocated the adoption of off-grid electricity supply using renewable energy to address the poor diffusion of grid electricity especially in the remote and rural areas (Akpan & Isihak, 2013; Elusakin et al, 2014). Given the vastness of the Nigerian rural communities and the cost of extending grid electricity to them, the use of renewable energy sources such as solar energy integrated within a smart grid network has been advocated (Emodi & Yusuf, 2014). Even in urban locations such as Lagos where grid electricity is available, the use of renewable energy is highly advised given the erratic nature of the supply and increasing cost of grid electricity in financial and environmental terms.

In order to harness the abundant energy resources in Nigeria, the Energy Commission of Nigeria was established and there is in place a national Renewable Energy Master-plan (ECN, 2005). The government of Lagos State, Nigeria has also adopted a renewable energy policy aimed at encouraging the use of RET in order to limit GHGs emission and bridge the energy supply deficit in the area (LASG, 2012a). The specific renewable energy resources identified for Lagos include solar energy, wind energy and biomass as well as municipal solid wastes. Hence, there is a renewable energy master plan with expected targets for the various renewable energy components but adequate incentives for uptake especially at the residential building scale are not yet fully articulated. However, considering the huge solar and wind energy potentials in Nigeria, the targets of 500MW and 40MW for solar energy and wind energy respectively by the year 2025 as stipulated by ECN (2005) appears very conservative. The new National Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Policy (NREEEP) afford a fresh opportunity to set new targets and establish appropriate incentives for renewable energy use generally and in buildings (Federal Ministry of Power, 2015).

3. Renewable Energy Use in Buildings

According to the socio-economic survey of Nigeria conducted in 2009 (NBS, 2010), solar energy use by households was almost non-existent. In the study area Lagos, only 0.1% of households indicated that they use solar energy for lighting. In contrast, 86% use electricity as main domestic energy for lighting while about 12% use gas and kerosene. Similarly, for cooking energy, during the three years 2007-2009, kerosene remained the main cooking fuel in the study area (see Table 1). On the average, about 90% of all households use kerosene as main cooking fuel while less than 5% on the average use fuel wood. The low use of fuel wood is explained by the cosmopolitan nature of Lagos which encourages less use of lower order fuels such as fuel wood. From the foregoing, it is evident that energy use at the domestic level is dominated by grid electricity mainly from non-renewable sources for lighting and appliances as well as kerosene for cooking.

Table 1: Cooking Energy Use in Lagos Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Electricity</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Kerosene</th>
<th>Fuel wood</th>
<th>Coal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Comparatively, a Brazilian study revealed that electricity accounted for 40% of household energy use while gas and firewood charcoal accounted for 28% and 29% respectively (Gorini, 2014). Interestingly, the renewable content of grid electricity in Brazil traceable mainly to hydroelectricity is up to 45% (Luomi, 2014; Newborne & Welham, 2014). Similarly, in the period from 2005 to 2012, the diffusion rate of solar water heater installations increased from 1.3% to about 4% (Gorini, 2014). In addition, wind energy generation and solar electricity generation reached 6500MW and 30MW respectively in 2013 (Barth et al, 2015). As a result, the operational energy intensity in Brazilian households is lower than in other upper middle income
countries (Newborne & Welham, 2014). In a specific study of a residential building typology in Brazil, life cycle operational energy intensity was found to be 17,500MJ/m² (Paulsen & Sposto, 2013). In contrast, the operational energy intensities in comparable Indonesian and Indian examples were found to be higher and in the range of 11600MJ/m² - 32100MJ/m² and 37300MJ/m² - 66850MJ/m² respectively (Surahman & Kubota, 2012a, 2012b; Ramesh, Prakash & Shukla, 2013).

