

The effects of novel commercial toxin binders on growth performance, immunity and intestinal morphology of broiler chicks infected with aflatoxin B1

A. Dolatkah Siahmazgy¹, H. Mansouri Yarahmadi^{1*}, H. Lotfollahian²,
J. Fakhraei¹, and S. A. Hosseini²

¹Department of Animal Science, Arak Branch, Islamic Azad University, Arak, Iran

²Animal Science Research Institute of Iran, Agricultural Research,
Education and Extension Organization (AREO), Karaj, Alborz, Iran

*Corresponding email: h-mansouri@iaau-arak.ac.ir; hossein.m39@yahoo.com

Received August 23, 2024; Accepted November 5, 2024

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to evaluate the effects of commercial toxin binders on growth performance, immunity and intestinal morphology of broiler chicks fed with diets contaminated with aflatoxin B1 (AFB1). One-day-old male Cobb 500 broiler chicks (n=350) with an initial weight of 42±3 g was assigned to one of 7 treatments with 5 replications and 10 broiler chicks per group. Experimental treatments were: 1) Basal diet without aflatoxin and additive (NC); 2) Basal diet containing aflatoxin (PC); 3) PC diet containing test toxin binder-1 (ARS11) 4) PC diet containing test toxin binder-2 (ARS12); 5) PC diet containing test toxin binder-3 (STB1); 6) PC diet containing test toxin binder-4 (STB2) and 7) PC diet containing commercial toxin binder (Mycofix). Growth performance, cellular and humoral immune responses, carcass traits and intestinal morphology were assessed. Average daily weight gain (ADG), and cellular and humoral immunities were significantly lower in broiler chicks in the PC group compared to broiler chicks in the NC group in the different growth periods ($P<0.05$), but dietary supplementation with none of the test toxin binders improved ADG compared to the PC group ($P>0.05$). Dietary inclusion of ASRI1 and ASRI2 significantly decreased adverse the effects of aflatoxin on immune responses ($P<0.05$). The results showed that villus length in the different parts of intestine, ileal villus width and crypt depth and duodenal crypt depth were significantly decreased in broiler chicks fed with PC diet in comparison to broiler chicks fed the NC diet ($P<0.05$). Dietary inclusion of different toxin binders also alleviated adverse effects of aflatoxin on intestinal morphology ($P<0.05$). In conclusion, dietary inclusion of ASRI1 and ASRI2 toxin binders is recommended for alleviation of the negative effects of AFB1 on immune responses and intestinal morphology.

Keywords: Aflatoxin B1, Broiler chicks, Cellular immunity, Crypt depth, Feed intake

INTRODUCTION

Mycotoxins are toxic metabolites that are produced by some fungi. These are major issues for human beings and domestic animals due to their negative effects such as teratogenic, carcinogenic, mutagenic, and immunosuppressive

effects (Yunus *et al.*, 2011; El-Katcha *et al.*, 2017; Zahedi *et al.*, 2023).

Aflatoxins cause significant economic impacts on animal production due to their effects on growth and decreased meat production (Fan *et al.*, 2013; Do and Choi, 2007). Devegowda (2005) reported decreased 21% in weight gain of

broiler chicks fed with 0.3 mg Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1)/kg diet. It was also reported that there was a 10% decrease in weight gain of broiler chicks following 28 days of exposure to 0.8 mg AFB1/kg diet (Tedesco *et al.*, 2004).

Aflatoxins found in animal feed increase morbidity and mortality in commercial poultry (Nabi *et al.*, 2018). The presence of aflatoxin contamination in poultry diet influences animal health, production, mortality and immunosuppression that may increase susceptibility to infectious diseases (He *et al.*, 2013). Immune function in poultry is affected by mycotoxins. It was reported that ingestion of aflatoxins suppressed the immune system in terms of the bursa of Fabricius, thymus, and spleen (El-Katcha *et al.*, 2017).

The Food and Agriculture Organization have previously estimated at least 25% of production cereal is contaminated by mycotoxins (Poorghasemi *et al.*, 2024). Negative effects of aflatoxins have pushed researchers to find the ways to detoxify feedstuffs. Detoxification methods not only decrease the concentration of toxins to “safe” levels but also inhibit production of new toxic products obtained from aflatoxin degradation, and prevent a decrease in the nutritional value of the treated commodities thus maintaining the nutritional value of aflatoxin contaminated feeds (El-Katcha *et al.*, 2017).

The different methods used for alleviation of the adverse effects of aflatoxins on economic parameters of broiler chicks are divided into physical, biological and chemical methods. Natural compounds such as medicinal plants are used as toxin binders. Medicinal herbs are used in diets for improving growth and immunity parameters in broiler chicks (Poorghasemi *et al.*, 2017; Rafeeq *et al.*, 2017). Medicinal plants have antifungal activity (Abayhne and Chauhan, 2016).

