# Inclusion effect of ginger and turmeric mixture combined with Lactobacillus spp. isolated from rumen fluid of cattle on health status and growth of broiler

D. Risdianto, N. Suthama<sup>\*</sup>, E. Suprijatna and S. Sunarso

Faculty of Animal and Agricultural Sciences, Diponegoro University, Tembalang Campus, Semarang 50275 - Indonesia \*Corresponding E-mail: nsuthama@gmail.com

Received July 05, 2019; Accepted September 21, 2019

#### ABSTRAK

Penelitian dilaksanakan untuk mengevaluasi pemberian kombinasi jahe, kunyit dengan *Lactobacillus spp.*(GTL) terhadap daya tahan tubuh dan produktivitas broiler. Sebanyak 576 broiler dengan bobot badan awal  $36\pm0.99$  g, digunakan dalam penelitian ini dan diamati selama 35 hari. GTL terdiri dari ekstrak jahe (0,2 g/liter), ekstrak kunyit (0,4 g/liter) dan *Lactobacillus spp.* (2,997 x  $10^7$  cfu/ml), diberikan sejak umur 1 hari. Parameter yang diamati adalah bobot bursa fabrisius, limpa, timus, kadar *gluthationine peroxidase* (GSH-Px), *superoksida dismutase* (SOD), bakteri usus meliputi *Lactobacillus spp., Coliform*, dan *Eschericia coli*, serta konsumsi pakan, pertambahan bobot badan dan konversi pakan (FCR). Penelitian disusun dalam rancangan acak lengkap (RAL) pola faktorial 3x4 (3 jenis pakan dan 4 level GTL), dengan 4 ulangan (@12 ekor). Faktor pertama, jenis pakan (A) yaitu pakan formulasi sendiri (A1), kombinasi pakan formulasi sendiri dan pakan komersial, masing-masing 50 bagian (A2), dan pakan komersial (A3). Faktor kedua, Level GTL (B) adalah 0 ml (B0), 2 ml (B2), 4 ml (B4) dan 6 ml (B6)/liter air minum. Data dianalisis ragam dan dilanjutkan dengan uji Duncan pada taraf 5%. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa pakan formulasi sendiri dengan level GTL 4 ml (A1B4) dapat meningkatkan daya tahan tubuh dan produktivitas broiler.

Kata kunci: broiler, daya tahan tubuh, jahe dan kunyit, Lactobacillus spp., produktivitas

#### ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to evaluate the combination of ginger and turmeric extracts added with *Lactobacillus* spp. (GTL) on body resistance and productivity of broilers. 576 broilers with an initial body weight of  $36 \pm 0.99$  g were used and were observed for 35 days. GTL containing ginger extract (0.2 g/liter), turmeric extract (0.4 g/liter) and *Lactobacillus* spp. (2,997 x 107 cfu/ml), and was given since 1-day old. Parameters observed were bursa fabriscius, spleen, and thymus weights, gluthationine peroxidase (GSH-Px), superoxide dismutase (SOD), *Lactobacillus* spp., *Coliform*, and *Eschericia coli*, feed consumption, body weight gain, and feed conversion ratio (FCR). A completely randomized design (CRD) was assigned with 3x4 factorial pattern (3 types of feed, 4 levels of GTL), with 4 replications (12 birds each). First factor, types of feed (A): self-formulated feed (A1), combination of self-formulated and commercial feed with 50 portion each (A2) and commercial feed (A3). Second factor, levels of GTL (B): 0 ml (B0), 2 ml (B2), 4 ml (B4) and 6 ml (B6) per litre drinking water. Data were subjected to ANOVA and continued to Duncan test at 5% probability. Results indicated that self-formulated feed with

inclusion of GTL at 4 ml (A1B4) improve body resistance and increase production performance of broilers.

Keywords: body resistance, broiler, ginger and turmeric, Lactobacillus spp., productivity

# INTRODUCTION

additive either Feeding natural live organisms or active compound derived form plant sources become an increasing interest and attention due to the use of antibiotic as growth promoter (AGP) for decades have indicated some problems for both host animals and consumers. Continuous feeding AGP in poultry is known to gain residue in the animal product and bring about the negative effect on consumer health. Nowadays, dietary inclusion of AGP in poultry production has been banned worldwild. The ban of using antibiotic growth promoter (AGP) in Indonesia has been initiated via the Low No. 18/2009 in conjunction with the Low No.41/2014 concerning Animal Husbandry and Animal Health. Therefore, the ban of using AGP in animal feed has been officialy enforced since January 1, 2018 based on article 16 of the Regulation of the Minister of Agriculture No. 14/2017 by refering to the Low as described above. By considering that the withdrawal of AGP can cause production and health problems in broilers, hence every alternative to replace AGP is important to maintain the productivity of broilers (Sugiharto and Ranjitkar, 2019). There are many alternatives to replace antibiotic have been studied using herbs alone or in combination with probiotics. In the absent of feeding AGP, dietary inclusion of probiotic either alone succesfully improved chickens health and produced higher meat quality in broiler (Cholis et al., 2018; Wulandari et al., 2018) or in combination with prebiotic in crossbred local chicken (Abdurrahman et al., 2016a; 2016b).

Lactobacillus spp. was previously isolated from the rumen fluid of cattle showed that probiotic activity could survive against gastric juice (unpublished data). In the present study, the probiotic herbs were combined with Lactobacillus spp. in order to improve the efficacy of the additive. It is well known that modern broiler strains are susceptible to stress and oxidative damage, a condition which can adversely affect the health and performance of broilers (Sugiharto et al., 2016). However, other studies reported that health status improved, indicated by lower H/L ratio, with better

productive performance, indicated by higher carcass percentage and body weight gain, in crossbred native birds fed natural prebiotic (Fajrih *et al.*, 2014), and those given a combination of probiotic and prebiotic (Purbarani *et al.*, 2019). The different results was assumed to be attributable to the respond of chickens strain.

Several study have shown that berbs, especially ginger, may improve the antioxidant status of broiler (Habibi et al., 2014) due to its potential antioxidant property (Stoilova et al., 2007) and finally was able to increase nutrients absorption and metabolism (Fakhim et al., 2013). Other study indicated that broiler fed plant source additive in the form of inulin extract with no additional probiotic improved meat quality and body resistance supported by lower heterophillympocyte ratio and Eschericia coli counts (Suthama et al., 2019). Some previous studies also stated that ginger (Karangiya et al., 2016), and turmeric (Kafi et al., 2017; Johannah et al., 2018; and Shohe et al., 2019) at a given level improved growth performances of broilers, but no health or oxidative status was defined due to additional probiotics effect. Although probiotics, in other side, have the potential effect as an antioxidant which can be beneficial for broiler health and performance (Majidzadeh et al., 2011), dercribed concerning nothing was their combination with herb-originated additives such as ginger or turmeric, or combination of both. Probiotics are established as dietary additive and are known to have health beneficial effects. This phenomenon has been clarified through either in vitro or in vivo studies indicated that probiotics exhibit as potential antioxidant. Therefore, the present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of the combination of ginger and turmeric extract added with Lactobacillus spp. (GTL) on intestinal microbial population, body resistance, antioxidant status, and growth performance of broiler in relation to feeding different types of diet.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Ginger, Turmeric Extract and *Lactobacillus* spp. (GTL) Preparation

