THE EFFECTS OF SYNCHRONIZATION OF CARBOHYDRATE AND PROTEIN SUPPLY IN SUGARCANE BAGASSE BASED RATION ON BODY COMPOSITION OF SHEEP

N. E. Wati, L. K. Nuswantara, F. Wahyono, E. Pangestu and J. Achmadi¹

Faculty of Animal and Agricultural Sciences, Diponegoro University, Tembalang Campus, Semarang 50275 - Indonesia ¹Corresponding E-mail: jachmadi@gmail.com

Received August 19, 2015; Accepted October 21, 2015

ABSTRAK

Penelitian bertujuan untuk mengkaji pengaruh ransum berbasis bagase dengan sinkronisasi pasokan energi dan nitrogen terhadap komposisi tubuh domba. Penelitian terdiri dari dua tahap. Tahap pertama menggunakan dua ekor domba ekor tipis jantan dewasa berfistula rumen bertujuan untuk menyusun tiga formulasi ransum perlakuan dengan indeks sinkroni yang berbeda. Ransum perlakuan diformulasikan dengan indeks sinkroni yang berbeda, masing-masing yaitu level indeks sinkroni 0,37; 0,50; 0,63. Penelitian tahap kedua bertujuan untuk mengkaji pengaruh pemberian ransum perlakuan yang diformulasikan pada tahap pertama terhadap komposisi tubuh domba dengan menggunakan lima belas ekor domba ekor tipis jantan. Ransum perlakuan juga diformulasikan secara isoenergi, isonitrogen dan isoNDF. Komposisi tubuh domba diukur pada minggu 0, 4 dan 8 periode perlakuan dengan metode urea space. Level indeks sinkroni tidak berpengaruh nyata terhadap konsumsi pakan, rasio asetat propionat dan konsentrasi glukosa darah, akan tetapi berpengaruh nyata terhadap persentase protein, lemak dan air tubuh meskipun bobot badan domba sedikit meningkat selama periode penelitian.

Kata kunci :indeks sinkronisasi,bagase, komposisi tubuh, domba

ABSTRACT

The objective of this research was to study the effects of synchronization of carbohydrate and protein supply in sugarcane bagasse based ration on the body composition of sheep. The study was consisted of two steps of experiment. The first step of experiment used two rumen cannulated adult rams to create formulation of three diets with different synchronization index, namely 0.37; 0.50 and 0.63 respectively. The experimental diets were designed to be iso-energy, iso-nitrogenous and iso-neutral detergent fibre (iso-NDF). The second step of experiment was to determine the body composition of sheep fed the experimental diets, which were created in the first experiment. The body composition of fifteen rams were determined on week 0; 4; and 8 of experimental period, these were accomplished using the technique of urea dilution. The alteration of synchronization index did not affect on feed intake, ratio of ruminal acetate to propionate and serum glucose concentration, but dry matter (DM) digestibility was affected (P<0.05) by the treatment of synchronization index in the diet. The alteration of synchronization index in the diet did not affect on the percentage of body protein, fat and water significantly, though body weight of sheep gained slightly during the experimental period.

Keywords : bagasse, body composition, sheep, synchronization index

of of The effect synchronization carbohydrate and protein supply in a rice wine residue based ration on protein metabolism parameters was studied in Holstein steers (Piao et al., 2012). Kaswari et al. (2007) reported the relationship between the synchronization index and the microbial protein synthesis in the rumen of dairy cows. Chumpawadee et al. (2006) clarified the effect of synchronizing the rate of dietary energy and nitrogen release on protein metabolism parameters in beef cattle. The effect of synchronization of nutrient supply to the rumen and dietary energy source on the growth of lambs was studied by Richardson et al. (2003). Schmidely et al. (1996) studied the potential effects of the synchronization of release of nitrogen and carbohydrates of the concentrate on some metabolic parameters in the dry pregnant goat. There is a little information concerning with the body composition of sheep fed on sugarcane bagasse based diet with different synchronization index.

A diet with higher synchronization index should allow more efficiently use of nutrients by rumen microbes, increase microbial protein and fermentation end products, and thus more increase available protein in the small intestine (Yang *et al.*, 2010; Hall and Huntington, 2008). It is postulated that diet with high synchronization index may increase protein proportion of body composition in sheep. The objective of this study was to examine the body composition of sheep fed sugarcane bagasse based diets with different synchronization index. This was accomplished by using the technique of urea dilution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was consisted of two steps experiments. The first step was formulation of three sugarcane based-experimental diets with different synchronization index, and the second step was feeding trial using the experimental diets.

First Step

The aim of the first step of experiment was to create three sugarcane based diets with respective 0.37; 0.50; and 0.63 of synchronization indexes. Two adults thin tail local cross bred rams were used in the first experiment. Sheep were fitted with permanent rumen fistula and were housed individually in the metabolic cages. The experimental animals received a ration (12% CP; 62 %TDN; 55%NDF) daily at a maintenance level. The determination of ruminal degradation characteristics of feedstuffs were conducted after two weeks of dietary adaptation.

Approximately 5 grams of feedstuff sample was placed in a nylon bag with-mean pore size of 45 μ m. The nylon bags were then placed into rumen of sheep approximately 30 min after morning feeding. After 0, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, 48 h the bags were retrieved from rumen and were washed using washing machine until the rinse water became clear. Zero-hour bags were not incubated in the rumen but were washed in the same manner as incubated bags. After being washed, bags were dried at 60 °C for 48 hours and weighed. The dried residues were analysed for dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM) and crude protein (CP) contents.

The synchronization index was calculated according to Sinclair *et al.* (1993), where an asynchronization index of 1.0 represents perfect synchronization between nitrogen and energy supply throughout the day, while the values of <1.0 indicated the degree of asynchronization. Table 1 shows the nutrient composition and ingredients of three experimental diets in the form of total mixed rations (TMR), which were designed to have synchronization index at 0.37; 0.50; 0.63; respectively. The experimental diets were also designed to be iso-energy and iso-nitrogenous.

Urea was also included in the data-base and it was assumed that 95% of urea N was degraded in the first hour after feeding, with the remaining 5% of urea N degraded at a rate of 0.5/h (Sinclair *et al.*, 1995). Sugarcane molasses was assumed that 100% N and OM were degraded in the first hour post feeding.