Seemingly, the fabrication of herbal-based toxin binders could alleviate adverse effects of AFB1 on growth performance and immunity of broiler chicks. This study was thus conducted to evaluate the effects of novel commercial toxin binders for decreasing the negative effects of AFB1 on growth performance and immunity of broiler chicks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Broiler Chicks and Diets

All the used methods were approved by the Islamic Azad University (Arak-Iran) Care and Use Committee (IAAU 10155. 15/December 2017). A total of 350 one-day-old male Cobb 500 broiler chicks with initial weight of 42±3 g was studied. The chicks were reared in pens up to 42 days of age. The chicks received similar diets with no toxin until day 10 of age. A lighting regime of 23L:1D was used during the experimental period and broiler chicks had free access to feed and water. From day 10 until the end of the experiment, birds were assigned to one of 7 treatments with 5 replications and 10 birds per replicate. The experimental treatments were prepared in three stages: starter (1-14 days), grower (15-28 days) and finisher (29-42 days). Trial treatments included: 1) Basal diets lack of aflatoxin and additive (NC); 2) Basal diet containing aflatoxin (PC); 3) PC diet + test toxin binder-1 (ARS1); 4) PC diet + test toxin binder-2 (ARS2); 5) PC diet + test toxin binder-3 (STB1) 6) PC diet + test toxin binder-4 (STB2) and 7) PC diet + commercial toxin binder (Mycofix). Test toxin binders (1 and 2) were multiple function toxin binders produced by the Animal Science Research Institute and included the herbal supplement, bentonite, yeast wall, organic acid, and vitamins. The diets had differences in the herbal supplement compounds. All the diets were formulated in mash form and formulated as recommended by NRC (NRC, 1994) (Table 1). Test toxin binders (3 and 4) were multiple function toxin binders produced by the Soroush Sabz Company and contained the herbal supplement, bentonite, yeast wall, organic acid, and vitamins. Each of the toxin binders was included to the diet at a rate of 3 kg/ton. Crude protein was analyzed based on AOAC (2005) recommendations.

Infection with AFB1

On day 10, feeds in PC groups were contaminated with AFB1 as reported by Khan *et al.* (2017). To increase the absorption of the aflatoxin, the feed was moistened with water and mixed with 15-day-old cultures of *Aspergillus parasiticus* PTCC-5286 grown on potato dextrose agar slants. To increase the production of mycotoxins, it was stored in bags for 18 days at ambient temperature. The quantification of AFB1 was assessed by using the ELISA test as reported by

Peltonen *et al.* (2000). A level of 1.5 mg AFB1/kg feed was added into basal diet. Average daily body weight gain (ADG) and average daily feed intake (ADFI) per experimental unit were recorded periodically and the feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated. Mortality was recorded daily, and birds that had died were weighed and the FCR calculated by dividing ADFI by ADG of live plus dead birds.

Cellular Immunity

On the 28th day of the trial, 0.1 mL of DNCB and PHA was injected into the wing of two broiler chicks per pen. One area, 10 cm², was determined for injection of DNCB. Skin thickness was measured before sensitization. Skin thickness was measured at three places in this area, after 24 and 48 hours. Also, 0.1 mL PHA (10

mg/mL acetone and olive oil at a ratio of 4:1) was administered using intradermal injection between the third and fourth digits of the right foot. The area thickness was assessed by means of a constant tension micrometer 24 and 48 hours after administration (Global Sources, Shanghai, China).

Humoral Immunity

On the 28th day of the trial, 3 mL of 10% suspension of SRBCs was intravenously administered into the wing of two birds per replicate. Blood samples were collected 7 days after injection. The blood samples were centrifuged at 2200 g for 12 minutes and the serum collected. The samples were stored at -20°C until analysis. Serum samples were analyzed for total anti-SRBC antibodies as explained by Delhanty and Solo-

Table 1. The Experimental Diets Used in the Different Periods

Ingredients	Starter (0-14 day)	Grower (15-28 day)	Finisher (29-42 day)
Corn grain	55.00	46.08	45.00
Soybean meal (44% CP)	39.00	29.00	32.6
Vegetable oil	1.00	1.05	3.80
Wheat	0.00	20.00	15.00
Oystershell	1.30	1.17	1.00
NaCl	0.20	0.20	0.10
Vitamin and mineral Premix ^a	3.50	2.50	2.50
Nutrient composition			
AME, Kcal/kg	2905	2987	3121
Digestible lysine, %	1.156	0.923	0.994
Digestible Met+Cys (%)	0.834	0.698	0.717
Crude protein, %	22.58	19.25	20.23
Linoleic acid, %	1.609	1.407	2.362
Calcium, %	1.068	0.87	0.812
Available P, %	0.546	0.42	0.424
Potassium%	0.968	0.819	0.866
Chloride %	0.248	0.225	0.163
Sodium%	0.212	0.187	0.145
Digestible methionine	0.528	0.423	0.434
Digestible arginine	1.365	1.10	1.184
Digestible threonine	0.748	0.624	0.66
Digestible valine	0.937	0.795	0.837
Digestible tryptophane	0.291	0.239	0.257