Ginger and turmeric were extracted from their respective rhizomes using destilled water as a solvent. *Lactobacillus* spp. was isolated from the rumen fluid of cattle. Isolated *Lactobacillus* spp. was added into the mixture of ginger and turmeric extracts and *in vitro* trial against pancreatic juice was performed thereafter to evaluate their viability (unpublished data). Extracts of ginger and turmeric at 0.2 and 0.4 g/L, respectively, and *Lactobacillus* spp. at 2,997 x 10<sup>7</sup> cfu/ml were combined and used as main component of additive. The mixture of the three components namely, ginger and turmeric extracts, and *Lactobacillus* spp., was abbreviated as GTL, and it was stored in refrigerator ( $\pm$ 5°C) before being given to chicken.

#### **Experimental Animal, Feed and Equipment**

A total of 576 day-old broiler chick (COBB 500 strain) were used in the present study. There were three different types of feed tested namely, self-formulated feed (Table 1), combination of self-formulated and commercial feeds with 50

portion, respectively, and commercial feed with description of nutrient content only (Table 2). The birds were reared in open-sided housing system consisting of 48 pens with 12 birds each, and were provided GTL at the level of either 0, 2, 4 or 6 ml per 1 litre drinking water. The animal house was set at 31°C during the first 7 days, and it was gradually decreased to 27°C until the end of the experiment.

#### **Data Collection and Parameters**

Body weight and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were recorded on days 21 and 35 while the feed consumption was recorded daily. On day 35, blood was collected from the bird's wing vein and put into vacutainer without anticoagulants. The blood was maitained at room temperature and allowed to clot in order to obtain serum. Serum was stored in the freezer until antioxidant enzyme (gluthathionine peroxidase and superoxide dismutase) was analyzed. The same birds at the

Table 1. Composition and Nutrient Content of Self-formulated Feed

| Ingredient                       | Starter (1-21 days) | Finisher (22-35 days) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                  |                     | (%)                   |
| Yellow corn                      | 57.50               | 62.60                 |
| Soybean meal                     | 34.20               | 30.10                 |
| Fish meal                        | 4.00                | 4.00                  |
| Wheat bran                       | 1.00                | 1.00                  |
| Vegetable oil                    | 3.00                | 2.00                  |
| Salt                             | 0.30                | 0.30                  |
| Total                            | 100.00              | 100.00                |
| Nutrient Content (%)*            |                     |                       |
| Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg)** | 2,916               | 3,122                 |
| Crude protein                    | 22.58               | 20.12                 |
| Ether extract                    | 4.10                | 4.60                  |
| Crude fiber                      | 3.90                | 4.30                  |
| Calcium total                    | 1.10                | 0.90                  |
| Phosphorus total                 | 0.70                | 0.70                  |
| DL-Methionine***                 | 0.05                | 0.05                  |
| L-Lysine***                      | 0.15                | 0.10                  |

\*Chemical analysis at the Laboratory of Nutrition and Feed Science, Faculty of Animal and Agricultural Sciences, Diponegoro University

\*\*Calculated value based on the formula of Bolton (1967)

\*\*\*Based on Table of National Research Council (1994)

| Nutrient content*              | Starter (1-21 days) | Finisher (22-35 days) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                |                     | (%)                   |
| Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg) | 3,025 - 3,125       | 3,125 - 3,225         |
| Crude protein                  | 21.50 - 23.80       | 19.50 - 21.50         |
| Ether extract (max)            | 5.00                | 5.00                  |
| Crude fiber (max)              | 5.00                | 5.00                  |
| Calcium (max)                  | 0.60                | 0.90                  |
| Phosphorus (max)               | 0.60                | 0.60                  |

#### Table 2. Nutrient Composition of Commercial Feed

\*Composition based on animal feed labels of PT. Charoen Pokphand Indonesia

same age (21 and 35 days old) were immediately decapitated, and lymphoid organs including bursa fabricius, thymus, and spleen were removed and weighed. For the microbiological measurement, digesta was collected from the small intestine of broiler. The numbers of intestinal *Lactobacillus* spp. was determined on Bromocresol Purple Agar (BCPA). The colonies were counted after anaerobic incubation at 38°C for 48 hours. The number of *Escherichia coli* was counted on Eosin Methylene Blue Agar (EMBA) after aerobic incubation at 38°C for 24 hours, while *Coliform* were determined on MacConkey Agar (MCA) after aerobic incubation at 38°C for 24 hours.

#### **Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis**

The experiment was assigned in  $3 \times 4$  factorial of a completely randomized design, with 4 replications. Three types of feed tested namely, self-formulated feed (A1), combination of self-formulated feed and commercial feed with 50 portion, respectively (A2) and commercial feed (A3) were the first factor. Four levels of GTL were the second factor (B) namely, 0 ml (B0), 2 ml (B2), 4 ml (B4) dan 6 ml (B6) per liter drinking water. Data were analyzed by analysis of variance, and when the treatment indicated significant effect (P<0.05) Duncan multiple range test was subsequently conducted.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

### Intestinal Bacteria Populations in 21 and 35day Broiler Chicken

Intestinal bacteria of broiler in A1B4 and A1B6 groups both on days 21 and 35 indicated

the highest (P<0.05) numbers of Lactobacillus spp., and the lowest (P<0.05) counts of either Coliform or Escherichia coli (Table 3). Similar patterns of Lactobacillus spp. and phatogenic bacteria populations were found in A3B4 and A3B6 as well. It seemed that *Lactobacillus* spp. in the GTL could increased the intestinal population of Lactobacillus spp. of broilers. Indeed, the selfformulated feed resulted in the highest colony of intestinal Lactobacillus spp. of broiler. AGP-free of the self-formulated feed seemed to be no interference to the growth of Lactobacillus spp. In relation to the lowest numbers of intestinal Coliform, and Escherichia coli, in the A3B6 group on days 21 and 35 (Table 3), it was most likely that the active compounds of herbs extract in GTL could inhibited the growth of these pathogenics bacteria. Similar phenomenon that the presence of AGP in the commercial feed can possibly inhibit the proliferation of Coliform and Escherichia coli in the intestine of broiler. The present results were consistent with the previous studies that the inclusion of single probioric Lactobacillus spp. (Cholis et al., 2014), and a combination of Lactobacillus spp. and prebiotic (Purbarani et al., 2019) into self-formulated diet increased ileal lactic acid bacteria and decreased total Coliform. Some previous studies were comparable that feeding plant components such as inulin dahlia in local chicken (Krismiyanto et al., 2014), and soybean meal extract in broiler (Suthama et al., 2018) increased lactic acid bacteria population. It is well known that fermentation activity of Lactobacillus spp. or lactic acid bacteria on low molecule weight carbohydrate of the feed produce short chain fatty acid which cause low intestinal pH. This