Second Step

This step of experiment was aimed to determine the body composition of sheep fed sugarcane based diets with different synchronization index. Fifteen thin tail local cross bred rams with the body weight average of 18 kg and aged at 12 monts were used in the second experiment. Animals were divided into three groups and fed three sugarcane based diets with different synchronization index (Table 1). Sheep were housed individually in metabolic cages and drinking water was available at all time. The determination of sheep's body condition were

	Synchronization Index of Experimental Diet					
	0.37	0.50	0.63			
		%				
Ingredients (based on 100% DM)						
Sugarcane bagasse	25.0	25.0	25.0			
Rice bran	2.5	4.0	5.6			
Molasses	2.0	4.0	7.0			
Copra meal	2.5	6.0	16.3			
Urea	0.7	0.5	0.2			
Palm frond meal	16.5	9.0	1.0			
Coffe seed shell	2.3	2.0	3.8			
Onggok/ dried cassava	30.2	15.5	2.2			
Wheat pollard	1.1	15.5	23.0			
Groundnuts shell	1.6	3.5	3.6			
Corn	5.8	4.5	0.5			
Soybean meal	11.3	10.0	11.3			
Salt	0.5	0.5	0.5			
Chemical compositions						
Crude protein	12.06	12.17	12.95			
Crude fiber	25.30	24.60	25.32			
Extract ether	3.37	3.44	3.70			
Neutral detergent fiber	54.80	55.97	55.98			
Carbohydrate	81.03	79.85	77.40			
Non Structural Carbohydrate	26.22	23.89	21.43			
Total digestible nutrients ¹⁾	62.05	62.93	62.96			

Table 1. Ingredients and Composition of Experimental Diets

¹⁾Calculated according to Hartadi *et al.* (2005)

conducted at week 0; 4; and 8 of feeding trial period, these were accomplished by using the technique of urea dilution (Hanna, 2010; Baiti *et al.*, 2013). Prior to commencements of the urea dilution technique, the live body weight of sheep were determined by using the digital scale with an accuracy of 0,01 kg.

In the urea dilution technique, a solution of urea of 200 g per liter (0.65 mg per live body weight) was injected into the jugular vein of sheep through a cannula at a rate of 120 ml/min. Blood samples were taken into heparinized test tubes before injection and 12 min after the mid-time of the injection period. They were put immediately on ice and separated within 2 h, and the plasma was stored at -20°C for analysis. Urea space (US) was calculated by the following equation: US = $D/(C_{12} - C_0)$, where US = urea space (liters); D = dose (grams of urea), and $(C_{12} - C_0)$ = the change in urea concentrations (gram per liter) in plasma between samples that were taken before and 12 min after urea injection.

Additional blood sampling was also conducted to study the incremental increase of blood glucose after feeding in each sheep. This observation was conducted three days after commencement of urea dilution technique experiment. Blood sampling were taken before and three hours after feeding. Three days after this blood sampling, sample of rumen liquor was taken in each sheep by using the stomach tube. This rumen liquor sample was then used for acetate and propionate analysis. The samples of rumen liquor were taken before and 2 hours after feeding.

Chemical and Statistical Analyses

Content of proximate components and neutral detergent fiber of feeds were analyzed according AOAC (1995) and Van Soest *et al.* (1991), respectively. Blood urea concentration was assayed according to the method of Berthelot (AOAC, 1995). Serum glucose concentration was determined using a glucose assay kit (Glucose liquicolor, Human Geselschaft fur Biochemica und Diagnostica Gmbh, Taunusstein, Germany). Concentrations of acetate and propinoate in rumen liquor were analyzed according to method of gas chromatography (AOAC, 1995).

The dietary treatment was allotted according to a completely randomized design with five replicates of each treatment, and one way analyse of variance was used to test the data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Feed Intake and Digestibility

The different synchronization index for sugarcane bagasse based diets did not affect significantly on daily consumption of DM, CP, and carbohydrate (Table NDF 1). The experimental diets were formulated to have similar ingredient and nutrient content but differed in value of synchronization index (Table 1). All sheep consumed the same amount of nutrients at the same time, thus avoiding effects of ingredient characteristics and intake level on the synchronization of supplies from ruminal product of carbohydrate and nitrogen degradations (Piao et al., 2012).

Table 2 shows that the DM digestibility of the diet with a synchronization index at 0.50 was higher (P<0.05) compared with those of diets with synchronization index at 0.37 and 0.63. The higher synchronization index was expected to have higher nutrient digestibility in gastrointestinal tracts, because of supply from ruminal product of carbohydrate and nitrogen degradations are improved (Yang *et al.*, 2010; Chumpawadee *et al.*, 2006). However, it is not clear why the DM digestibility of the diet with a synchronization index at 0.63 was the lowest (Table 2). The alteration of synchronization index in diet did not affect on the apparent feed digestibility in steers (Piao *et al.*, 2012), beef cattle (Rotger *et al.*, 2006), and lactating dairy cows (Chanjula *et al.*, 2004).

Ruminal Fermentability of Feed Carbohydrate and Blood Serum Glucose

Ratio of ruminal acetate to propionate was unaffected by alteration of synchronization index in the diet (Table 2), although the DM digestibility was improved by the alteration of synchronization index. Sugarcane bagasse is well known as a source of fiber in balancing ruminant diet, and all diets were designed to contain the same amount of sugarcane bagasse (Table 1). Chumpawadee *et al.* (2006) reported that increasing the synchronization index in diet may improve the DM digestibility but the ruminal VFAs concentrations remain unchanged. There are greater fluctuations of VFAs concentrations over feeding times in goat when fed grass or alfalfa hay (Cantalapiedra-Hijar *et al.*, 2009).

Treatment of synchronization index in the diet did not affect significantly on serum glucose concentrations before and after feeding in sheep (Table 2). The magnitude effect of feeding on increasing blood glucose concentration may be smaller in ruminant than in monogastric animal because ruminant mostly rely on hepatic glucose output (Achmadi, 2012). The unchanged serum glucose level may be related to ruminal VFAs concentrations. The value of ratio of non-glucogenic to glucogenic VFAs may be used to measure carbohydrate feed utilization, because propionate is a main precursor of hepatic glucose production (Orskov, 2002).