A study in India showed that aggregated electricity generation from renewable sources as at May 2013 was 284.465.05MW (Sood et al, 2014). In addition, 6.655 million biogas plants and about 6.98 million square metres of solar water heating collector area has been installed (Sood et al, 2014). Wind energy is the fastest growing renewable energy sector in India with a generation capacity of 21268.3MW in 2014 which ranks India the fifth largest generator in the world (Sangroya & Nayak, 2015).

In China, solar water heater is the most widely used RET (Yuan, Wang & Zuo, 2013). Installed solar water heater collector area increased from 15 million square metres to 145 million square metres between 1998 and 2009 thus making China a dominant player in this aspect of renewable energy use (Yuan et al, 2013). In the aspect of photovoltaic installations in buildings, China lags behind Japan, USA and Germany but installed capacity indicated progressive increase from 135MW in 2005 to 156MW in 2009 (Yuan et al, 2013). China is also the world leader in wind energy utilization generating a total of 91424MW in 2013 (GWEIC, 2014).

This paper focused on solar energy use through photovoltaic panels and wind energy use through wind turbines. However, given the relatively small land area in Lagos and the resultant dense urban fabric, emphasis was placed on off-grid building-mounted photovoltaic (PV) installations and small wind turbines mounted within residential areas. Even though hydroelectricity is regarded as renewable energy, it was not considered in this study because it is part of the national grid installations. Also, renewable energy from municipal solid waste was not considered as it is still at pilot stage in the study area.

### 4. Materials and Methods

The study is directed at operational energy use in residential buildings and the study context is Lagos, a prominent city in Nigeria. Lagos accounts for about 70 per cent of industrial investments, 90 per cent of foreign trade flows, 50 per cent of port revenue, 60 per cent of energy consumption and over 30 per cent of Nigeria's gross domestic product (GDP) (LASG, 2012b, 2013).

Geographically, Lagos lies between latitudes 6º 24' and 6º 41' north of the equator and between longitudes 2º 42' and 3º 42' east of the Greenwich meridian (Ilesanmi, 2010). Bounded on the north, east and west by Ogun State and on the south by the Atlantic Ocean, Lagos covers a gross area of about 3,577 km², a sizeable proportion of which is made up of wetlands, thus making it the smallest in terms of land area of all the states in the Federal Republic of Nigerian (Jeje, 2013). The official 2006 population census in Nigerian put the population of Lagos at just over 8 million people and UN Habitat has projected a population of over 15 million by 2025 (UN Habitat, 2008). However, more recent projections for the population of Lagos put it at over 23 million by the end of 2015 (Lagos Bureau of Statistics, 2013).

The real estate sector in Lagos is very vibrant given the city’s economic status, population and rate of urbanisation. In response to the housing need, there is a strong social housing content represented by Federal and State government housing provision agencies. At the State level, the Lagos State Development and Property Corporation (LSDPC) established under Edict No.1 1972 to provide affordable housing to low and medium income earners stands out. However, the real estate sector is dominated by the private sector most of whom are small players. Public housing managed on behalf of the state government by the LSDPC was selected for the study as it represented the most dominant in the study area.

Survey research method was used to elicit data on primary energy use in the buildings. The research population was the public housing units established by Lagos State Government between 1981 and 2005 for low and medium income earners located in medium-rise multi-family residential blocks in residential estates managed by the Lagos State Development and Property Corporation (LSDPC). Altogether, there are 31 such estates from where a sample of nine estates was taken randomly. The nine estates comprised 10,182 housing units which constituted the study population. Each housing unit is about 120m² in area. Taking each estate as a stratum of the population, a sample size of 1,075 housing units was drawn systematically and used for questionnaire administration for the study. The study was carried out between February and May, 2014. Altogether, 775 validly completed questionnaires were retrieved and used for analysis. The questionnaire elicited data on aggregate household operational energy consumption with respect to grid electricity (measured in kWh) and direct fuel consumption (measured in litres and kilograms). Wood fuel consumption was not included in the study as the buildings were not designed to accommodate such. Also, data on renewable energy use and other energy management options were elicited. The data were analysed using descriptive statistics with the measures of central tendencies adopted as the appropriate statistical measure.