| B1     B2       b0     0.30de     0.60d       d     1.00de     0.80e       d     0.50d     0.50d       d     0.92d     0.90de       d     0.92d     0.80de       d     0.92d     0.80de   | ractor   |           | A                       | -                                   |                          |                         | Ą              | $A_2$                  |                    |                     | -      | A <sub>3</sub>     |       |
|---|--|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|
| 1.32 <sup>bc</sup> 1.60 <sup>ab</sup> 0.30 <sup>de</sup> 0.60 <sup>d</sup> 1.30 <sup>d</sup> 1.20 <sup>d</sup> 0.50 <sup>d</sup> 0.60 <sup>d</sup> 0.62 <sup>d</sup> 0.50 <sup>d</sup> 0.50 <sup>d</sup> 0.80 <sup>e</sup> 2.40 <sup>a</sup> 2.52 <sup>a</sup> 0.60 <sup>de</sup> 0.90 <sup>d</sup> 2.40 <sup>a</sup> 2.52 <sup>a</sup> 0.60 <sup>de</sup> 0.90 <sup>d</sup> 2.40 <sup>a</sup> 2.42 <sup>c</sup> 1.50 <sup>e</sup> 1.30 <sup>e</sup> 1.20 <sup>cd</sup> 1.10 <sup>cd</sup> 0.92 <sup>d</sup> 0.80 <sup>de</sup> | Parameter (%)*   | B1        | B <sub>2</sub>          | B3                                  | B4                       | Bı                      | B <sub>2</sub> | B <sub>3</sub>         | B4                 | B1                  | B,     | B3                 | B4    |
| 1.32% 1.00% 0.30% 0.000   1.30 <sup>d</sup> 1.20 <sup>d</sup> 1.00 <sup>ds</sup> 0.80 <sup>s</sup> 0.62 <sup>d</sup> 0.50 <sup>d</sup> 0.50 <sup>d</sup> 0.90 <sup>d</sup> 2.40 <sup>s</sup> 2.42 <sup>s</sup> 1.50 <sup>s</sup> 1.30 <sup>s</sup> 2.40 <sup>s</sup> 2.42 <sup>s</sup> 1.50 <sup>s</sup> 0.90 <sup>d</sup> 2.40 <sup>s</sup> 2.42 <sup>s</sup> 1.50 <sup>s</sup> 0.90 <sup>d</sup> 1.20 <sup>cd</sup> 1.10 <sup>sd</sup> 0.92 <sup>d</sup> 0.80 <sup>ds</sup>   | 21-d old   | . 100 B   | 407 1                   | 1 604                               | .001                     | 10 5 M                  | 10000          | Sec. 1                 | 1 604              |                     | 0.604  | 10000              |       |
| 1.30 <sup>4</sup> 1.20 <sup>4</sup> 0.60 <sup>4</sup> 0.80 <sup>4</sup> 0.62 <sup>4</sup> 0.50 <sup>4</sup> 0.50 <sup>4</sup> 0.50 <sup>4</sup> 2.40 <sup>a</sup> 2.52 <sup>a</sup> 0.60 <sup>4</sup> <sup>6</sup> 0.90 <sup>4</sup> 2.40 <sup>a</sup> 2.42 <sup>c</sup> 1.50 <sup>e</sup> 1.30 <sup>e</sup> 1.20 <sup>cd</sup> 1.10 <sup>cd</sup> 0.92 <sup>d</sup> 0.80 <sup>4</sup> A <sub>3</sub> : 100% commercial feed 0.92 <sup>d</sup> 0.80 <sup>de</sup>   | Lactobacilius sp. (x10')   | 1.1200    | 1.42°                   | 1.6020                              | 1.90                     | 0.52ª                   | 0.90%          | 1.32%                  | 1.60               | 0.30%               | 0.00   | 0.900              | 1.100 |
| 0.62 <sup>4</sup> 0.50 <sup>d</sup> 0.50 <sup>d</sup> 0.50 <sup>d</sup><br>2.40 <sup>a</sup> 2.52 <sup>a</sup> 0.60 <sup>de</sup> 0.90 <sup>d</sup><br>2.40 <sup>c</sup> 2.42 <sup>c</sup> 1.50 <sup>e</sup> 1.30 <sup>e</sup><br>1.20 <sup>cd</sup> 1.10 <sup>cd</sup> 0.92 <sup>d</sup> 0.80 <sup>de</sup><br>; A <sub>3</sub> : 100% commercial feed   | Escherichia coli (x10 <sup>5</sup> )                                     | 2.22*     | 1.90                    | 1.60                                | 1.60                     | 1.60                    | 1.52           | 1.304                  | 1.204              | 1.00de              | 0.80*  | 0.60f              | 0.60  |
| 2.40 <sup>a</sup> 2.52 <sup>a</sup> 0.60 <sup>de</sup> 0.90 <sup>d</sup><br>2.40 <sup>c</sup> 2.42 <sup>c</sup> 1.50 <sup>e</sup> 1.30 <sup>e</sup><br>1.20 <sup>cd</sup> 1.10 <sup>cd</sup> 0.92 <sup>d</sup> 0.80 <sup>de</sup>   | Coliform (x10 <sup>6</sup> )   | 1.10      | 0.90 <sup>ab</sup>      | 0.72                                | 0.604                    | 0.70                    | 0.70           | 0.624                  | 0.50å              | 0.504               | 0.504  | 0.40*              | 0.40  |
| 2.40° 2.52° 0.60 <sup>de</sup> 0.90 <sup>d</sup><br>2.40° 2.42° 1.50° 1.30°<br>1.20 <sup>cd</sup> 1.10 <sup>cd</sup> 0.92 <sup>d</sup> 0.80 <sup>de</sup><br>; A <sub>3</sub> : 100% commercial feed  | 35-d old   |           |                         |                                     |                          |                         |                |                        |                    |                     |        |                    |       |
| 2.40° 2.42° 1.50° 1.30°<br>1.20°d 1.10°d 0.92 <sup>d</sup> 0.80 <sup>de</sup><br>; A <sub>3</sub> : 100% commercial feed  | Lactobacilius sp. (x10 <sup>7</sup> )                                    | 1.72      | 2.226                   | 2.40*                               | 2.52ª                    | 1.72                    | 2.226          | 2.40*                  | 2.52ª              | 0.60de              | p06.0  | 1.20 <sup>cd</sup> | 1.400 |
| 1.20 <sup>cd</sup> 1.10 <sup>cd</sup> 0.92 <sup>d</sup> 0.80 <sup>de</sup><br>; A <sub>3</sub> : 100% commercial feed   | Escherichia coli (x105)  | 3.10*     | 2.80b                   | 2.40                                | 2.42c                    | 3.10*                   | 2.80b          | 2.40                   | 2.42               | 1.50 <sup>e</sup> . | 1.30*  | 1.20f              | 1.10  |
| ; A <sub>3</sub> : 100% commercial f  | Coliform (x10 <sup>6</sup> )   | 1.60*     | 1.50                    | 1.20 <sup>cd</sup>                  | 1.10cd                   | 1.60ª                   | 1.50b          | 1.20 <sup>cd</sup>     | 1.10 <sup>cd</sup> | 0.92 <sup>d</sup>   | 0.80de | 0.60e              | 0.60* |
| o: control; B <sub>2</sub> : 2 ml of GTL; B <sub>4</sub> : 4 ml of GTL; B <sub>6</sub> : 6 ml of GTL  | <sup>4</sup> Values within the same row                                  | v bearing | different<br>0% self-fu | superscrip                          | pt differ si<br>feed+50% | enificantly<br>commerci | P<0.05)        | A3: 100%               | commerci           | al feed             |        |                    |       |
| GIL Was a combination of ginger (0.02%), furmeric (0.04%), and Lactobacilities sp. (2.99/ X 10' Chumi)  | 0 : control; B <sub>2</sub> : 2 ml of GTL<br>TL was a combination of gin | , B4:4    | ml of GT                | L; B <sub>6</sub> : 6<br>eric (0.04 | ml of GTI %). and J      | Lactobacill             | us sp. (2.9    | 97 x 10 <sup>7</sup> c | fu/ml)             |                     |        |                    |       |