Body Composition of Sheep

Percentage of body components of sheep remained similar throughout experimental period, although the body weight of sheep gained slightly (Table 2). Although the microbial protein synthesis was not determined in this experiment, the improvement of supply from ruminal product of carbohydrate and nitrogen degradations could be expected to increase microbial protein synthesis which in turn increasing post ruminal protein availability for synthesis of sheep body protein. However, the alteration of synchronization index in the diet did not change the percentage of body protein. It is suggested that

Parameters 0.37 0.50 0.63 Feed Consumption ² $\%_{0}$ $\%_{0}$ $\%_{0}$ Dry matter, g/d/BW ^{0.75} 71.36 ± 7.99 72.75 ± 8.79 70.12 ± 12.31 Crude protein, g/d/ BW ^{0.75} 39.10 ± 4.38 40.72 ± 4.92 39.25 ± 6.89 Carbohydrate, g/d/ BW ^{0.75} 39.10 ± 4.38 40.72 ± 4.92 39.25 ± 6.89 Carbohydrate, g/d/ BW ^{0.75} 57.82 ± 6.48 58.09 ± 7.02 54.29 ± 9.53 Dry matter digestibility, % 58.60 ± 2.01 ^b 62.68 ± 1.25 ^a 53.78 ± 2.86 ^c Ratio of Ruminal C2 to C3 Before feeding 1.91 ± 0.06 1.96 ± 0.10 1.82 ± 0.10 ^b Sonsentration of serum glucose 0 weeks 61.70 ± 6.88 67.34 ± 4.59 67.92 ± 6.61 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 62.82 ± 5.54 70.40 ± 4.72 71.24 ± 7.85 4 weeks Before feeding, mg/dL 63.72 ± 8.62 64.36 ± 3.78 60.92 ± 5.52 8 weeks Before feeding, mg/dL 72.32 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg 0 weeks 18.12 ± 2.25 18.24 ±	Parameters	Synchronization index of experimental diet			
%		0.37	0.50	0.63	
Feed Consumption ² Dry matter, $g/d/BW^{0.75}$ 71.36 ± 7.9972.75 ± 8.7970.12 ± 12.31Crude protein, $g/d/BW^{0.75}$ 8.60 ± 0.968.85 ± 1.079.08 ± 1.59Neutral detergent fiber, $g/d/BW^{0.75}$ 39.10 ± 4.3840.72 ± 4.9239.25 ± 6.89Carbohydrate, $g/d/BW^{0.75}$ 57.82 ± 6.4858.09 ± 7.0254.29 ± 9.53Dry matter digestibility, %58.60 ± 2.01b62.68 ± 1.25a53.78 ± 2.86cRatio of Rumial C2 to C3Before feeding1.91 ± 0.061.96 ± 0.101.82 ± 0.10Two hour after feeding4.32 ± 0.13a3.52 ± 0.01b3.38 ± 0.19bConsentration of serum glucose0weeks1.91 ± 0.061.96 ± 0.101.82 ± 0.10WeeksBefore feeding, mg/dL61.70 ± 6.8867.34 ± 4.5967.92 ± 6.61Three hours after feeding, mg/dL62.82 ± 8.5570.40 ± 4.7271.24 ± 7.854 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL63.72 ± 8.6264.36 ± 3.7860.92 ± 5.528 weeks18.12 ± 2.2518.24 ± 1.8618.36 ± 2.494 weeks19.24 ± 2.4320.20 ± 2.4820.08 ± 2.188 weeks23.4 ± 2.4723.00 ± 3.1520.40 ± 1.22Body Weight, kg00.24 ± 2.4320.20 ± 2.4820.84 ± 1.450 weeks18.12 ± 2.2518.24 ± 1.8618.36 ± 2.494 weeks19.24 ± 2.4320.02 ± 2.4820.08 ± 2.188 weeks23.4 ± 2.4723.00 ± 3.1520.40 ± 1.22Body weight, kg0.20 ± 0.8210.30 ± 0.4210.34 ± 0.54<			%		
Dry matter, g/d/BW $^{0.75}$ 71.36 \pm 7.9972.75 \pm 8.7970.12 \pm 12.31Crude protein, g/d/ BW $^{0.75}$ 8.60 \pm 0.968.85 \pm 1.079.08 \pm 1.59Neutral detergent fiber, g/d/ BW $^{0.75}$ 39.10 \pm 4.3840.72 \pm 4.9239.25 \pm 6.89Carbohydrate, g/d/ BW $^{0.75}$ 57.82 \pm 6.4858.09 \pm 7.0254.29 \pm 9.53Dry matter digestibility, %58.60 \pm 2.01 b 62.68 \pm 1.25 a 53.78 \pm 2.86 c Ratio of Ruminal C2 to C3Before feeding1.91 \pm 0.061.96 \pm 0.101.82 \pm 0.10Two hour after feeding4.32 \pm 0.13 a 3.52 \pm 0.01 b 3.38 \pm 0.19 b Consentration of serum glucose0weeks67.34 \pm 4.5967.92 \pm 6.61Three hours after feeding, mg/dL61.70 \pm 6.8867.34 \pm 4.5967.92 \pm 6.61Three hours after feeding, mg/dL62.82 \pm 8.8563.60 \pm 4.6559.26 \pm 6.56Three hours after feeding, mg/dL65.10 \pm 6.8663.66 \pm 5.5963.48 \pm 5.02Three hours after feeding, mg/dL7.32 \pm 2.6870.50 \pm 5.3870.58 \pm 7.40Body Weight, kg000.20 \pm 2.4820.02 \pm 2.4820.08 \pm 2.188 weeks19.24 \pm 2.4320.20 \pm 2.4820.04 \pm 1.22Body water,%59.63 \pm 0.7359.09 \pm 0.2659.42 \pm 0.54Body water,%59.63 \pm 0.7359.09 \pm 0.2659.42 \pm 0.54Body water,%59.63 \pm 0.7359.09 \pm 0.2659.42 \pm 0.54Body water,%59.16 \pm 0.4358.99 \pm 0.49 <td>Feed Consumption²</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Feed Consumption ²				
Crude protein, $g/d/BW^{0.75}$ 8.60 ± 0.96 8.85 ± 1.07 9.08 ± 1.59 Neutral detergent fiber, $g/d/BW^{0.75}$ 39.10 ± 4.38 40.72 ± 4.92 39.25 ± 6.89 Carbohydrate, $g/d/BW^{0.75}$ 57.82 ± 6.48 58.09 ± 7.02 54.29 ± 9.53 Dry matter digestibility, % 58.60 ± 2.01^b 62.68 ± 1.25^a 53.78 ± 2.86^c Ratio of Ruminal C2 to C3 1.91 ± 0.06 1.96 ± 0.10 1.82 ± 0.10 Two hour after feeding 4.32 ± 0.13^a 3.52 ± 0.01^b 3.38 ± 0.19^b Consentration of serum glucose 0 weeks 0 0 0.42 ± 7.27 0 weeks 0 $0.67.34 \pm 4.59$ 67.92 ± 6.61 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 61.70 ± 6.88 67.34 ± 4.59 67.92 ± 6.61 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 62.82 ± 8.85 63.60 ± 4.65 59.26 ± 6.56 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 62.10 ± 6.86 63.66 ± 5.59 63.48 ± 5.02 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 72.32 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg 0 0 0 0.42 ± 1.22 $10.30 \pm 0.42 \pm 1.22$ 0 weeks 19.24 ± 2.43 20.20 ± 2.48 20.04 ± 1.22 Body water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.74 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.63 <td< td=""><td>Dry matter, g/d/BW^{0.75}</td><td>71.36 ± 7.99</td><td>72.75 ± 8.79</td><td>70.12 ± 12.31</td></td<>	Dry matter, g/d/BW ^{0.75}	71.36 ± 7.99	72.75 ± 8.79	70.12 ± 12.31	