^aVitamin & mineral premix supplied (content per kg): vitamin A, 1 800000 IU; vitamin D3, 400000 IU; vitamin E, 3600 IU; vitamin K3, 400 mg; thiamine, 360 mg; riboflavin, 1320 mg; niacin, 6000 mg; vitamin B6, 600 mg; vitamin B5, 2000; vitamin B12, 3 mg; folic acid, 200 mg; biotin, 20 mg; choline, 80 g; zinc, 17 g; iron, 10 g; copper, 2 g; manganese, 20 g; selenium, 40 mg; iodine, 200 mg.

mon (1996). In summary, each inactivated serum sample was titrated for total and mercaptoethanol (ME)-resistant (IgG) anti-SRBC antibody titers. ME-sensitive (IgM) antibody titers were achieved by subtracting the level (titer) of IgG antibodies from total antibodies. All the titer data were reported in terms of log₂ (Poorghasemi *et al.*, 2015).

Carcass Traits and Intestinal Morphology

On day 42, two birds/replicate were killed and carcass traits were estimated as a percentage of live body weight. Intestinal segments were separated, 2 cm of duodenal, jejunal and ileal samples were preserved in a 10% formaldehyde phosphate buffer for 48 hours, subsequently embedded in paraffin, fixed on Microtome, sliced to a thickness of 3 μm, and dehydrated on a hot-plate. The sample was put on a glass slide, stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and examined under a microscope. From the prepared slides (n=5) from the jejunal segments of each broiler chick, five well-oriented villi were measured, and the average of the villi measurements was reported as a mean for each bird. Villus width (VW) was assessed at the base of each villus, villus length (VL) was evaluated from the top of the villus to the villus-crypt junction, and crypt depth (CD) was evaluated from the base of the villus to the sub-mucosa (Poorghasemi *et al.*, 2017).

Statistical Analyses

The data obtained from the trial were analyzed by ANOVA (SAS software) (SAS, 2001). Different group means were assessed using Duncan's multiple range tests (DMRT). The level of significance was taken as $P < 0.05$ or lower. For antibody titer, log₂ transformations were performed on antibody titers before statistical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effects of experimental treatments on growth performance of broiler chicks are shown in Table 2. ADFI between 10-22 days and 10-42 days and FCR between 10-22 days were not influenced by the experimental treatments ($P > 0.05$). ADG was significantly lower in broiler chicks in PC group compared to broiler chicks in NC group during the different periods ($P < 0.05$).

There was no significant difference between NC group and those fed ASRI1 and ASRI2 in 10-22 days ($P > 0.05$). Dietary supplementation of toxin binders could not improve ADG compared with the PC group ($P > 0.05$). Aflatoxin increased the FCR and inclusion of toxin binders could not improve the FCR ($P > 0.05$).

Contamination with aflatoxin had adverse effects on ADG and FCR and dietary inclusion of different toxin binders could not decrease the adverse effects of aflatoxin on growth performance. Growth performance is an index for growth performance, because it shows feed utilization and total efficacy in the poultry industry (Ajuwon, 2015). Parallel to our findings, Raju and Devegowda (2002) and Tedesco *et al.* (2004) have shown that dietary supplementation of 300 ng/kg AFB1 had negative effects on body weight. Suppression of performance in PC groups was due to anorexia, listlessness, compromised health and preventory effects of AFB1 on protein production and lipogenesis (Xu *et al.*, 2022) and/or disorders in macromolecules metabolism (Mesgar *et al.*, 2022). Mahmood *et al.* (2017) have reported that aflatoxins increase toxic metabolites in the liver and inhibit protein formation. It was expected that herbal-based toxin binders such as ASRI1 and ASRI2 could alleviate adverse effects of AFB1 on growth performance. Simitzis (2017) have reported the beneficial effects of medicinal herbs on animals. Ragga *et al.* (2016) also showed that dietary supplementation of thyme essential oil could improve ADG in broiler chicks. It could be stated that herbal-based toxin binders did not improve growth in broiler chicks fed with aflatoxin-infected diets in the present study. Other studies stated that the effect of toxin binders on reducing the side effects of AFB1 on growth performance is directly related to the amount of AFB1 in the diet (Liu *et al.*, 2019). By increasing the amount of AFB1, due to the deterioration of digestive and metabolic efficiency, toxin binders will not have the necessary efficiency (Liu *et al.*, 2019). Also, the effects of toxin binders on the yield of feed contaminated with AFB1 are variable due to the different components and the amount used in the diet, as well as the type of breed and the different rearing conditions of broiler chickens. Therefore, the mentioned reasons can probably be the cause of the non-significance of the toxin binders used