The results obtained from the survey were used in conjunction with relevant energy conventions and protocols to estimate energy consumption in primary
energy terms at the operational level in the selected residential building typology. Such conventions include:

(i) Conversion of kWh to MJ which is the unit of primary energy (Hofstrand, 2008);

(ii) Conversion of direct fuel consumption to primary energy (GREET, 2010);

(iii) The use primary energy factor for grid electricity (IINAS, 2015).

The relevant equations are as presented below:

\[ \text{OE} = \text{PEoGE} + \text{PEoDFC} \]  
\[ \text{PEoGE} = \text{GE} \times 3.6 \times \text{PEF} \]  
\[ \text{PEoDFC} = \text{DFC} \times \text{LHV} \]

Where OE is operational energy measured in mega-joules (MJ), PEOGE is primary energy content of grid electricity measured in mega joules (MJ), PEODFC is primary energy of direct fuel consumption measured in mega-joules (MJ).

5. Results

5.1 Operational Energy Sources

The energy end uses identified were lighting, cooling, domestic hot water, cooking, household appliances and water pumping. Also, operational energy sources for the buildings were found to be grid electricity and direct fuel combustion. The direct fuel used included kerosene also referred to as dual purpose kerosene (DPK), liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) and petrol or premium motor spirit (PMS). Grid electricity was the most preferred energy for operation of the buildings. Also, kerosene and liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) were the predominant cooking fuel. Given the low supply of electricity from the national grid, the residents resorted to the use of electricity generators which were powered by petrol or premium motor spirit (PMS). The use of diesel was also identified but the quantity was not significant and the diffusion was low.

As shown in Table 2, the average monthly expenditure on grid electricity using the median as measure of central tendency was estimated to be about ₦2,500.00. Using the tariff regime in operation as at June, 2014 as obtained from the Ikeja Electricity Distribution Company (IKEDC), an electricity distribution company with jurisdiction over the study area, and taking note of the fixed service charge, the average consumption was estimated to be 100kWh monthly and 1200 kWh annually per household. By applying equation 2 and a primary energy conversion factor for grid electricity of 2.83, the annual primary energy content of grid electricity was estimated to be 12226 MJ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000 naira and below</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001- 2000 naira</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-3000 naira</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3001 - 5000 naira</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>above 5000 naira</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Naira with the symbol ₦ is the local currency in Nigeria ($1 = ₦200, but varies with prevailing exchange rate)

Also, direct liquid fuel consumption for the residential buildings is as shown in Table 3. From the table, average liquid fuel consumption using the median as measure of central tendency indicates that 3 litres of kerosene was used per week (156 litres annually) while 15.5 litres of petrol was used per week (806 litres annually) by each household. Diesel use was negligible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Kerosene</th>
<th>Petrol</th>
<th>Diesel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>726</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 5 litres</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - 10 litres</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - 20 litres</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - 30 litre</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30 litres</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>775</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the consumption of LPG, the pattern is as shown in Table 4. From the table, the residents on the average refilled their 12.5 kg LPG cylinders every four weeks which is equivalent to 162.5 kg per household annually. Using equations 2 and 3, the primary energy content of grid electricity and direct fuel consumption was estimated.
Accordingly, grid electricity was estimated to be 12226 MJ while LPG, petrol and kerosene were estimated to be 7686 MJ, 26356 MJ and 5497 MJ respectively as depicted in Fig. 1. Hence, the annual operational energy for a residential unit was estimated at 51765 MJ which gives an annual operational energy intensity of about 431.4 MJ/m² and a life cycle operational energy intensity of 21570 MJ/m² for a building life span of 50 years. It is evident from Fig. 1 that the relative contribution of grid electricity to total operational energy was less than a quarter while that of LPG, petrol and kerosene altogether constituted slightly above three quarters of total operational energy of a housing unit. Hence, direct fuel combustion accounted for the bulk of the operational energy profile of the buildings.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every two weeks</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every three weeks</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four weeks &amp; above</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>94.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, the result of the study further showed that the respondents also relied on grid electricity and direct fuel combustion for their domestic hot water needs. Accordingly, 48.4% of respondents use portable electric kettle for their domestic hot water needs and 48.1% of respondents boil water by using kerosene stoves. The portable electric kettles found in the study context are made to switch off power supply immediately the water boils. The high incidence of portable electric kettle is likely due to the fact that electric water heaters were not originally installed in the buildings. Respondents that reported use of electric water heater may have installed them in their houses.

Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electric Kettle</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Kettle</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Heater</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Heater</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Water Heater</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Water Heater</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Stove</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Stove</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, the residents through responses to the questionnaire affirmed that energy storage in some form was practised.

5.3 Use of Energy Storage Devices

In addition, the result of the study further showed that the respondents also relied on grid electricity and direct fuel combustion for their domestic hot water needs. Accordingly, 48.4% of respondents use portable electric kettle for their domestic hot water needs and 48.1% of respondents boil water by using kerosene stoves. The portable electric kettles found in the study context are made to switch off power supply immediately the water boils. The high incidence of portable electric kettle is likely due to the fact that electric water heaters were not originally installed in the buildings. Respondents that reported use of electric water heater may have installed them in their houses.
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<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Stove</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Stove</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The result of the study as shown in Fig. 2 indicates that 76% of respondents (581) used rechargeable lamps for energy storage while 16% (120) do not use any form of energy storage appliance. Only 8% (62) of the residents used grid-connected batteries for storing energy. Lead-acid batteries were used because they were the most prevalent and affordable type in the study area. Battery sizes were estimated in such a way that it can provide for the night time need for lighting, cooling with fans and for household electronics.

5.4 Other Energy Sources

As a result of the irregular supply of grid electricity to the residences, fossil fuel-powered private electricity generators were used in the residences mostly as back-up for grid electricity. The result of this study as presented in Table 7 shows that with a total generator ownership of 812 units, the average ownership level of generators in the study area was 1.05 per household. The capacities of the generators ranged from below 1KVA to above 5KVA and the detailed ownership profile is as shown in Table 7. The aggregated ownership profile is as depicted in Fig. 3. From the table and the figure, the lower capacity generators (3KVA and below) accounted for about 74.5% of the generators which is an indication of the respondents’ preference for that class of generators. Further investigation through interview with the respondents indicated that the preference for the lower capacity generators was because it is the capacity that can support such basic end uses like lighting, cooling with fans, water pumping and to some extent refrigeration. Also the generators were powered by both petrol (PMS) and diesel (AGO) with the majority being powered by petrol. The above findings are in agreement with findings of an earlier study by Otegbulu (2011) which found that majority of the households used private electricity generators.

### Table 7
Generator Ownership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of generator per household</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Number of generators</th>
<th>Total generators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤1KVA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1KVA – 2KVA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1KVA – 3KVA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1KVA – 5KVA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5KVA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>812</td>
<td></td>
<td>812</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above explains the high incidence of direct fuel combustion, especially petrol, in the study area as indicated in Table 8 which further confirms that the predominant fuels used in the households were kerosene, petrol and LPG. Petrol was used by about 84% of the households and kerosene by about 75% of the households. Incidentally, the higher quality and more environmentally friendly LPG, was used by a lower proportion (63%) of the households.