Neutral detergent fiber, g/d $BW^{0.75}$ 39.10 ± 4.38 40.72 ± 4.92 39.25 ± 6.89 Carbohydrate, g/d $BW^{0.75}$ 57.82 ± 6.48 58.09 ± 7.02 54.29 ± 9.53 Dry matter digestibility, % 58.60 ± 2.01^b 62.68 ± 1.25^a 53.78 ± 2.86^c Ratio of Ruminal C2 to C3 1.91 ± 0.06 1.96 ± 0.10 1.82 ± 0.10 Two hour after feeding 4.32 ± 0.13^a 3.52 ± 0.01^b 3.38 ± 0.19^b Consentration of serum glucose 0 0 0 0.82 ± 0.13^a 3.52 ± 0.01^b 0 weeks 0 0.96 ± 0.10 1.82 ± 0.10^c 0.92 ± 6.61^c Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 61.70 ± 6.88 67.34 ± 4.59 67.92 ± 6.61^c Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 62.82 ± 8.85^c 63.60 ± 4.65^c 59.26 ± 6.56^c Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 63.72 ± 8.62^c 64.36 ± 3.78^c 60.92 ± 5.52^c 8 weeks 0 0 0.92 ± 2.52^c 0.348 ± 5.02^c 0.92 ± 2.52^c 8 weeks 0 0.22 ± 2.68^c 70.50 ± 5.38^c 70.58 ± 7.40^c Body Weight, kg 0 0 0.22 ± 2.48^c 20.08 ± 2.18^c 0 weeks 18.12 ± 2.25^c 18.24 ± 1.86^c 18.36 ± 2.49^c 0 weeks 0.20 ± 0.73^c 59.09 ± 0.26^c 59.42 ± 0.54^c 0 doy water,% 59.63 ± 0.73^c 59.09 ± 0.26^c 59.42 ± 0.54^c 0 doy water,% 59.63 ± 0.73^c 59.09 ± 0.26^c 59.42 ± 0.54^c 0 doy water,% 59.16 ± 0.43^c 59.049 ± 0.42^c 5	Crude protein, g/d/ BW ^{0.75}	8.60 ± 0.96	8.85 ± 1.07	9.08 ± 1.59	
Carbohydrate, g/d/ BW $^{0.75}$ 57.82 ± 6.4858.09 ± 7.0254.29 ± 9.53Dry matter digestibility, %58.60 ± 2.01b62.68 ± 1.25a53.78 ± 2.86cRatio of Ruminal C2 to C31.91 ± 0.061.96 ± 0.101.82 ± 0.10Two hour after feeding4.32 ± 0.13a3.52 ± 0.01b3.38 ± 0.19bConsentration of serum glucose0000.82 ± 0.13a3.52 ± 0.01b0 weeks67.34 ± 4.5967.92 ± 6.61Three hours after feeding, mg/dL61.70 ± 6.8867.34 ± 4.5967.92 ± 6.61Three hours after feeding, mg/dL62.82 ± 8.8563.60 ± 4.6559.26 ± 6.56Three hours after feeding, mg/dL63.72 ± 8.6264.36 ± 3.7860.92 ± 5.528 weeks81.92 ± 2.2518.24 ± 1.8618.36 ± 2.499 weeks18.12 ± 2.2518.24 ± 1.8618.36 ± 2.494 weeks19.24 ± 2.4320.20 ± 2.4820.08 ± 2.188 weeks23.4 ± 2.4723.00 ± 3.1520.40 ± 1.22Body water,%59.63 ± 0.7359.09 ± 0.2659.42 ± 0.54Body motein,%10.20 ± 0.8210.30 ± 0.4210.34 ± 0.54Body mater,%59.16 ± 0.4358.99 ± 0.4959.13 ± 0.74Body water,%59.16 ± 0.4358.99 ± 0.4959.13 ± 0.74Body water,%59.16 ± 0.4358.99 ± 0.4259.14 ± 0.47Body water,%59.16 ± 0.4358.99 ± 0.4259.14 ± 0.47Body water,%59.16 ± 0.4258.98 ± 0.4259.14 ± 0.47Body water,%59.16 ± 0.4259.14 ± 0.47 <td>Neutral detergent fiber, g/d/ BW^{0.75}</td> <td>39.10 ± 4.38</td> <td>40.72 ± 4.92</td> <td>39.25 ± 6.89</td>	Neutral detergent fiber, g/d/ BW ^{0.75}	39.10 ± 4.38	40.72 ± 4.92	39.25 ± 6.89	
Dry matter digestibility, % 58.60 ± 2.01^b 62.68 ± 1.25^a 53.78 ± 2.86^c Ratio of Ruminal C2 to C3Before feeding 1.91 ± 0.06 1.96 ± 0.10 1.82 ± 0.10 Two hour after feeding 4.32 ± 0.13^a 3.52 ± 0.01^b 3.38 ± 0.19^b Consentration of serum glucose0weeks67.34 \pm 4.59 67.92 ± 6.61 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 61.70 ± 6.88 67.34 ± 4.59 67.92 ± 6.61 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 62.82 ± 8.55 70.40 ± 4.72 71.24 ± 7.85 4 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 62.82 ± 8.85 63.60 ± 4.65 59.26 ± 6.56 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 63.72 ± 8.62 64.36 ± 3.78 60.92 ± 5.52 8 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 72.32 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg000 92.4 ± 2.43 20.20 ± 2.48 20.08 ± 2.18 0 weeks18.12 \pm 2.25 18.24 ± 1.86 18.36 ± 2.49 4 4 weeks23.4 \pm 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body composition00weeks 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body motein,%10.20 \pm 0.8210.30 \pm 0.4210.34 \pm 0.54 59.64 ± 0.37 Body water,%59.16 \pm 0.4358.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body motein,%10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body motein,%10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body water,%59.16 ± 0.420 58.98 ± 0.42 <td>Carbohydrate, g/d/ BW^{0.75}</td> <td>57.82 ± 6.48</td> <td>58.09 ± 7.02</td> <td>54.29 ± 9.53</td>	Carbohydrate, g/d/ BW ^{0.75}	57.82 ± 6.48	58.09 ± 7.02	54.29 ± 9.53	
Ratio of Ruminal C2 to C3Before feeding 1.91 ± 0.06 1.96 ± 0.10 1.82 ± 0.10 Two hour after feeding 4.32 ± 0.13^a 3.52 ± 0.01^b 3.38 ± 0.19^b Consentration of serum glucose0weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 61.70 ± 6.88 67.34 ± 4.59 67.92 ± 6.61 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 65.28 ± 5.54 70.40 ± 4.72 71.24 ± 7.85 4 weeks8 67.32 ± 8.62 64.36 ± 3.78 60.92 ± 5.52 8 weeks8 67.52 ± 8.62 64.36 ± 3.78 60.92 ± 5.52 8 weeks8 67.52 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg0 8.12 ± 2.25 18.24 ± 1.86 18.36 ± 2.49 4 weeks 19.24 ± 2.43 20.20 ± 2.48 20.08 ± 2.18 8 weeks 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body were,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.61 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body water,% 59.51 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 Body water,% 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 Body water,% 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47	Dry matter digestibility, %	58.60 ± 2.01^{b}	62.68 ± 1.25^{a}	$53.78 \pm 2.86^{\circ}$	