| $ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | Factor                                  |                    | A                  | 1          |            |                     | ~                 | $A_2$              |   |                   |                    | A <sub>3</sub> |        |
|--|---|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|
| Id<br>s weight 0.074 <sup>d</sup> 0.077 <sup>b</sup> 0.079 <sup>a</sup> 0.079 <sup>a</sup> 0.076 <sup>bc</sup> 0.077 <sup>b</sup> 0.078 <sup>ab</sup> 0.073 <sup>d</sup> 0.075 <sup>c</sup> 0.076 <sup>bc</sup><br>0.31 <sup>c</sup> 0.32 <sup>bc</sup> 0.32 <sup>bc</sup> 0.34 <sup>ab</sup> 0.35 <sup>a</sup> 0.30 <sup>cd</sup> 0.319 <sup>c</sup> 0.32 <sup>bc</sup> 0.33 <sup>b</sup> 0.29 <sup>d</sup> 0.30 <sup>d</sup> 0.32 <sup>bc</sup><br>0.118 <sup>d</sup> 0.121 <sup>d</sup> 0.123 <sup>cd</sup> 0.123 <sup>cd</sup> 0.122 <sup>cd</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.132 <sup>a</sup><br>1d<br>is weight 0.82 <sup>d</sup> 0.84 <sup>cd</sup> 0.87 <sup>b</sup> 0.89 <sup>a</sup> 0.89 <sup>a</sup> 0.80 <sup>de</sup> 0.82 <sup>d</sup> 0.85 <sup>c</sup> 0.36 <sup>bc</sup> 0.37 <sup>b</sup> 0.78 <sup>e</sup> 0.81 <sup>de</sup> 0.84 <sup>cd</sup><br>0.122 <sup>d</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.125 <sup>cd</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.130 <sup>abc</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.36 <sup>bc</sup> 0.38 <sup>abb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.130 <sup>abc</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.36 <sup>cb</sup> 0.28 <sup>abb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.130 <sup>abbc</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.36 <sup>cbc</sup> 0.28 <sup>abb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.130 <sup>abbc</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.130 <sup>abbc</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bbc</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bbc</sup> 0.130 <sup>abc</sup>   | Parameter (%)*                          | Bi                 | B,                 | B3         | B4         | B1                  | B,                | B3                 | B4  | B1                | B <sub>2</sub>     | B <sub>3</sub> | B4     |
| 0.074 <sup>d</sup> 0.077 <sup>b</sup> 0.075 <sup>d</sup> 0.075 <sup>d</sup> 0.076 <sup>bc</sup> 0.077 <sup>b</sup> 0.078 <sup>ab</sup> 0.073 <sup>d</sup> 0.075 <sup>c</sup> 0.076 <sup>bc</sup><br>0.31 <sup>c</sup> 0.32 <sup>bc</sup> 0.34 <sup>bb</sup> 0.35 <sup>a</sup> 0.30 <sup>cd</sup> 0.319 <sup>c</sup> 0.32 <sup>bc</sup> 0.33 <sup>b</sup> 0.29 <sup>d</sup> 0.30 <sup>d</sup> 0.32 <sup>bc</sup><br>0.118 <sup>d</sup> 0.121 <sup>d</sup> 0.123 <sup>cd</sup> 0.123 <sup>cd</sup> 0.122 <sup>cd</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.132 <sup>a</sup><br>0.132 <sup>a</sup> 0.32 <sup>bc</sup> 0.36 <sup>dc</sup> 0.123 <sup>cd</sup> 0.125 <sup>cd</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.132 <sup>a</sup><br>0.132 <sup>a</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.13 <sup>ad</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.132 <sup>ad</sup> 0.125 <sup>cd</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.132 <sup>a</sup><br>0.26 <sup>bc</sup> 0.27 <sup>b</sup> 0.28 <sup>ab</sup> 0.28 <sup>ab</sup> 0.28 <sup>ab</sup> 0.28 <sup>ab</sup> 0.28 <sup>ab</sup> 0.27 <sup>bc</sup> 0.27 <sup>bc</sup> 0.31 <sup>ab</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup><br>0.36 <sup>bc</sup> 0.37 <sup>b</sup> 0.38 <sup>ab</sup> 0.39 <sup>ab</sup> 0.39 <sup>ad</sup> 0.35 <sup>c</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>bb</sup> 0.130 <sup>ab</sup>   | 21-d old                                |                    |                    |            |            |                     |                   |                    |   |                   |                    |                |        |
| 0.31 <sup>c</sup> 0.32 <sup>bc</sup> 0.34 <sup>ab</sup> 0.35 <sup>a</sup> 0.30 <sup>cd</sup> 0.319 <sup>c</sup> 0.32 <sup>bc</sup> 0.33 <sup>b</sup> 0.29 <sup>d</sup> 0.30 <sup>d</sup> 0.32 <sup>bc</sup><br>0.118 <sup>d</sup> 0.121 <sup>d</sup> 0.123 <sup>cd</sup> 0.123 <sup>cd</sup> 0.122 <sup>cd</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.132 <sup>a</sup><br>0.82 <sup>d</sup> 0.84 <sup>cd</sup> 0.87 <sup>b</sup> 0.89 <sup>a</sup> 0.89 <sup>a</sup> 0.80 <sup>de</sup> 0.82 <sup>d</sup> 0.85 <sup>c</sup> 0.87 <sup>b</sup> 0.78 <sup>e</sup> 0.81 <sup>de</sup> 0.84 <sup>cd</sup><br>0.36 <sup>bc</sup> 0.37 <sup>b</sup> 0.38 <sup>ab</sup> 0.39 <sup>a</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.35 <sup>c</sup> 0.36 <sup>bc</sup> 0.38 <sup>ab</sup> 0.31 <sup>e</sup> 0.32 <sup>de</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup><br>0.122 <sup>d</sup> 0.124 <sup>cd</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.127 <sup>b</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.130 <sup>a</sup>   | Bursa fabriscius weight                 | 0.074 <sup>d</sup> | 0.077%             | 0.079=     | 0.079      | 0.0734              | 0.076bc           | 0.077%             | 0.078ab                                   | 0.0734            | 0.075              | 0.076bc        | 0.077  |
| 0.118 <sup>d</sup> 0.121 <sup>d</sup> 0.123 <sup>cd</sup> 0.123 <sup>cd</sup> 0.122 <sup>cd</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.127 <sup>bc</sup> 0.123 <sup>cd</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.132 <sup>a</sup><br>0.82 <sup>d</sup> 0.84 <sup>cd</sup> 0.87 <sup>b</sup> 0.89 <sup>a</sup> 0.89 <sup>de</sup> 0.82 <sup>d</sup> 0.85 <sup>c</sup> 0.87 <sup>b</sup> 0.78 <sup>e</sup> 0.81 <sup>de</sup> 0.84 <sup>cd</sup><br>0.36 <sup>bc</sup> 0.37 <sup>b</sup> 0.38 <sup>ab</sup> 0.39 <sup>a</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.35 <sup>c</sup> 0.36 <sup>bc</sup> 0.38 <sup>ab</sup> 0.31 <sup>e</sup> 0.32 <sup>de</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup><br>0.122 <sup>d</sup> 0.124 <sup>cd</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.127 <sup>b</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.130 <sup>a</sup>   | Thymus weight                           |                    | 0.32bc             | 0.34ab     | 0.35ª      | 0.30cd              | 0.319             | 0.32bc             | 0.33b                                     | 0.294             | 0.304              | 0.32bc         | 0.32bc |
| 0.82 <sup>d</sup> 0.84 <sup>cd</sup> 0.87 <sup>b</sup> 0.89 <sup>a</sup> 0.80 <sup>de</sup> 0.82 <sup>d</sup> 0.85 <sup>c</sup> 0.87 <sup>b</sup> 0.78 <sup>e</sup> 0.81 <sup>de</sup> 0.84 <sup>cd</sup> 0.36 <sup>bc</sup> 0.36 <sup>bc</sup> 0.37 <sup>b</sup> 0.37 <sup>b</sup> 0.32 <sup>de</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.122 <sup>d</sup> 0.122 <sup>d</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0. | Spleen weight                           | 0.118 <sup>d</sup> | 0.121 <sup>d</sup> | 0.123cd    | 0.123cd    | 0.122 <sup>cd</sup> | 0.125             | 0.127%             | 0.127 <sup>bc</sup>                       | 0.123cd           | 0.128 <sup>b</sup> | 0.132*         | 0.133  |
| 0.82 <sup>d</sup> 0.84 <sup>cd</sup> 0.87 <sup>b</sup> 0.89 <sup>a</sup> 0.80 <sup>de</sup> 0.82 <sup>d</sup> 0.85 <sup>c</sup> 0.87 <sup>b</sup> 0.78 <sup>e</sup> 0.81 <sup>de</sup> 0.84 <sup>cd</sup> 0.36 <sup>bc</sup> 0.36 <sup>bc</sup> 0.37 <sup>b</sup> 0.38 <sup>ab</sup> 0.32 <sup>de</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.32 <sup>de</sup> 0.34 <sup>cd</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> | 35-d old                                |                    |                    |            |            |                     |                   |                    |   |                   |                    |                |        |
| 1t 0.36bc 0.37b 0.38ab 0.39a 0.34cd 0.35c 0.36bc 0.38ab 0.31e 0.32de 0.34cd 0.34cd 0.122d 0.124cd 0.125c 0.127b 0.126bc 0.128b 0.120a  | Bursa fabriscius weight                 | 0.82 <sup>d</sup>  | 0.84cd             | 0.876      | 0.89ª      | 0.80de              | 0.82 <sup>d</sup> | 0.85               | 0.876                                     | 0.78 <sup>e</sup> | 0.81 <sup>de</sup> | 0.84cd         | 0.86bc |
| 0.122 <sup>d</sup> 0.124 <sup>cd</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.127 <sup>b</sup> 0.125 <sup>c</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.126 <sup>bc</sup> 0.128 <sup>b</sup> 0.130 <sup>a</sup>  | Thymus weight                           | 0.36bc             | 0.376              | 0.38ab     | 0.39ª      | 0.34cd              | 0.35              | 0.36bc             | 0.38ªb                                    | 0.31 <sup>e</sup> | 0.32de             | 0.34cd         | 0.36bc |
|  | Spleen weight                           | 0.122 <sup>d</sup> | 0.124cd            | 0.125¢     | 0.127      | 0.125               | 0.126bc           | 0.128 <sup>b</sup> | 0.128 <sup>b</sup>                        | 0.126bc           | 0.128 <sup>b</sup> | 0.130ª         | 0.131  |
|  | *Values were expressed as               | relative wei       | 17                 | % final bo | dy weight) |                     |                   |                    |   |                   |                    |                |        |
| *Values were expressed as relative weight unit (% final body weight)   | A. 100% self-formulated feed : A. 50% s | feed · A.          | 50% self-f         | ormulated  | 1 feed+50% | commerci            | al feed · A       | · · 100% C         | nmercial feed · A. · 100% commercial feed | Feed              |                    |                |        |