Table 2. The Effects of Dietary Inclusion of Test and Commercial toxin binders' chicks on average daily feed intake (ADFI, g), body weight gain (BWG, g) and the feed conversion ratio (FCR) of broiler chicks fed with aflatoxin-contaminated diets

Treatments	ADFI			BWG			FCR			
	day	10-22	22-42	10-42	10-22	22-42	10-42	10-22	22-42	10-42
NC		77.29	105.49 ^c	95.16	47.36 ^a	75.58 ^a	65.27 ^a	1.646	1.397 ^a	1.462 ^a
PC		65.10	114.65 ^{abc}	95.84	41.70 ^b	49.16 ^{bc}	46.32 ^{bc}	1.567	2.353 ^b	2.074 ^b
ASRI1		67.64	120.53 ^{ab}	100.85	44.46 ^{ab}	49.70 ^{bc}	47.78 ^{bc}	1.527	2.432 ^b	2.109 ^b
ASRI2		71.22	125.83 ^a	105.79	43.61 ^{ab}	54.29 ^b	50.38 ^b	1.633	2.320 ^b	2.101 ^b
STB1		71.97	115.37 ^{abc}	98.75	43.87 ^{ab}	45.87 ^{bc}	45.13 ^{bc}	1.639	2.537 ^b	2.197 ^b
STB2		66.80	110.96 ^{bc}	94.08	42.20 ^b	43.53 ^c	42.98 ^c	1.586	2.575 ^b	2.197 ^b
Mycofix		77.70	118.81 ^{abc}	103.20	44.85 ^{ab}	48.22 ^{bc}	46.92 ^{bc}	1.749	2.486 ^b	2.205 ^b
<i>P</i> -value		0.201	0.055	0.173	0.063	0.000	0.000	0.859	0.000	0.000
SEM		4.012	4.288	3.436	1.232	2.768	1.80	0.110	0.083	0.065

in the present experiment (Rajput *et al.*, 2017).

The results for cellular and humoral immune responses are presented in Table 3. Broiler chicks in PC group showed lower cellular and humoral responses in comparison to those fed the NC diet ($P < 0.05$). Dietary inclusion of ASRI1 and ASRI2 toxin binders significantly decreased the adverse effects of aflatoxin on immune responses ($P < 0.05$). Previous studies have shown that broiler chicks fed with mycotoxins showed lower antibody titers (Khan *et al.*, 2014). Decreased immune responses in response to AFB1

could be attributed to faulty protein formation that reduces IgG and IgA synthesis (Sur and Celik, 2004). Herbal-based toxin binders could improve immune responses. Talazadeh and Mayahi (2017) have reported that dietary supplementation of medicinal plants improved immune response in broiler chickens. Other studies have reported that herbal-based diets did not have any significant effect on antibody titers in poultry (Ozek *et al.*, 2011; Hosseini *et al.*, 2013). Plant compounds help to improve the immune system by their antioxidant activity (Amresh *et al.*,

Table 3. Effects of Dietary Inclusion of Test and Commercial Toxin Binders on Antibody Titers Against Sheep Red Blood Cell (SRBC) and the Response to Phytohemagglutinin (PHA) and 2, 4-Dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB) after 24h And 48h in Broiler Chicks Fed with Aflatoxin-Contaminated Diets

Treatments	IgG	IgM	SRBC	PHA-24	PHA-48	DNCB-24	DNCB-48
NC	4.40 ^{ab}	1.60 ^{ab}	6.00 ^a	1.51 ^a	1.11 ^a	1.34 ^a	0.93 ^a
PC	3.00 ^c	0.80 ^b	3.80 ^d	0.71 ^d	0.57 ^c	0.58 ^d	0.45 ^c
ASRI1	3.60 ^b	1.80 ^a	5.40 ^{ab}	1.05 ^b	0.80 ^b	1.03 ^b	0.70 ^b
ASRI2	4.60 ^a	1.30 ^a	5.60 ^{ab}	0.98 ^{bc}	0.72 ^b	0.88 ^b	0.62 ^b
STB1	3.60 ^b	0.80 ^b	4.40 ^{cd}	0.84 ^{cd}	0.64 ^{bc}	0.79 ^c	0.61 ^b
STB2	3.00 ^c	1.00 ^{ab}	4.00 ^d	0.87 ^{bcd}	0.70 ^{bc}	0.76 ^{cd}	0.58 ^b
Mycofix	3.40 ^{bc}	1.60 ^{ab}	5.00 ^{bc}	0.96 ^{bc}	0.73 ^{bc}	0.82 ^{bc}	0.60 ^b
<i>P</i> -value	0.001	0.061	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
SEM	0.267	0.278	0.273	0.061	0.065	0.068	0.061

NC= Non aflatoxin contaminated diet; PC= aflatoxin-contaminated diet.

