COMBINING SERVQUAL AND IMPORTANCE-PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS TO MEASURE SERVICE QUALITY IN A HOTEL IN TOBRUK, LIBYA: A SUGGESTION FOR PRACTITIONERS

Mirwan Surya Perdhana¹
Omelkhair Ali Ahshen²

¹,²,³ Master of Management Program, Department of Management, Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to present the alternative method in measuring service quality. This study investigates the service quality literatures and introduces the practical method to measure the service quality. To measure service quality, a modified SERVQUAL instrument was distributed towards 100 guest of an international hotel located in Tobruk, Libya. The Importance-Performance Analysis was conducted based on the questionnaire results. Managerial implications and further research opportunities were also discussed.
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RESEARCH BACKGROUND

Over the years, researchers have focused on developing the service quality instrument in various sectors (Perdhana, Magnadi, Dirgantara & Arfinto, 2017). With the increased demand of better customer service in many industries, service has becomes one of the most important aspect to enable organization achieving sustainable competitive advantage (Wu, Liao, Hung, & Ho, 2012). Quality service could lead to customer loyalty, image enhancement, cost reduction and business performance improvement (Choi & Chu, 2001; Ramanathan & Ramanathan, 2011). As the result, practitioners and academic researchers’ attention were focused on how customers perceive service quality. From the customer point of view, quality service represent positive perception and leads to purchase intentions (Gummesson, 1991).

Pioneering research on service quality (e.g., Parasuraman, Zeithaml, & Berry, 1985, 1988; Cronin & Taylor, 1994; Zeithaml, Berry, & Parasuraman, 1996)
have been focused on different aspects of service quality, but finally able to reach
the same conclusion. According to those research, service quality is as an attitude
resulted from the comparison of customer expectations with the perception of
performance (Parasuraman et al., 1985, 1988).

Researchers have also identified that there were differences of the service
quality measurement between service and manufacturing industry. The quality of
service could not be measured in the same way as the quality of manufactured
goods (Crick & Spencer, 2011). Measuring service is more complex compared to
products, since the customer have a direct experience with the service provider
(Ghobadian, Speller, & Jones, 1994). In the service industry, quality is the
comparison between customer’s expectations of service and their perception of
the service performance (Grönroos, 1984; Lewis & Boom, 1983).

There were debates on the conceptualization and on the applicability of
service quality concept in different context. It is argued that there is a need to
develop country-specific measurement for service quality (Crick & Spencer, 2011;
Karatepe, Yavas, & Babakus, 2005), especially since a service quality instrument
might not be applicable in different culture or industry (Hsieh & Tsai, 2009;
Salazar, Xosta, & Rita, 2010). Since the original SERVQUAL developed by
Parasuraman et al. (1988) were tested only in banking, electronic repair, credit
card, long-distance call and sales service, the instrument need to be developed in
specific industry sector. Such inquiry has been answered and specific service
quality instrument were developed; for example HedPERF (Abdullah, 2006), Retail
Service Quality Scale (Daholkar et al., 1996), DINESERV (Stevens et al., 1995)
and e-GovQual (Papadomichelaki & Mentzas, 2012). A modified service quality
instrument was also developed, for example instrument for hospitality industries
(Akibaba, 2006) which focus on several attributes e.g., improper standards,
distribution channels, reliability and consistency, face-to-face interaction, and
fluctuating demand. Many authors have agreed that these attributes were
important for measuring service quality (Akibaba, 2006; Sohrabi, Vanani,
Tahmaswbipur, & Fazil, 2012).

Specific in the hospitality industry, the SERVQUAL instrument
(Parasuraman et al., 1988) was considered applicable and has been widely used
to help the organization measuring the guests’ satisfaction. Nevertheless, this
study argue that combining the SERVQUAL scale with Importance-Performance
Analysis (IPA) and the presentation using radar diagram could serve as a more
superior method to measure the guests’ satisfaction. IPA is used to gain deeper
understanding about the perception of service users on the quality of the service.
IPA is a very useful tool in describing the position of quality attributes so that
organization could make better business decision according on the results. On the
other hand, presenting the SERVQUAL results in the form of radar diagram will give better point of view on which service aspect needs to be improved.