### Table 8
Direct Fuel Use in the Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Fuel</th>
<th>Users</th>
<th>Non-users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>74.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrol</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPG</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Extracted from Tables 3 and 4

6. Discussions

In the present study, operational energy sources were grid electricity, LPG, petrol and kerosene. In the Indonesian study (Surahman & Kubota, 2012a, 2012b), operational energy sources were similar and included grid electricity, LPG and kerosene while the Indian study (Ramesh et al., 2013) was based on grid electricity supply only. Similarly, the Brazilian study (Paulsen & Sposto, 2013) was based on grid electricity and LPG using national consumption statistics. The life cycle operational energy intensity of 21570MJ/m² estimated in present study is higher than the 17500MJ/m² in the Brazilian study but lower than the average value of 54910MJ/m² estimated in the Indian study (Ramesh et al., 2013). The differences can be attributed to a number of factors of which the energy mix is prominent. Brazil with high renewable energy content (45%) as represented by hydroelectric plants has the most favourable energy mix of the three countries. The Indian energy mix is about 70% fossil fuel-driven while the Nigerian energy mix is lopsided in favour of fossil fuel powered thermal stations. The
operational energy intensity of 5350MJ/m² estimated for the luxurious apartment type in the Indonesian study is much lower than in the other studies which may be ascribed to the informal nature of the settlements studied and importantly due to the low space cooling requirement of the buildings which was estimated to be about 1 – 5 % of life cycle operational energy. From the foregoing, the role of the energy mix in the operational energy intensity of buildings can be recognised.

A close observation of the grid electricity consumption profile of the study is also instructive. With an annual grid electricity consumption of 1200kWh per annum per household of five occupants, the annual per capita electricity use in the study area is about 240kWh which is higher than the country average of 149kWh and 156kWh per capita as estimated at different times by the World Bank (2013). However, in comparison with the annual per capita electricity consumption of 684kWh and 2438kWh for India and Brazil respectively, as indicated by the World Bank (2013), the energy poverty in Nigeria becomes apparent. However, the low grid electricity consumption in Nigeria is not reflected in the operational energy intensity as it is even higher than that of Brazil. This is indicative of an inefficient energy system and implies that other forms of energy in use in the study area may be contributing substantially to the energy mix and ultimately operational energy intensity.

The preferred energy for lighting and other appliances in the studied buildings is grid electricity. However, the findings underscore the low contribution of grid electricity to the primary energy consumption profile of the residential units investigated. This could be ascribed to the relatively low level of grid electricity supply to the residential buildings. Electricity generation in the study area has for some time been characterised by low investment and poor maintenance. As a result, electricity supply has not grown alongside growth in gross domestic product (GDP) and population as well as with improvement in the purchasing power of the population. A close look at the relative contribution of the different components of operational energy reveals that in the study area, grid electricity accounted for about 24% of total operational energy while it accounted for 66% of total operational energy in the Brazilian study and up to 68% in the Indonesian study. It is only in the present study that petrol combustion at household level contributed as high as 51% of the total operational energy. Hence the domestic energy scenario is tilted towards direct fuel combustion which is not only detrimental to health but also a negation of the drive towards decarbonisation of energy supply.

From the findings, domestic energy use especially for cooking was dominated by transition fuel such as kerosene. Even though LPG contributed more than kerosene to the operational energy profile of the buildings, more respondents used kerosene than LPG. This is in agreement with national statistics (NBS, 2010) which indicates the prevalence of kerosene usage in the study area. Use of the more efficient LPG was not fully embraced by the households. Kerosene appears to be the most prevalent cooking fuel among low income residents while LPG was preferred by medium income residents. However, given the uncertainties in cooking fuel supply situation in the study area, residents tended to use both kerosene and LPG for cooking which is an indication that some form of energy stacking is applicable. Cooking energy as part of operational energy contributed 26% in the study area in comparison with 34% in the Brazilian study and 45% - 79% in the Indonesian study.