Before feeding 1.91 ± 0.06 1.96 ± 0.10 1.82 ± 0.10 Two hour after feeding 4.32 ± 0.13^a 3.52 ± 0.01^b 3.38 ± 0.19^b Consentration of serum glucose00 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 61.70 ± 6.88 67.34 ± 4.59 67.92 ± 6.61 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 65.28 ± 5.54 70.40 ± 4.72 71.24 ± 7.85 4 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 62.82 ± 8.85 63.60 ± 4.65 59.26 ± 6.56 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 63.72 ± 8.62 64.36 ± 3.78 60.92 ± 5.52 8 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 65.10 ± 6.86 63.66 ± 5.59 63.48 ± 5.02 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 72.32 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg0000 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body composition000 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 80.97 $8 weeks$ 92.4 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeks 92.8 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeks 92.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body water,% 59.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 Body water,% 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 Body water,% 58.55 ± 0.20 <td>Ratio of Ruminal C2 to C3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Ratio of Ruminal C2 to C3				
Two hour after feeding 4.32 ± 0.13^a 3.52 ± 0.01^b 3.38 ± 0.19^b Consentration of serum glucose00 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 61.70 ± 6.88 67.34 ± 4.59 67.92 ± 6.61 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 65.28 ± 5.54 70.40 ± 4.72 71.24 ± 7.85 4 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 62.82 ± 8.85 63.60 ± 4.65 59.26 ± 6.56 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 63.72 ± 8.62 64.36 ± 3.78 60.92 ± 5.52 8 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 65.10 ± 6.86 63.66 ± 5.59 63.48 ± 5.02 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 72.32 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg0000 84.24 ± 1.86 18.36 ± 2.49 4 weeks19.24 \pm 2.43 20.20 ± 2.48 20.08 ± 2.18 8 weeks23.4 \pm 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body mater,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.72 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 80.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 Body water,% 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47	Before feeding	1.91 ± 0.06	1.96 ± 0.10	1.82 ± 0.10	
Consentration of serum glucose 0 weeks Before feeding, mg/dL 1 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 4 weeks Before feeding, mg/dL 62.82 \pm 5.54 70.40 \pm 4.72 71.24 \pm 7.85 4 weeks Before feeding, mg/dL 62.82 \pm 8.85 63.60 \pm 4.65 59.26 \pm 6.56 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 63.72 \pm 8.62 64.36 \pm 3.78 60.92 \pm 5.52 8 weeks Before feeding, mg/dL 65.10 \pm 6.86 63.66 \pm 5.59 63.48 \pm 5.02 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 72.32 \pm 2.68 70.50 \pm 5.38 70.58 \pm 7.40 Body Weight, kg 0 weeks 18.12 \pm 2.25 18.24 \pm 1.86 18.36 \pm 2.49 4 weeks 19.24 \pm 2.43 20.20 \pm 2.48 20.08 \pm 2.18 8 weeks 23.4 \pm 2.47 23.00 \pm 3.15 20.40 \pm 1.22 Body composition 0 weeks Body water,% 59.63 \pm 0.73 59.09 \pm 0.26 59.42 \pm 0.54 Body protein,% 10.20 \pm 0.82 10.30 \pm 0.42 10.34 \pm 0.54 Body water,% 59.16 \pm 0.43 58.99 \pm 0.49 59.13 \pm 0.74 Body protein,% 10.32 \pm 0.73 10.65 \pm 0.50 10.68 \pm 0.37 Body fat,% 59.16 \pm 0.43 58.99 \pm 0.42 59.14 \pm 0.74 Body water,% 59.16 \pm 0.43 58.99 \pm 0.42 59.14 \pm 0.74 Body water,% 59.16 \pm 0.73 10.65 \pm 0.50 10.68 \pm 0.37 Body fat,% 59.16 \pm 0.73 10.74 58.98 \pm 0.42 59.14 \pm 0.47 Puloe 4 \pm 59.14 \pm 0.47 50.15 \pm 0.20 58.98 \pm 0.42 59.14 \pm 0.47 50.15 \pm 0.20 58.98 \pm 0.42 59.14 \pm 0.47 50.15 \pm 0.20 58.98 \pm 0.42 59.14 \pm 0.47 50.15 \pm 0.45 50.15 \pm 0.20 58.98 \pm 0.42 59.14 \pm 0.47 50.15 \pm 0.45 50.15 \pm 0.20 58.98 \pm 0.42 59.14 \pm 0.47 50.15 \pm 0.47 50.15 \pm 0.47 50.15 \pm 0.47 50.15 \pm 0.45 50.15 \pm 0.40 50.15 \pm 0.47 50.14 \pm 0.	Two hour after feeding	4.32 ± 0.13^{a}	3.52 ± 0.01^{b}	3.38 ± 0.19^{b}	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Consentration of serum glucose				
Before feeding, mg/dL 61.70 ± 6.88 67.34 ± 4.59 67.92 ± 6.61 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 65.28 ± 5.54 70.40 ± 4.72 71.24 ± 7.85 4 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 62.82 ± 8.85 63.60 ± 4.65 59.26 ± 6.56 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 63.72 ± 8.62 64.36 ± 3.78 60.92 ± 5.52 8 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 65.10 ± 6.86 63.66 ± 5.59 63.48 ± 5.02 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 72.32 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg 0 weeks 18.12 ± 2.25 18.24 ± 1.86 18.36 ± 2.49 4 weeks 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body composition 0 weeks 0.42 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body protein,% 10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body stat,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body water,% 59.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 Body water,% 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 Body water,% 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47	0 weeks				
Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 65.28 ± 5.54 70.40 ± 4.72 71.24 ± 7.85 4 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 62.82 ± 8.85 63.60 ± 4.65 59.26 ± 6.56 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 63.72 ± 8.62 64.36 ± 3.78 60.92 ± 5.52 8 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 65.10 ± 6.86 63.66 ± 5.59 63.48 ± 5.02 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 72.32 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg 0 weeks 18.12 ± 2.25 18.24 ± 1.86 18.36 ± 2.49 4 weeks 19.24 ± 2.43 20.20 ± 2.48 20.08 ± 2.18 8 weeks 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body composition 0 weeks 0.20 ± 0.82 10.30 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 59.09 ± 0.57 10.68 ± 0.37 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body protein,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 80 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.64 19.92 ± 0.96	Before feeding, mg/dL	61.70 ± 6.88	67.34 ± 4.59	67.92 ± 6.61	