phenomenon has been clarified by Cholis *et al.* (2018) that growth inhibition of pathogenic bacteria, such as *Coliform*, and the increase in beneficial bacteria, such as lactic acic bacteria, were corelated with the low intestinal pH. Active compounds of *gingerol* in ginger and *curcumin* in turmeric would be the concominatant effect in inhibiting the growth of pathogenic bacteria since GTL was composed of three components (ginger, turmeric, and *Lactobacillus* spp.).

# Lymphoid Organs in 21 and 35-day Broiler Chicken

There was significant interaction (P < 0.05)between GTL dose and feed type on relative weights of bursa fabricius and thymus (Table 4). The birds in the treatment of A1B6 had the highest (P<0.05) bursa fabricius and thymus weights. The decreased Coliform and Escherichia coli populations, and on the contrary, the increased numbers of *Lactobacillus* spp. (Table 3) were the indication that the birds suffered less pathogenic microbial stress. The better microbial balance, and supported by the presence of active compounds in GTL, bring about the two lymphoid organs didn't work hard, thus the weights were maintained high. The results of the present study were in accordance with the findings of Al-Sultan (2003) and Abou-Elkhair (2014) that the treatment of turmeric had a positive effect on the weights of thymus and bursa fabriscius. Mohamed et al. (2012) also reported that ginger increased the weights of bursa fabricius and thymus of broilers. The mechanism of why the active compounds in turmeric and ginger can elevate the relative weight of lymphoid organ is largerly unknown. capability of these However, the active compounds in preventing the immunosuppression affecting (negatively the lymphoid organ development) may be the reason (Malekizadeh et al., 2012). In relation to the role of *Lactobacillus* spp., this bacteria may improve immune tissue as well as protecting it from the potential oxidative damage caused by the panthogenic bacteria (Bakari, et al., 2011). It has been previously described that the high weights of bursa fabricius and thymus was due to the synergistic effects of active compounds of ginger and turmeric, and Lactobacillus spp.