The means within the same column with at least one common letter, do not have significant difference ($P > 0.05$).

SEM: standard error of the means.

Table 4. The Effects of Dietary Inclusion of Test and Commercial Toxin Binders on Carcass Traits Of Broiler Chicks Receiving Aflatoxin-Contaminated Diets

Treatments	Carcass	Breast	Thigh	Wings	Back and neck	Gizzard	Abdominal		Heart	Liver	Spleen	Bursa
							Fat	Fat				
NC	77.18 ^a	23.49 ^a	18.92 ^a	6.48 ^a	17.88	2.42	1.32	1.32	0.43	1.68 ^d	0.104	0.082
PC	70.74 ^{bc}	21.24 ^{bc}	17.66 ^{ab}	5.61 ^c	17.30	2.98	1.38	1.38	0.45	3.72 ^{ab}	0.131	0.107
ASRI1	70.74 ^{bc}	20.16 ^{bc}	17.63 ^{ab}	5.92 ^{bc}	18.66	3.09	1.25	1.25	0.50	4.20 ^a	0.159	0.120
ASRI2	72.00 ^{abc}	20.11 ^{bc}	18.40 ^{ab}	5.89 ^{bc}	18.41	3.05	1.26	1.26	0.47	2.84 ^c	0.094	0.119
STB1	67.23 ^c	19.24 ^c	17.27 ^b	5.65 ^c	16.48	2.78	1.43	1.43	0.46	3.90 ^{ab}	0.115	0.074
STB2	67.50 ^c	21.23 ^{bc}	17.14 ^b	5.92 ^{bc}	17.17	2.93	1.36	1.36	0.52	3.81 ^{ab}	0.149	0.118
Mycofix	76.28 ^{ab}	22.10 ^{ab}	19.10 ^a	6.31 ^{ab}	18.83	2.92	1.17	1.17	0.41	3.30 ^{bc}	0.103	0.108
<i>P</i> -value	0.003	0.001	0.042	0.009	0.184	0.153	0.92	0.92	0.545	0.000	0.150	0.096
SEM	2.031	0.697	0.521	0.18	0.706	0.178	0.152	0.152	0.04	0.284	0.019	0.013

NC= Non aflatoxin contaminated diet; PC= aflatoxin-contaminated diet.
 The means within the same column with at least one common letter, do not have significant difference ($P>0.05$).
 SEM: standard error of the means.

Table 5. The Effects of Dietary Inclusion of Test And Commercial Toxin Binders on Villus Length (VL), Villus Width (VW) and Crypt Depth (CD) of Different Intestinal Parts of Broiler Chicks Fed the Contaminated Diets

Treatments day	Duodenum			Jejunum			Ileum		
	VL (μm)	VW (μm)	CD (μm)	VL (μm)	VW (μm)	CD (μm)	VL (μm)	VW (μm)	CD (μm)
NC	0.922 ^{ab}	0.270	0.230 ^a	1.34 ^a	1.88	0.190	1.296 ^a	0.188 ^a	0.214 ^a
PC	0.510 ^c	0.370	0.128 ^d	1.09 ^c	0.292	0.271	0.946 ^b	0.088 ^c	0.110 ^b
ASRI1	0.880 ^{ab}	0.240	0.186 ^b	1.26 ^{bc}	0.156	0.180	1.288 ^a	0.162 ^{ab}	0.220 ^a
ASRI2	0.816 ^{ab}	0.230	0.188 ^b	1.30 ^{ab}	0.154	0.186	1.294 ^a	0.166 ^{ab}	0.196 ^a
STB1	0.690 ^{bc}	0.218	0.162 ^{bc}	1.20 ^d	0.132	0.164	1.290 ^a	0.144 ^b	0.202 ^a
STB2	0.682 ^{bc}	0.208	0.156 ^c	1.21 ^d	0.126	0.150	1.256 ^a	0.140 ^b	0.188 ^a
Mycofix	1.010 ^a	0.226	0.180 ^{bc}	1.24 ^{cd}	0.146	0.178	1.268 ^a	0.138 ^b	0.200 ^a
<i>P</i> -value	0.002	0.782	0.000	0.000	0.760	0.925	0.000	0.000	0.000
SEM	0.078	0.077	0.009	0.014	0.077	0.070	0.023	0.011	0.012

NC= Non aflatoxin contaminated diet; PC= aflatoxin-contaminated diet.

The means within the same column with at least one common letter, do not have significant difference ($P > 0.05$).

SEM: standard error of the means.

2007) and thus alleviate adverse effects of AFB1 on immunity.