Although the use of modified SERVQUAL instrument could be used to measure service quality in hospitality sector, this study argued that the use of IPA could improve the accuracy of service quality measurement and provide managers with useful information on what aspect needs to be focused based on the result. IPA analysis could be conducted using Cartesian diagram to demonstrate the service attributes that are considered important by consumers. By including all attributes that affect customer satisfaction, Cartesian diagram can be used to explain the importance and performance of service attributes and identify what action is required (Supranto, 2006). By utilizing IPA, management could obtain deeper insights for developing marketing strategies (Matrilla & James, 1977). Thus, the aim of this research is to present a practical method to measure service quality in the hospitality industry using the combination of SERVQUAL and IPA.

METHODS

This study utilized literature review to present the practical method to measure service quality in hotel industry. Two instruments were used, which are SERVQUAL instrument and IPA. The following section will provide the example on how to conduct the SERVQUAL and IPA analysis in real business practice. List of SERVQUAL indicators specific for hospitality industry was adopted from Akibaba (2006) study. The instrument was translated into Arabic language. The samples were 100 respondents who have recently finished their stay at an international hotel located in Tobruk, Libya. The data were collected from August until September 2017.

The data were analyzed by comparing the Performance (actual) and Importance (expected) followed by the IPA analysis. Firstly, indicators from each dimensions were summed up and aggregated for both performance and importance section. Afterwards, data were presented in radar diagram and were put into IPA quadrant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The calculation result for each service quality dimension is presented in Table 1. According to the table, there were some differences between the performance (reality) and importance (expectation) for each service quality dimensions. Such difference could be investigated further by breaking down each service quality indicators and present it in radar diagram presented in Figure 1.
After further scrutiny towards the indicators of each service quality dimension (Figure 2), there was some gap that could be identified. Firstly, it was revealed in the tangible dimension that the hotel atmosphere was not as the guests expected. Within the assurance dimension, some indicators were beyond the guests’ expectation: the ability to handle guest complaints and the knowledge of the hotel employees. Nevertheless, the hotel was considered lack of friendliness and convenience. For reliability and responsiveness dimensions, almost all indicators were below expectation. Hotel guests did not consider the ease of access toward the hotel, however, they did consider attention, and operating hour and safety provided by the hotel were above expectations.

After calculating and presenting the SERVQUAL results, the IPA analysis was conducted. The IPA analysis was based on Table 1 and was depicted in Figure 1. It was interesting that almost all service quality dimension were positioned in the second quadrant (keep up the good work), which means that all service offered by the hotel has been considered acceptable.

Table 1
SERVQUAL Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tangible</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>4.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphaty</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average:</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.75</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.22</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1
Importance-Performance Analysis
It was interesting that despite the gap in the radar diagram, which demonstrated the difference between the performance and expectation, the hotel service quality level was considered as acceptable. Such finding would not be realized if the indicators of each dimension were not presented along with the IPA
analysis. Thus, radar diagram would function to highlight the gap between importance and performance of the service quality in the observed organization. The gap could be used as information for managers to conduct continuous improvement on the specific aspect of service quality.

CONCLUSIONS

It is understandable that service quality is an important factor that needs to be given attention in an organization. To measure service quality, this study has present several steps in order to measure service quality accurately. SERVQUAL instrument could be used as a guideline to obtain information about the actual and expected performance of service quality in an organization. However, the presentation of SERVQUAL results needs to be break down into each dimension, presenting the radar diagram and IPA diagram in order to obtain a better point of view of the service quality level of an organization.

This study could be used and implemented by manager, especially in hotel industries to give better attention to their service quality. If followed correctly, the service quality level of the organization could be improved by following steps presented in this study.
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