# Glutathione Peroxidase (GSH-Px) and Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) Levels

Blood levels of glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) and superoxide dismutase (SOD)

(Table 5) were consistent with the previous parameters. The present result showed that the highest (P<0.05) level of GSH-Px and SOD was found in A1B6 group. Endogenous antioxidant status in broiler given treatment of A1B6 would be started from the effect on improved balance of intestinal bacteria (Table 3) supported by the higher weight of lymphoid organs, sepecially bursa fabricius and thymus (Table 4). Therefore, the birds were assumed to have more comfortable live supported by the healthier gastrointestinal (high beneficial bacteria and low pathogen) which aided to the better digestibily process for the adequacy of nutrients supply, especially protein. The healthy gastrointestinal was certainly affected by bioactive compounds of ginger and turmeric and Lactobacillus spp. in GTL. Protein, in particular, is nedeed for oveall growth as reported by Purbarani et al. (2019) that probiotic Lactobacillus spp. combined with prebiotic increased villi height and protein digestibility.

The high levels of GSH-Px and SOD was the indication of the best antioxidant status found in birds of A1B6 group. It seemed that the bioactive contents in GTL derived from ginger, turmeric as well as Lactobacillus spp. played an important role in increasing the production of antioxidative enzyme. The active compound of ginger (gingerol) affected antioxidant activity in broiler, because it contains  $\alpha\beta$ -unsaturated ketone and had an antioxidant effect (Dugasani, 2010), and urcumin derived from turmeric also act as antioxidant that may sinergistically trigerring the activity of endogensus antioxidant (Cousins et al., 2007). The increased SOD and GSH-Px activity in broiler chickens treated with turmeric was in accordance with the research conducted by Daneshyar et al. (2012). The treatment of ginger in broilers also increased the SOD levels in broiler (Toghyani, et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2009). In connection with the role of Lactobacillus spp., this probiotic bacteria may contribute to the increase in oxidative enzymes in broiler. Indeed, probiotic bacteria had an antioxidative activity as reported by Sugiharto et al (2018b). This may consequently increase the levels of antioxidant properties in broiler chicks. The present results were supported by the report of Abdurrahman et al. (2016a) that dietary inclusion of *Lactobacillus* spp. in combination with prebiotic increased endogenous antioxidant activity in the tissue. The increased endogenous antioxidant in the present study (Table 5) can be correlated with the reduced gastrointestinal pathogenic bacterial number

| Factor                      |                     |                   | Aı                  |                     |                  |                      |                      |                    |                    |                     |                    |                    |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Parameter (U/ml)            | B                   | ĥ                 | B                   | B4                  | Bi               | B                    | ĝ                    | B4                 | B                  | ĥ                   | ĥ                  | B4                 |
| 35-d old<br>GSH-Px          | i0.609              | 855.4f            | 964.2 <sup>b</sup>  | 986.9ª              | 616.3            | 840.65               | 945.80               | 964.2 <sup>b</sup> | 648.31             | 827.3h              | 917.2e             | 930.0 <sup>d</sup> |
| SOD                         | 76.75               | 89.2e             | 105.9%              | 109.2               | 77.5\$           | 86.0 <sup>f</sup>    | 103.4cd              | 106.7 <sup>b</sup> | 78.35              | 84.34               | 100.6 <sup>d</sup> | 104.2bc            |
| -                           |                     | A                 | A1                  |                     |                  | A <sub>2</sub>       |                      |                    | 8                  | A                   | A <sub>3</sub>     |                    |
| Parameter (%)               | B1                  | B <sub>2</sub>    | B <sub>3</sub>      | B4                  | B1               | B <sub>2</sub>       | B <sub>3</sub>       | B4                 | B1                 | B <sub>2</sub>      | B3                 | B4                 |
| 21-d old<br>Feed Intake (g) | 1,153 <sup>de</sup> | 1,157cd           | 1,159cde            | 1,160 <sup>cd</sup> | 1,150*           | 1,162 <sup>bcd</sup> | 1,165 <sup>abc</sup> | 1,166abc           | 1,140 <sup>¢</sup> | 1,154 <sup>de</sup> | 1,169ªb            | 1,170ª             |
| Body weight (g)             | 8815                | 953               | 972*                | 973*                | 888 <sup>h</sup> | 937 <sup>d</sup>     | 956bc                | 961 <sup>b</sup>   | 901 <sup>f</sup>   | 915 <sup>e</sup>    | 935 <sup>d</sup>   | 944                |
| FCR                         | 1.31 <sup>ab</sup>  | 1.21e             | 1.19f               | 1.19f               | 1.29             | 1.24 <sup>d</sup>    | 1.22 <sup>e</sup>    | 1.21°              | 1.26               | 1.26 <sup>c</sup>   | 1,25 <sup>d</sup>  | 1.24ª              |
| 35-d old                    |                     |                   |                     |                     |                  |                      |                      |                    |                    |                     |                    |                    |
| Feed Intake (g)             | 3,455*              | 3,458*            | 3,466 <sup>de</sup> | 3,469 <sup>de</sup> | 3,451            | 3,467 <sup>de</sup>  | 3,473cd              | 3,476bc            | 3,4425             | 3,473cd             | 3,479ab            | 3,482ª             |
| Body Weight (g)             | 2,041°              | 2,282bc           | 2,402ª              | 2,409ª              | 2,075            | 2,229                | 2,360 <sup>b</sup>   | 2,364 <sup>b</sup> | 2,158 <sup>d</sup> | 2,189 <sup>d</sup>  | 2,225°             | 2,232              |
| ECD                         | 1 69ª               | 1.51 <sup>d</sup> | 1.44e               | 1.448               | 1.66ª            | 1.55                 | 1.47f                | $1.47^{f}$         | 1.59               | 1.59                | 1.56bc             | 1.56%              |

<sup>a-g</sup> Values within the same row bearing different superscript differ significantly (P<0.05)</li>
A<sub>1</sub>: 100% self-formulated feed; A<sub>2</sub>: 50% self-formulated feed+50% commercial feed; A<sub>3</sub>: 100% commercial feed
B<sub>2</sub>: control; B<sub>2</sub>: 2 ml GTL; B<sub>4</sub>: 4 ml GTL; B<sub>5</sub>: 6 ml GTL. GTL was a combination of ginger (0.02%), turneric (0.04%), and *Lactobacillus* sp. (2,997 x 10<sup>7</sup> cfu/ml)

(Table 3). This was due to the supplementation of probiotic *Lactobacillus* spp. combined with active compound of *gingerol* and *curcumin* derived from extracts of ginger and turmeric, respectively. Probiotic can release antioxidant, such as GSH-Px enzyme, that prevents oxidative damage by pathogenic bacteria. The enzymatic mechanism as described above is consistent with the report of Murry *et al.* (2013) that probiotic, by releasing an antioxidant enzyme called GSH-Px, could prevent oxidative damage of the cell.