4 weeks Before feeding, mg/dL 62.82 ± 8.85 63.60 ± 4.65 59.26 ± 6.56 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 63.72 ± 8.62 64.36 ± 3.78 60.92 ± 5.52 8 weeks Before feeding, mg/dL 65.10 ± 6.86 63.66 ± 5.59 63.48 ± 5.02 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 72.32 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg 0 weeks 18.12 ± 2.25 18.24 ± 1.86 18.36 ± 2.49 4 weeks 19.24 ± 2.43 20.20 ± 2.48 20.08 ± 2.18 8 weeks 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body composition 0 weeks 10.20 ± 0.82 10.30 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body mater,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body fat,% 19.28 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeks Body mater,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body motein,% 10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body fat,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body fat,% 59.020 5	Three hours after feeding, mg/dL	65.28 ± 5.54	70.40 ± 4.72	71.24 ± 7.85	
Before feeding, mg/dL 62.82 ± 8.85 63.60 ± 4.65 59.26 ± 6.56 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 63.72 ± 8.62 64.36 ± 3.78 60.92 ± 5.52 8 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 65.10 ± 6.86 63.66 ± 5.59 63.48 ± 5.02 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 72.32 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg 0 weeks 18.12 ± 2.25 18.24 ± 1.86 18.36 ± 2.49 4 weeks 19.24 ± 2.43 20.20 ± 2.48 20.08 ± 2.18 8 weeks 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body composition 0 weeks 0.20 ± 0.82 10.30 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body protein,% 19.28 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeks 99.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body protein,% 10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body fat,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body fat,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body fat,% 59.16 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeksBody water,% 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 P a least 10 for a 10 for a 10 20 11.07 ± 0.22 11.107 ± 0.22 11.07 ± 0.22	4 weeks				
Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 63.72 ± 8.62 64.36 ± 3.78 60.92 ± 5.52 8 weeksBefore feeding, mg/dL 65.10 ± 6.86 63.66 ± 5.59 63.48 ± 5.02 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 72.32 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg 0 weeks 18.12 ± 2.25 18.24 ± 1.86 18.36 ± 2.49 4 weeks 19.24 ± 2.43 20.20 ± 2.48 20.08 ± 2.18 8 weeks 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body composition 0 weeks 0.20 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body mater,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body fat,% 19.28 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeks 0.30 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body mater,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body fat,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 9.10 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 9 weeks 9.10 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96	Before feeding, mg/dL	62.82 ± 8.85	63.60 ± 4.65	59.26 ± 6.56	
8 weeks Before feeding, mg/dL Three hours after feeding, mg/dL Body Weight, kg 0 weeks 4 weeks Body ater,% Body water,% Body water,% Body water,% Body water,% Body water,% Body water,% Body water,% Body fat,% 10.20 ± 0.43 10.20 ± 0.42 10.30 ± 0.43 10.55 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 10.05 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 10.05 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 10.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks Body water,% 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 P. Ju water,% 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 P. Ju water,% 59.14 ± 0.47 10.72 ± 0.32 11.107 ± 0.47 11.107 ± 0.32 11.107 ± 0.32	Three hours after feeding, mg/dL	63.72 ± 8.62	64.36 ± 3.78	60.92 ± 5.52	
Before feeding, mg/dL 65.10 ± 6.86 63.66 ± 5.59 63.48 ± 5.02 Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 72.32 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg 18.12 ± 2.25 18.24 ± 1.86 18.36 ± 2.49 4 weeks 19.24 ± 2.43 20.20 ± 2.48 20.08 ± 2.18 8 weeks 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body composition 0 weeks 0.40 ± 1.22 $10.30 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.54$ Body protein,% 10.20 ± 0.82 10.30 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body fat,% 9.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body fat,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 10.30 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96	8 weeks				
Three hours after feeding, mg/dL 72.32 ± 2.68 70.50 ± 5.38 70.58 ± 7.40 Body Weight, kg0 weeks 18.12 ± 2.25 18.24 ± 1.86 18.36 ± 2.49 4 weeks 19.24 ± 2.43 20.20 ± 2.48 20.08 ± 2.18 8 weeks 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body composition0 0 weeks 0.30 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body fat,% 10.20 ± 0.82 10.30 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body fat,% 19.28 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeks 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body protein,% 10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body fat,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 8 $8000000000000000000000000000000000000$	Before feeding, mg/dL	65.10 ± 6.86	63.66 ± 5.59	63.48 ± 5.02	
