**In your COMMENTS TO THE AUTHOR, please ensure you include responses to the following questions where appropriate:**  
  
**1. Is the submission a significant contribution in terms of the knowledge or information conveyed?**  
  
*Consider*:

✓ Advancement of knowledge

✓ Level of scholarship

✓ Is the submission an original contribution?

✓ New information or data  
  
  
**2. Is the submission sound in terms of methodology, findings and structure?**  
  
*Consider:*

✓ Theoretical soundness

✓ Acceptable research design

✓ Appropriate methodology and analysis

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **SUMMARY OF EVALUATION** | | | | | | Excellent | Very Good | **Good** | Fair | Poor | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **\* Is the submission appropriate to Lentera Pustaka?** | **\*** **Yes** | **\*** No | |

**Recommendation**

* Accept if certain minor revisions are made (no re-review necessary)

**\*Comments to the Author**

* The introduction contains an excess of global literature and overlapping arguments.
* Suggest trimming down repetitive citations and consolidating discussions about social media functions into one focused paragraph.
* The literature review would benefit from more critical synthesis rather than listing prior findings. Findings are well-supported by statistical tests but overly descriptive.
* Suggest using summary tables and graphs to complement narrative explanations.
* Include more interpretation of how these findings reflect students’ real-life information-seeking behavior.