## Production Performance of 21 and 35-day Broiler Chickens

There was significant interaction between feed type and GTL level on either day 21 or day 35 (Table 6). Feed consumption of broilers in A3B6 treatment indicated significantly (P<0.05) highest value as compared to those in A1B4 or A1B6 groups. Broilers in A1B4 and A1B6 treatments consumed lower feed but they had significantly (P<0.05) higher body weight gain. Lower feed consumption with higher body weight gain is the indication of the birds that can more efficiently utilize nutrients for growth due to feeding effect of GTL. The higher efficiency of feed/nutrient utilization was proved by the lowest FCR value in birds of A1B4 and A1B6 treatments (Table 6). The active compounds of gingerol and curcumin in GTL aided the stimulating effect on the secretion of digestive enzymes that caused the digestive process maximally take place to provide adequate nutrients supply, and resulted the greater weight gain, especially in A1B4 or A1B6 . The active herbs compound stimulated the pancreas to release digestive enzymes in greater amounts and leading to the increased nutrients digestibility and absorption to support growth (Rajput et al., 2013). In the present study, the absent of AGP in A1 feed can be postulated to increase population growth and activity of *Lactobacillus* spp. in the intestine of broiler. The improvement microbial balance in the intestine indicated by the increasing amount of Lactobacillus spp. can further increased the ability of digestive tract to digest and absorb nutrients (Conway and Wang, 2000).

In case of the present study, *Lactobacillus* spp. in the GTL component was another positive effect that could be able to improve gastrointestinal health and further brought about the increased digestive activity. Probiotic *Lactobacillus* spp. had a stimulating effect on broiler weight gain because of its ability in balancing the intestinal microflora to optimize

nutrients digestion and absorption in broilers (Huang, et al., 2013). The improved health condition due to the inclusion of Lactobacillus spp. was further able to increase feed/nutrient digestibility and absorption via the increasing secretion and activity of digestive enzyme especially pepsin, a protein hydrolyzing-enzyme (Shabani et al., 2012). The nature of digestive enzym secretion was supported by the improved intestinal health due to the better microbial balance (Table 3), and higher endogenous antioxidant (Table 5) triggered by the addition of GTL. Purbarani et al. (2019) proved that Lactobacillus spp.-fortified diet combined with prebiotic given to the chickens from one-day-old increased jejunal villi height, protein digestibility, carcass percentage, and finally improved body weight. In other side, it was reported that addition of ginger through drinking water in broiler chicken can reduced FCR (Arkan et al., 2012). The addition of GTL increased the number of Lactobacillus spp. (Table 3) that can regulate the balance of the intestinal microflora through a mechanism of competitive exclusion, and optimize intestinal absorption of nutrients. The increased weights of bursa fabricius and thymus (Table 4), as humoral and systemic responses, respectively, also contributed to the overall health condition and supported well-work of digestive tract. Therefore, the healthy gastrointestinal, due to the better microbial balance, enhance the activity of digestive enzymes and improve nutrients digestibility. This digestive physiological condition give an impact on the enhanced weight gain and on the decreased FCR of broilers given self-formulated feed (A1) combined with GTL both B4 and B6 (A1B4 and A1B6), but lower GTL level (B4) is more economically efficient.

# CONCLUSION

Combination of ginger and turmeric extracts (4 mL per 1 litre drinking water), and *Lactobacillus* spp. increases body resistance, supported by lower pathogenic bacteria counts and higher antioxidant status (GSH-Px and SOD), and improves growth performance of broiler fed self-formulated diet (A1B4).

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to express deep appreciation and immeasurable thank to PT. Sidomuncul Pupuk Nusantara for providing experimental facilities which made the completion of research was possible..

#### REFERENCES

- Abdurrahman, Z.H., Y. B. Pramono and N. Suthama. 2016a. Feeding effect of inulin derived from dahlia tuber combined with *Lactobacillus* sp. on meat protein mass of crossbred kampong chicken. J. Indonesian Trop. Anim. Agric. 41(1): 37-44.
- Abdurrahman, Z.H., Y. B. Pramono and N. Suthama. 2016b. Meat characteristic of crossbred local chicken fed inulin of dahlia tuber and *Lactobacillus* sp. Med. Pet. 39(2): 112-118.
- Abou-Elkhair, R., H.A. Ahmed and S. Selim. 2014. Effects of black pepper (*Piper nigrum*), turmeric powder (*Curcuma longa*) and coriander seeds (*Coriandrum sativum*) and their combination as feed additives on growth performance, carcass traits, some blood parameters and humoral immune response of broiler chickens. Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci. 27(6): 847-854
- Al-Sultan, S.I. 2003. The effect of *Curcuma longa* (tumeric) on overall performance of broiler chickens. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 2(5): 351-353.
- Arkan, B., A.M.Mohammed, Al-Rubaee and A.Q. Jalil. 2012. Effect of ginger on performance and blood serum parameters of broiler.Int. J. of poult. Sci.11(2):143-146.
- Bakari, D., N.L. Tatsadjieu, A. Mbawala and C.M. Mbofung. 2011. Assessment of physiological properties of some lactic acid bacteria isolated from the intestine of chickens used as probiotics and antimicrobial agents against enteropathogenic bacteria. Innov. Rom. Food Biotechnol. 8: 33-40.
- Bolton, W. 1967. MAFF Bulletin. No.174. Poultry Nutrition. HMSO, London.
- Cholis, M.A., N. Suthama and B. Sukamto. 2018. Feeding microparticle protein diet combined with *Lactobacillus* sp. on existence of intestinal bacteria and growth of broiler chickens. J. Indonesian Trop. Anim. Agric. 43(3): 265-271
- Conway, P., I. and X. Wang.. 2000. Specifically targeted probiotic can reduce antibiotics usage in animal production. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 13: 358-361.

Cousins, M., J. Adelberg, F. Chen and J. Rieck.

2007. Antioxidant capacity of fresh and dried rhizomes from four clones of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) grown *in vitro*. Industrial Crops Prod. 25(2): 129–135.