AFB1 decreased some carcass traits and herbal-based toxin binders could not reverse this. Ortatatli *et al.* (2005) showed an increase in the absolute and relative weights of liver, kidney and gizzard of broiler chicks fed with aflatoxin diets. Liver is a target organ for AFB1 since it is responsible for aflatoxin detoxification where aflatoxins are bioactivated to the reactive 8, 9-epoxide form that is bond DNA and proteins and destroy liver compounds and increase liver weight (Miazzo *et al.*, 2005; Bailey *et al.*, 2006 and Pasha *et al.*, 2007). Increased liver weight could be attributed to increased lipid deposits which could be attributed to fat metabolism (Denli and Okan, 2006).

The effects of dietary inclusion of commercial toxin binders on carcass traits are presented in Table 4. Broiler chicks fed the PC diet showed lower percentages of carcass, breast, thigh, wing and liver. Dietary inclusion of Mycofix toxin binder could significantly alleviate the effects of aflatoxin on wings ($P < 0.05$). Herbal-based toxin binders did not improve carcass traits. The investigations made from the results of similar researches have determined that with the increase of breeding days and at the end of the period, the amount of feed consumed by the birds increases compared to the beginning and growth periods, and as a result, the poison entered into the body

also increases. With the increase in the amount of AFB1 in the body at the end of the period, probably the toxin binders do not have the necessary ability to neutralize the effect of AFB1, which can be one of the reasons for the lack of improvement in carcass traits in the present experiment (Fouad *et al.*, 2019).

The data for intestinal morphology are shown in Table 5. The results showed that VL in the different parts of intestine, ileal VW and CD and duodenal CD were significantly decreased in broiler chicks fed with PC diet in comparison to broiler chicks fed with NC diet ($P < 0.05$). Dietary inclusion of different toxin binders generally alleviated adverse effects of toxin binders on intestinal morphology ($P < 0.05$). Aflatoxins produced adverse effects on intestinal morphology and adding different toxin binders did alleviate many effects AFB1 on intestinal morphology. Pelicano *et al.* (2005) showed that pathogens could injure normal microflora and intestinal epithelium and destroy the ability to digest and to absorb nutrients through a decrease in villus height. Pathogens could decrease CD, VW and VL. The deeper CD is considered a result of fast tissue turnover that helps renewal of the villus as required in response to normal sloughing or inflammation, pathogens or their toxins, and the high demand for tissue (Rashidi *et al.*, 2020). Decreased villus height and deeper crypts led to decreased nutrient absorption and increased secretion in the gas-

trointestinal tract (Xu *et al.*, 2004). We have shown that adding toxin binders could improve morphology. We believed that toxin binders could remove AFB1. Langrová *et al.* (2019) reported that plant toxin binders reduce the negative effects of aflatoxin on the intestinal bacterial population. In relation to the antimicrobial activity of medicinal plant compounds, it has been found that these compounds cause disruption in the cytoplasmic membrane, stopping the penetration of stimulating protons, smoothing the flow of electrons and active transport, as well as coagulation of bacterial contents and by eliminating pathogenic bacteria, they can improve intestinal morphology.

CONCLUSION

It could be stated that AFB1 showed adverse effects on growth performance, immune responses, carcass traits and intestinal morphology. However, herbal-based toxin binders could alleviate some negative effects of AFB1 on immune responses and intestinal morphology. It could be recommended to use herbal-based supplements for alleviation of the adverse effects of AFB1.