Body Weight, kg 18.12 ± 2.25 18.24 ± 1.86 18.36 ± 2.49 4 weeks 19.24 ± 2.43 20.20 ± 2.48 20.08 ± 2.18 8 weeks 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body composition 0 weeksBody water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body protein,% 10.20 ± 0.82 10.30 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body fat,% 19.28 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeks 10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body mater,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body fat,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96	Three hours after feeding, mg/dL	72.32 ± 2.68	70.50 ± 5.38	70.58 ± 7.40	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Body Weight, kg				
4 weeks 19.24 ± 2.43 20.20 ± 2.48 20.08 ± 2.18 8 weeks 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body composition0 weeksBody water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body protein,% 10.20 ± 0.82 10.30 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body fat,% 19.28 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeks 8049 protein 10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body protein,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 8049 water 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96	0 weeks	18.12 ± 2.25	18.24 ± 1.86	18.36 ± 2.49	
8 weeks 23.4 ± 2.47 23.00 ± 3.15 20.40 ± 1.22 Body composition0 weeksBody water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body protein,% 10.20 ± 0.82 10.30 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body fat,% 19.28 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeks 804 water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body protein,% 10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body fat,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 11.99 ± 0.22 11.10 ± 0.26 10.76 ± 0.22	4 weeks	19.24 ± 2.43	20.20 ± 2.48	20.08 ± 2.18	
Body composition0 weeksBody water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body protein,% 10.20 ± 0.82 10.30 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body fat,% 19.28 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeks 804 water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body protein,% 10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body fat,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 804 water,% 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47	8 weeks	23.4 ± 2.47	23.00 ± 3.15	20.40 ± 1.22	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Body composition				
Body water,% 59.63 ± 0.73 59.09 ± 0.26 59.42 ± 0.54 Body protein,% 10.20 ± 0.82 10.30 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body fat,% 19.28 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeks 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body protein,% 10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body fat,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 10.20 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47	0 weeks				
Body protein,% 10.20 ± 0.82 10.30 ± 0.42 10.34 ± 0.54 Body fat,% 19.28 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeks 900 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body protein,% 10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body fat,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 10.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96	Body water,%	59.63 ± 0.73	59.09 ± 0.26	59.42 ± 0.54	
Body fat,% 19.28 ± 0.97 20.00 ± 0.35 19.56 ± 0.85 4 weeksBody water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body protein,% 10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body fat,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 10.22 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47	Body protein,%	10.20 ± 0.82	10.30 ± 0.42	10.34 ± 0.54	
4 weeks59.16 \pm 0.4358.99 \pm 0.4959.13 \pm 0.74Body protein,%10.32 \pm 0.7310.65 \pm 0.5010.68 \pm 0.37Body fat,%19.90 \pm 0.5720.13 \pm 0.6619.92 \pm 0.968 weeks58.55 \pm 0.2058.98 \pm 0.4259.14 \pm 0.47Dody water,%58.55 \pm 0.2058.98 \pm 0.4259.14 \pm 0.47	Body fat,%	19.28 ± 0.97	20.00 ± 0.35	19.56 ± 0.85	
Body water,% 59.16 ± 0.43 58.99 ± 0.49 59.13 ± 0.74 Body protein,% 10.32 ± 0.73 10.65 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body fat,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 Do large triangle 11.07 ± 0.22 11.10 ± 0.56 10.76 ± 0.22	4 weeks	50.16 ± 0.42	59.00 + 0.40	50 12 + 0 74	
Body protein,% 10.32 ± 0.73 10.63 ± 0.50 10.68 ± 0.37 Body fat,% 19.90 ± 0.57 20.13 ± 0.66 19.92 ± 0.96 8 weeks 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 D d w ter,% 11.07 ± 0.22 11.10 ± 0.56 10.76 ± 0.22	Body water,%	59.16 ± 0.43	58.99 ± 0.49	59.13 ± 0.74	
Body fat, $\%$ 19.90 \pm 0.3720.13 \pm 0.0619.92 \pm 0.968 weeksBody water, $\%$ 58.55 \pm 0.2058.98 \pm 0.4259.14 \pm 0.4711.10 \pm 0.5610.76 \pm 0.22	Body protein,%	10.32 ± 0.73	10.65 ± 0.50	10.08 ± 0.37	
8 weeksBody water,% 58.55 ± 0.20 58.98 ± 0.42 59.14 ± 0.47 11.07 ± 0.22 11.10 ± 0.56 10.76 ± 0.22	Body lat,%	19.90 ± 0.37	20.13 ± 0.00	19.92 ± 0.96	
Body water, $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{36.35 \pm 0.20}{36.35 \pm 0.42}$ $\frac{36.42}{39.14 \pm 0.47}$	o weeks	58 55 ± 0 20	59 09 + 0 42	50 14 ± 0.47	
Body protein $\%$	Body protein %	56.55 ± 0.20 11 07 + 0.32	30.90 ± 0.42 11 10 + 0 56	37.14 ± 0.47 10 76 + 0 33	
Body fat % 20.70 ± 0.26 20.14 ± 0.56 10.70 ± 0.55	Body fat %	20.70 ± 0.32	20.14 ± 0.56	10.70 ± 0.53 19.92 ± 0.62	