From the foregoing, it can be inferred that energy supply to the studied buildings is inadequate. As established in previous studies, inadequate supply of delivered energy such as electricity is often an impetus to embrace renewable energy. Given the cost implications of grid electricity infrastructure in terms of generation and distribution, the use of off-grid or micro-grid renewable energy becomes apposite. However, the results also indicate that use of renewable energy is as low as 5% in the study area but a marginal improvement on national statistics (NBS, 2010). The adoption of renewable energy technology to bridge energy supply gaps observed in studies such as Yuan et al, (2013; Sood et al, (2014; Luomi, 2014; Sangroya & Nayak, 2015) was not observed in the present study. Renewable energy use has not been integrated to the national grid. In contrast, grid-connected renewable energy is gaining prominence in many other contexts. In addition, energy storage using system of batteries connected to mains supply is also poorly diffused.

The concept of energy poverty could be said to characterise the study area given the fact that the vast energy resources are not optimally utilised. This is typically reflected in the grid electricity supply situation. With the challenges associated with generation and distribution, the electricity supply scenario is grossly inadequate and a clog in the wheel of economic development. It is also instructive that even in a scenario of low electrical energy supply, the residents’ response to energy efficiency and conservation appear inappropriate. This is because instead of the low supply to facilitate efficient alternatives, it resulted in more inefficient strategies that increased the energy intensity profile of the studied buildings. Hence the recourse to captive power supply using private generators is a response to poor supply from the national grid. This largely supports the suppressed demand theory which refers to a scenario where energy services provided are inadequate due to poverty or lack of access to modern energy infrastructure (Afa & Anerih, 2013). The energy scenario can improved with increased uptake of renewable energy technologies which can be facilitated by appropriate incentives.
7. Conclusion

The study investigated operational energy use with particular reference to the use of renewable and non-renewable energy sources in public residential buildings in Lagos, Nigeria. In all, non-renewable sources dominate renewable sources in operational energy use in the studied buildings. Operational energy intensity of the buildings was found to be comparable with what obtained in other studies in different contexts where per capita electricity consumption levels were higher. The relatively high operational energy intensity observed in the study area which is characterized by low electricity supply and consumption is indicative of inefficiency in the domestic energy scenario. Hence, a detailed study of the components of the operational energy indicated that direct fuel combustion to generate alternative to grid electricity, that is, captive generation accounted for about half of the operational energy intensity. The study also found that the opportunity provided by the low supply of electricity in the study area for RET use has not been effectively utilized.

Instead, carbon intensive fuel combustion for alternative electricity was deployed by majority of the residents. This resort to direct fossil fuel combustion in the residential units not only increased the operational energy intensity but also had the added disadvantage of increasing the carbon footprint of the residential units. In addition, direct fuel combustion at household levels can also pose safety challenges because of the highly volatile nature of the fuels. In fact, accidental explosions leading to loss of lives and property have been associated with direct fuel use in homes in the study area. In some instances entire families were wiped out due to overnight inhalation of carbon monoxide from portable electricity generators. Also, noise and chemical pollution with effects on occupants’ health and wellbeing have been reported.

The policy implications of the above are manifold. Energy use and energy intensity regulations in building codes are needed for new buildings. Importantly, carbon emissions regulations for households as a complement to energy regulations are needed. In this respect, use of RET installations becomes necessary as a way of promoting low carbon energy in the study context. However, given the multiple tenancy structure associated with the studied residential units, installation of RET retrofits can be very challenging. Hence, policy should target how groups of housing units can be encouraged to install combined PV retrofits including micro-grid renewable installations.

The best opportunity for incorporating RETs in buildings in the study area lies with new buildings. In the study area of Lagos, the total housing deficit has been estimated to be about 5 million housing units. In order to mitigate the operational energy impact of 5 million additional housing units, the use of renewable energy should be considered and incorporated. The enormous advantage of renewable energy can be deployed during the procurement process leading to bridging the housing deficit. In this respect, the use of both PVs and building integrated PVs is recommended.

Further research on ways of encouraging renewable energy use in the study area is required. Given the high initial cost of RET as reported in previous studies, research should focus on long term economic benefits to the user as well as incentives to encourage uptake. Importantly, the use of incentives for the adoption of RET in new residential buildings as well as for the installation of RET as retrofits to existing buildings should be encouraged.
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