REFERENCES

- Abayhne, M.A. and N.M. Chauhan. 2016. Antifungal activity of various medicinal plants against late blight of potato from Ethiopia. *J. Sci. Res. Rep.* 12:1-9.
- Ajuwon, K.M. 2015. Toward a better understanding of mechanisms of probiotics and prebiotics action in poultry species. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 1: 1-7.
- Amresh, G., G. Reddy, C.V. Rao and P. Singh. 2007. Evaluation of anti-inflammatory activity of *Cissampelos pareira* root in rats. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 110:526-531.
- AOAC. 2005. Official methods of analysis, 18th ed. Association of official analytical chemists, Washington, DC.
- Bailey, R.H., G.W. Latimer, A.C. Barr, W.L. Wigle, A.U. Haq, J.E. Balthrop and L.F. Kubena. 2006. Efficacy of MNT clay (NovaSil Plus) for protecting full-term broilers from aflatoxicosis. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 15:198-206.
- Delhanty, J.J. and J.B. Solomon. 1996. The nature of antibodies to goat erythrocytes in the developing chicken. *Immunol.* 11:103-113.
- Denli, M. and F. Okan. 2006. Efficacy of different adsorbents in reducing the toxic effects of aflatoxin B1 in broiler diets. *S Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 36(4):222-228.
- Devegowda, G. and T.N.K. Murthy. 2005. Mycotoxins: Their effects in poultry and some practical solutions. In *The Mycotoxin Blue Book*. (D.E. Diaz, Ed.) Nottingham University Press. Nottingham. UK. P.25-56.
- Do, J.H. and D.K. Choi. 2007. Aflatoxins: Detection, toxicity, and biosynthesis. *Biotechnol. Bioprocess. Eng.* 12:585-593.
- El-Katcha, M.I., M.A. Soltan, S. El-Shobokshy and A. Shokry. 2017. Protective effect of chemical and biological mycotoxin binder on growth performance, serum biochemistry and carcass traits in broiler chicks fed on aflatoxin contaminated diet. *Alex. J. Vet. Sci.* 55(1):180-197.
- Fan, Y., L. Zhao, Q. Ma, X. Li, H. Shi, T. Zhou, Zhang J. and C. Ji. 2013. Effects of *Bacillus subtilis* ANSB060 on growth performance, meat quality and aflatoxin residues in broilers fed moldy peanut meal naturally contaminated with aflatoxins. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 59:748-753.
- Fouad, A.M., D. Ruan, H.A. Kasem El-Senousey, W. Chen, S. Jiang and C. Zheng. 2019. Harmful effects and control strategies of aflatoxin B1 produced by *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus* strains on poultry: Review. *Toxins.* 11:176-182.
- He, B.J., K.Y. Zhang, D.W. Chen, X.M. Ding, G.D. Feng and X. AO. 2013. Effects of vitamin E and selenium yeast on growth performance and immune function in ducks fed maize naturally contaminated with aflatoxin. *Livest. Sci.* 152:200-207.
- Hosseini, S.A., A. Meimandipour, F. Alami, A. Mahdavi, M. Mohiti-Asli, H. Lotfollahian and D. Cross. 2013. Effects of ground thyme and probiotic supplements in diets on broiler performance, blood biochemistry and immunological response to sheep red blood cells. *Italian J. Anim. Sci.* 12:116-120.
- Khan, A., M.M. Aalim, M.Z. Khan, M.K. Saleemi, C. He, A. Khatoon and S.T. Gul. 2017. Amelioration of immuno suppressive effects of aflatoxin and ochratoxin A in

- White Leghorn layers with distillery yeast sludge. *Toxin Rev.* 1:1-7.
- Khan, W.A., M.Z. Khan and A. Khan. 2014. Potential for amelioration of aflatoxin B₁-induced immunotoxic effects in progeny of white leghorn breeder hens co-exposed to vitamin E. *J. Immunotoxicol.* 11:116-125.
- Langrová, I., D. Chodová, T. Tůmová, B. Horáková, R. Krejčířová and M. Šašková. 2019. Assessment of low doses of *Eimeria tenella* sporulated oocysts on the biochemical parameters and intestinal microflora of chickens. *Turkish J. Vet. Anim. Sci.* 43(1):76-81.
- Lee, J.W., S.B. Choi, Y.H. Jung, J.F. Keown and L.D. Van Vleck. 2000. Parameter estimates for direct and maternal genetic effects on yearling, eighteen-month, and slaughter weights of Korean native cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 78:1414-1421.
- Liu, M., L. Zhao, G. Gong, L. Zhang, L. Shi, J. Dai, Y. Han, Y. Wu, M.M. Khalil and L. Sun. 2022. Invited review: Remediation strategies for mycotoxin control in feed. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 13(1):1-16.
- Mahmood, S., M. Younus, A. Aslam and A.A. Anjum. 2017. Toxicological effects of aflatoxin B₁ on growth performance, humoral immune response and blood profile of Japanese quail. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* 27:833-840.
- Mesgar, A., H. Aghdam Shahryar, C.A. Bailey, Y. Ebrahimnezhad and A. Mohan. 2022. Effect of dietary L-threonine and toxin binder on performance, blood parameters, and immune response of broilers exposed to aflatoxin B₁. *Toxins.* 14(3):192-198.
- Miazzo, R., M.F. Peralta, C. Magnoli, M. Salvano, S. Ferrero, S.M. Chiacchiera, E.C.Q. Carvalho, C.A.R. Rosa and A. Dalcero. 2005. Efficacy of SB as a detoxifier of broiler feed contaminated with AF and fumonisin. *Poult. Sci.* 84:1-8.
- Nabi, H., I. Hussain, M. Adil, A. Nasir, A. Sikandar, S. Khan and N. Khan. 2018. Impact of mycotoxin binders on humoral immunity, lymphoid organs and growth performance of broilers. *Pakistan J. Zool.* 50(5):1611-1618.
- Ortatatli, M., H. Oguz, F. Hatipoglu and M. Karaman. 2005. Evaluation of pathological changes in broilers during chronic AF (50 and 100 ppb) and CLI exposure. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 78:61-68.
- Ozek, K., K.T. Wellmann, B. Ertekin and B. Tarım. 2011. Effects of dietary herbal essential oil mixture and organic acid preparation on laying traits, gastrointestinal tract characteristics, blood variables and immune response of laying hens in a hot summer season. *J. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 20:575-586.
- Pasha, T.N., M.U. Farooq, F.M. Khattak, M.A. Jabbar and A.D. Khan. 2007. Effectiveness of SB and two commercial products as AF absorbents in diets for broiler chickens. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 132:103-110.
- Pelicano, E.R.L., P.A. Souza, H.B.A. Souza, D.F. Figueiredo, M.M. Boiago, S.R. Carvalho and V.F. Bordon. 2005. Intestinal mucosa development in broiler chickens fed natural growth promoters. *Rev. Bras. Cienc. Avic.* 7:4-10.
- Peltonen, K., H. El-Nezami, S. Salminen and J. Ahokas. 2000. Binding of aflatoxin B₁ by probiotic bacteria. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 80:1942-1945.
- Poorghasemi, M., A.R. Seidavi, A.A.A. Qotbi, J.R. Chambers, V. Laudadio and V. Tufarelli. 2015. Effect of dietary fat source on humoral immunity response of broiler chickens. *European Poult. Sci.* 79:1-8.
- Poorghasemi, M., M. Chamani, S.Z. Mirhosseini, A. Saravy, A.A. Sadeghi, A. Seidavi and José H. D'Avila Lima. 2024. Effects of different lipid sources with or without a probiotic on gastrointestinal tract, immune system and blood parameters of chickens: An animal model. *Lipids.* 59(5):113-122.
- Poorghasemi, M., M. Chamani, S.Z. Mirhosseini, A.A. Sadeghi and A. Seidavi. 2017. Effect of probiotic and different sources of fat on performance, carcass characteristics, intestinal morphology and ghrelin gene expression on broiler chickens. *Kafkas Univ. Vet. Fak. Derg.* 24(2):169-178.
- Rafeeq, M., N. Rashid, M.M. Tariq, R.B. Tareen, A. Ullah and Z. Mustafa. 2017. Evaluation of alternatives to antibiotic feed additives in broiler production. *Pak. J. Zool.* 49:1063-1069.
- Rajput, S.A., L. Sun, N. Zhang, M.M. Khalil, X. Gao, Z. Ling, L. Zhu, F.A. Khan, J. Zhang and D. Qi. 2017. Ameliorative effects of