Table 2. Results of Experiment¹⁾

¹⁾Values are means of 5 sheep±SD; ²⁾ Average values of 7 days observations in each sheep (mean±SD; n = 5); ^{a,b} Means within a row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

sheep have reached a period of maturity, thus the growth of muscle protein is not predominant. Although there is a little information concerning with the effect of alteration of synchronization index in diet on the body composition in ruminant.

The unchanged body water and fat contents may contribute to a discrepancy of the body protein content. If body protein content increases as the treatment of synchronization index in diet, the content of body water and/or fat will decrease. Tomlinson *et al.* (1997) reported that increasing portion of rumen undegradable protein in a diet did not decrease percentage of body fat, thereby body protein percentage remained unchanged, though body weight of heifer gained according to the portion of dietary protein. Nonaka *et al.* (2006) clarified that deprivation of drinking water in cattle and buffalo causes a decrease in body weight and fat percentage but percentage of body protein remains unchanged.

CONCLUSION

Although body weight gained slightly throughout experimental period, the body composition of sheep was not affected by alteration of synchronization index in the diet. For the study is required with some consideration: ingredients of total mixed ration and physiological phase of animal.

REFERENCES

- Achmadi, J. 2012. Aspek Komparatif Nutrisi Ternak Monogastrik dan Ruminansia. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro. Semarang.
- AOAC. 1995. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC.
- Baiti, L. Z., L.K. Nuswantara, E. Pangestu, F. Wahyono and J. Achmadi. 2013. Effect of bagasse portion inn diet on body composition of goat. J. Indonesian Trop. Anim. Agric. 38(3): 199-204.
- Cantalapiedra-Hijar, G., D. R. Yáñez-Ruiz, A. I. Martín-García and E. Molina-Alcaide. 2009. Effects of forage:concentrate ratio and forage type on apparent digestibility, ruminal fermentation, and microbial growth in goats. J. Anim. Sci.87:622–631.
- Chanjula, P., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapaorn and P. Rowlinson. 2004. Effects of synchronizing

starch sources and protein (NPN) in the rumen of feed intake, rumen microbial fermentation, nutrient utilization and performance of lactating dairy cows. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 17:1400-1410.

- Chumpawadee, S., K. Sommart, T. Vongpralub and V. Pattarajinda. 2006. Effects of synchronizing the rate of dietary energy and nitrogen releaseon ruminal fermentation, microbial protein synthesis, blood urea nitrogenand nutrient digestibility in beef cattle. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 19(2): 181-188.
- Hall, M.B. and G. B. Huntington. 2008. Nutrient synchrony: Sound in theory, elusive in practice. J. Anim. Sci. :E287–E292.
- Hanna, S.S. 2010. Estimation of carcass composition of sheep, goats and cattle by the urea dilution technique. Pakistan J. Nut. 9 (11): 1107-1112.
- Hartadi, H., S. Reksohadiprodjo and A. D. Tillman. 2005. Tabel Komposisi Pakan untuk Indonesia. Gajah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta.
- Kaswari, T., P. Lebzien, G. Flachowsky and U. ter Meulen. 2007. Studies on the relationship between the synchronization index and the microbial protein synthesis in the rumen of dairy cows. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 139: 1–22.
- Nonaka, I., A. Koga, M. Odai, R. Narmslife and F. Terada. 2006. Evaluation of the difference in body composition of Thai native cattle and swamp buffaloes from that of Hosltein cattle inn northeast Thailand using urea space. Japan Agr. Res. Quarterly. 40(4): 387-391.
- Orskov, E.R. 2002. Trails and Trials in Livestock Research. Halcon Printing, Scotland. P. 137-148.
- Piao, M.Y., H.J. Kim, J.K. Seo, T.S. Park, J.S. Yoon, K.H. Kim and J.K. Ha. 2012. Effects os synchronization of carbohydrate and protein supply in total mixed ration with Korean rice wine on ruminal fermentation, nitrogen metabolism and microbial protein synthesis in Holstein steers. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 25(11): 1568-1574.
- Richardson, J.M., R. G. Wilkinson and L. A. Sinclair. 2003. Synchrony of nutrient supply to the rumen and dietary energy source and their effects on the growth and metabolism of lambs. J. Anim. Sci.81:1332-1347.
- Rotger, A., A. Ferret, S. Calsamiglia and X.

Manteca. 2006. Effects of nonstructural carbohydrate and protein sources on intake, apparent total tract digestibility, and ruminal metabolism *in vivo* and *in vitro* with high-concentrate beef cattle diets. J. Anim. Sci. 84:1188-1196.

- Schmidely, Ph., H. Archimkde, P. Bas, A. Rouzeau, S. Munoz, and D. Sauvant. 1996. Effects of the synchronization of the rate ofcarbohydrates and nitrogen release of theconcentrate on rumen fermentation, plasma metabolites and insulin, in the dry pregnant goat. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 63: 163-178.
- Sinclair, L. A., P. C. Garnsworthy, J. R. Newbold and P. J. Buttery.1993. Effect of synchronizing the rate of dietary energy andnitrogen release on rumen fermentation and microbial proteinsynthesis in sheep. J. Agric. Sci. 120:251-263.
- Sinclair, L. A., P. C. Garnsworthy, J. R. Newbold and P. J. Buttery. 1995. Effects of

synchronizing the rate of dietary energy andnitrogen release in diets with a similar carbohydratecomposition on rumen fermentation and microbial proteinsynthesis in sheep. J. Agric. Sci. 124:463-472.

- Tomlison, D.L., R.E. James, G.L. Bethard, and M.L. McGilliard. 1997. Influence of undegradability in the diet on intake, daily gain, feed efficiency, and body composition of Holstein heifers. J. Dairy Sci. 80:943-948.
- Van Soest, P. J., J. B. Robertson and B. A. Lewis. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and nonstarch polysaccarides in relation to animal nutrition. J. Dairy Sci. 74:3583-3597.
- Yang, J.Y., J. Seo, H.J. Kim, S. Seo and J.K. Ha. 2010. Nutrient synchrony: Is it a suitable strategy to improve nitrogen utilization and animal performance?. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 23(7):972-979.