- Daneshyar, M., H. Kermanshahi and A. Golian. 2012. The effects of turmeric supplementation on antioxidant status, blood gas indices and mortality in broiler chickens with T3 induced ascites. Br. Poult. Sci. 53(3): 379-385.
- Dugasani S., M.R. Pichika, V.D. Nadarajah, M.K. Balijepalli, S. Tandra and J.N. Korlakunta. 2010. Comparative antioxidant and antiinflammatory effects of [6]-Gingerol, [8]-Gingerol, [10]-Gingerol and [6]-Shogaol. J. Ethnopharmacol. 127(2): 515–520.
- Fajrih, N., N. Suthama and V. D. Yunianto. 2014. Body resistance and productive performances of crossbred local chicken fed inulin of dahlia tubers. Med. Pet. 37(2): 108-114.
- Fakhim, R., Y. Ebrahimnezhad, H.R. Seyedabadi and T. Vahdatpour. 2013. Effect of different concentrations of aqueous extract of ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) on performance and carcass characteristics of male broiler chickens in wheat-soybean meal based diets. J. Biosci. Biotechnol. 2(2): 95-99.
- Habibi R., G.H. Sadeghi and A. Karimi. 2014. Effect of different concentrations of ginger root powder and its essential oil on growth performance, serum metabolites and antioxidant status in broiler chicks under heat stress. Br. Poult. Sci. 55(2): 228-237.
- Huang M.K., Y. J. Choi, R. Houde, J.-W. Lee, B. Lee dan X. Zhao, 2013. Effects of *Lactobacilli* and an *Aacidophilic fungus* on the production performance and immune responses in broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. 83(5): 788-795.
- Johannah NM, A. Joseph, B. Maliakel and I.M. Krishnakumar. 2018. Dietary addition of a standardized extract of turmeric (TurmaFEED<sup>TM</sup>) improves growth performance and carcass quality of broilers. J. Anim. Sci. Technol. 60(8): 2-9.
- Kafi A., M.N. Uddin, M.J. Uddin, M.M.H. Khan and M.E. Haque. 2017. Effect of dietary supplementation of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*), ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) and their combination as feed additives on feed intake, growth performance and economics of broiler. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 16(7): 257-165.
- Karangiya V.K., H.H. Savsani, S.S. Patil, D.D.

Garg, K.S. Murthy, N.K. Ribadiya and S.J. Vekariya. 2016. Effect of dietary supplementation of garlic, ginger and their combination on feed intake, growth performance and economics in commercial broilers. Vet. World 9(3): 245-250.

- Krismiyanto, L., N. Suthama and H.I. Wahyuni. 2014. Feeding effect of inulin derived from *Dahlia variabilis* tuber on intestinal microbes in starter period of crossbred native chickens. J. Indonesian Trop. Anim. Agric. 39(4):217-223.
- Majidzadeh, R.H. H. Kermanshahi, M. Sankian, M.R. Nassiri, H. Heravi, Moussavi, L. Nasiraii and A.R. Varaste. 2011. Screening of *Lactobacilli* bacteria isolated from gastrointestinal tract of broiler chickens for their use as probiotic. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res. 5(14): 1858-1868.
- Malekizadeh, M., M.M. Moeini and S.G. Harsini. 2012. The effects of different levels of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc) and turmeric (*Curcuma longa* Linn) rhizomes powder on some blood metabolites and production performance characteristics of laying hens. J. Agric. Sci. Technol. 14(1): 127-134
- Mohamed, A.B., M.A.M. Al-Rubaee and A.Q. Jalil. 2012. Effect of ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) on performance and blood serum parameters of broiler. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 11(2): 143-146.
- Murry A.C., A. Hinton, Jr. and R.J. Buhr. 2013. Effect of botanical probiotic containing *Lactobacilli* on growth performance and populations of bacteria in the ceca, cloaca and carcass rinse of broiler chickens. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 5(4): 344-350.
- National Research Council. 1994. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9<sup>th</sup> Revised Ed. National Academic Press, Washington DC.
- Purbarani, S.A., H.I. Wahyuni and N. Suthama. 2019. Dahlia inulin and *Lactobacillus* sp. in step down rotein diet on villi development and growth of KUB chickens. Trop. Anim. Sci. J. 42(1): 19-24.
- Rajput, N., N. Muhammad, R. Yan, X. Zhong dan T. Wang. 2013. Effect of dietary supplementation of curcumin on growth performance, intestinal morphology and nutrients utilization of broiler chicks. J. Poult. Sci. 50(1): 44-52.
- Shabani R., M. Nosrati, F. Javandel, A.A.A.

Gothbi and H. Kioumarsi. 2012. The effect of probiotics on growth performance of broilers. Ann. Biol. Res. 3(12): 5450-5452.

- Shohe A., V.K. Vidyarthi and R. Zuyie. 2019. Performance of broiler chicken on diet supplemented with turmeric powder (*Curcuma longa*). Livest. Res. Int. 7(2):77-82.
- Stoilova, I, A. Krastanov, A. Stoyanova, P. Denev dan S. Gargova. 2007. Antioxidant activity of a ginger extract (*Zingiber officinale*). J. Food Chem. 102(3):764-770.
- Sugiharto, T. Yudiarti, Isroli, E. Widiastuti and F.D. Putra. 2017. Effect of dietary supplementation with *Rhizopus oryzae* or *Chrysonilia crassa* on growth performance, blood profile, intestinal microbial population, and carcass traits in broilers exposed to heat stress. Arch. Anim. Breed. 60:347-356.
- Sugiharto, T. Yudiarti, Isroli, E. Widiastuti, H.I. Wahyuni and E. Suprijatna. 2018. The potential of *Bacillus* strains isolated from the rumen content of dairy cows as natural antibacterial and antioxidant agents for broilers. J. Indonesian Trop. Anim. Agric. 43(2):115-123.
- Sugiharto and S. Ranjitkar. 2019. Recent advances in fermented feeds towards improved broiler chicken performance, gastrointestinal tract microecology and immune responses. J. Anim. Nutr. 5(1):1-10.
- Suthama, N., Y.B. Pramono and B. Sukamto. 2018. Improvement of broiler meat quality due to dietary inclusion of soy-bean oligosaccharide derived from soybean meal extract. IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 102 012009.
- Suthama, N., B. Sukamto and I. Mangisah. 2019. Healthy meat production of broiler fed microparticle-protein diet with inclusion of inulin derived from dahlia tuber extract. IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 292 012066. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/292/1/012066
- Toghyani, M., M.R. Golshan and G. Ghalamkari. 2015. Evaluation of nettle (*Urtica dioica*) and ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) powder on serum antioxidants and immune responses of broiler chicks. Der Pharmacia Lettre 7(7): 411-415.
- Wulandari, L.T., N. Suthama and B. Sukamto. 2018. Blood parameters and productivity of broilers fed ration composed of microparticle protein with the addition of

*Lactobacillus* sp. J. Indonesian Trop. Anim. Agric. 43(4): 396-404.

Zhang, G.F., Z. B. Yang, I.Y. Wang, W. R. Yang, S. Z. Jiang and G.S. Gai. 2009. Effects of ginger root (*Zingiber officinale*) processed to different particle sizes on growth performance, antioxidant status and serum metabolites of broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. 88(10): 2159–2166.