- grape seed proanthocyanidin extract on growth performance, immune function, antioxidant capacity, biochemical constituents, liver histopathology and aflatoxin residues in broilers exposed to aflatoxin B1. *Toxins*. 9(11):371-378.
- Raju, M.V.L.N. and G. Devegowda. 2002. Esterifiedglucomannan in broiler chicken diets contaminated with aflatoxin, ochratoxin and T-2 toxin: Evaluation of its binding ability (*in vitro*) and efficacy as immunomodulator. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 15:1051-1056.
- Rashidi, N., A. Khatibjoo, K. Taherpour, M. Akbari-Gharaei and H. Shirzadi. 2020. Effects of licorice extract, probiotic, toxin binder and poultry litter biochar on performance, immune function, blood indices and liver histopathology of broilers exposed to aflatoxin-B1. *Poult. Sci.* 99(11):5896-5906.
- SAS software, 2001. SAS User's Guide: Statistics, Version 9.2, SAS Institute, North Carolina, USA.
- Sur, E. and I. Celik. 2004. Effect of aflatoxin B1 on the development of the bursa of Fabricius and blood lymphocyte acid phosphatase of the chicken. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 44:558-566.
- Talazadeh, F. and M. Mayahi. 2017. Immune response of broiler chickens supplemented with pediatric cough syrup including thyme extract in drinking water against influenza vaccine. *J. Herbmед. Pharmacol.* 6(1):33-36.
- Tedesco, D., S. Steidler, S. Galletti, M. Tameni, O. Sonzogni and L. Ravarotto. 2004. Efficacy of silymarin-phospholipid complex in reducing the toxicity of aflatoxin B1 in broiler chicks. *Poult. Sci.* 83:1839-1843.
- Xu, R., E.G. Kiarie, A. Yiannikouris, L. Sun and Karrow N.A. 2022. Nutritional impact of mycotoxins in food animal production and strategies for mitigation. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 13(1):1-19.
- Xu, Z., C. Hu, M. Xia, X. Zhan and M. Wang. 2004. Effects of dietary fructo oligosaccharide on digestive enzyme activities, intestinal microflora and morphology of male broilers. *Poult. Sci.* 82:1030-1036.
- Yunus, A.W., E. Razzazi-Fazeli and J. Bohm. 2011. Aflatoxin B1 in affecting broiler's performance, immunity, and gastrointestinal tract: a review of history and contemporary issues. *Toxins*. 3:566-590.
- Zahedi, A., A. Saravy and M. Poorghasemi. 2023. Evaluating the antioxidant effects of onion (*Allium cepa*) on blood biochemical factors and antioxidants after consuming tartrazine in rats. *Arch. Razi Inst.* 78 (6):1836-1842.