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#### Abstract

Maehara in [Maehara, 1960/1961] introduces a proof-theoretical method for proving the interpolation theorem for standard logics. In the present paper we modify Maehara's method to prove the interpolation theorem for the systems LBB'IK, LBB'IW and LBB'IKW introduced in [Bayu Suraraso, 2005] and consequently the interpolation theorem holds for the logics BB'IK, BB'IW and BB'IKW.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let the expression V(D) denote the set of propositional variables which occur in the formula D. Interpolation theorem state the folowing property: Suppose the formula $A \supset B$ is provable. Then there exists a formula $C$ such that $V(C) \subset[V(A) \cap V(B)]$, for which both $A \supset C$ and $C \supset B$ are provable.

Using cut eliminaton theorem, Maehara in [Maehara, 1960/1961] introduces a proof-theoretical method for proving the interpolation theorem for standard logics. Now it's well known as Maehara's method. (Detail proof of the interpolation method for standard logics, using Maehara's method, can be seen for example in [Takeuti, 1975].) By this method or just a minor modifications of it, FL and some of its contractionless extensions such as $\mathbf{F l}_{\mathrm{e}}, \mathbf{F L}_{\mathrm{w}}$ and $\mathbf{F l}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{w}}$ can be shown to enjoy the interpolation theorem (See for example [Ono and Komori, 1985]).

In [Komori, 1994], Komori introduces a Gentzen-type formulation LBB'I for BB'I and proves its cut elimination theorem. Using a slight modification of Maehara's method he then shows that the interpolation theorem holds for BB'I. In [Bayu Surarso, 2005] the author introduces Gentzen-type formulations for BB'IK, BB'IW and BB'IKW. In the present paper, we will extend and modify Komori's
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proof of interpolation theorem for BB'I to show the interpolation theorem for BB'IK, BB'IW and BB'IKW.

We will follow notations used in [Bayu Surarso, 2005]. Thus we assume here a familiarity with Gentzen-type formulations LBB'IK, LBB'IW and LBB'IKW for BB'IK, BB'IW and BB'IKW, respectively.

## 2. MAEHARA'S METHOD

Before showing the interpolation theorem for noncommutative standard extensions of logic BB'I, let us first consider the idea of the original Maehara's method for proving the interpolation theorem for intuitionistic propositional logic. In the following, the Greek capital letters $\mathrm{T}, \Delta, \Sigma, \Pi_{1}, \ldots$ will denote finite sequences of formulas separated by commas. Let the expression $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{T})$ denote the set of propositional variables which occur in the sequence T . We define partitions of sequence $\Gamma$ as follows. Suppose $\Gamma_{1}$ is a sequence of some formula-occurences in $\Gamma$ and suppose $\Gamma_{2}$ is the sequences of formula-occurences in $\Gamma$ except those in $\Gamma_{1}$. Then we call $\left(\left[\Gamma_{1}\right] ;\left[\Gamma_{2}\right]\right)$ a partition of $\Gamma$. For example, $([A, A, C] ;[D, B])$ is a partition of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$. Next we prove that the following statement holds for the intuitionistic propositional logic.

Let $\Gamma \rightarrow D$ be a provable sequent and $\left(\left[\Gamma_{1}\right] ;\left[\Gamma_{2}\right]\right)$ be an arbritary partition of $\Gamma$. Then there exist a formula $C$, called an interpolant of $\Gamma \rightarrow D$, such that

1) $\Gamma_{1} \rightarrow C$ and $C, \Gamma_{2} \rightarrow D$ are both provable,
2) $V(C) \subset V\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \cap\left[V\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) \cup V(D)\right]$.

This statement is proved by induction on the number of inferences $k$ in a cut-free proof of $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$. For example, for the case $k>0$ and the last inference is $(\rightarrow \wedge)$ as follow $\quad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{A} \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{A} \wedge \mathrm{B}}(\rightarrow \wedge)$.

By the hypothesis of induction, there are formulas $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ such that

1) $\Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \Gamma_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}$ are both provable,
2) $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right) \subset \mathrm{V}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{V}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A})\right]$
and
3) $\Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}, \Gamma_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}$ are both provable,
4) $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right) \subset \mathrm{V}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{V}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{B})\right]$.

From 1) and 3), using $(\rightarrow \wedge),(\wedge \rightarrow 1)$ and $(\wedge \rightarrow 2)$, the sequent $\Gamma_{1} \rightarrow C_{1} \wedge C_{2}$ and $C_{1} \wedge C, \Gamma_{2} \rightarrow A \wedge B$ can be derived. From 2) and 4), it can be easily seen that $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{C}_{2}\right) \subset \mathrm{V}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \cap\left[\mathrm{V}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) \cup \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A} \wedge \mathrm{B})\right]$. Thus $\mathrm{C}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{C}_{2}$ become an interpolant of $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$.

From the above statement, by taking a single formula for $\Gamma$ and empty sequence for $\Gamma_{2}$, then it follows that the interpolation theorem holds for intuitionistic propositional logic.

## 3. INTERPOLATION THEOREM FOR BB'IK, BB'IW AND BB'IKW

In the present section we will show the interpolation theorem for $\mathbf{B B}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{I K}$, BB'IW and BB'IKW. By the lack of exchange rule, the original Maehara's method will not work well for both of them. Then we will modificate it. By this modification we will see that the existence of guard-merge " $\circ$ " in their Gentzentype formulations gives no difficulties.

We prove first the interpolation theorem for BB'IK by the help of Gentzen-type system LBB'IK. To prove the theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Suppose that the sequent $\Delta \circ \Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ is provable (in LBB'IK), where $\Gamma$ is not void. Then there exists a formula $C$ such that :

1) $\Gamma \rightarrow C$ is provable,
2) $\Delta, C, \Sigma \rightarrow D$ is provable,
3) $V(C) \subset V(\Gamma) \cap V(\Delta, \Sigma, D)$.

Proof. We prove the lemma using induction on the number of inferences $k$, in a cut-free proof $\Delta \circ \Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$. Here we will show only for the case when the last inference of the proof $\mathbf{P}$ of $\Delta \circ \Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ is weakening rule since the remaining cases can be treated essentially in the same way as the proof for the interpolation theorem for BB'IK in [Komori, 1994].
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Suppose that the principal formula A of this application of weakening rule appears in $\Gamma$, that is $\Gamma=\Gamma_{1}, \mathrm{~A}, \Gamma_{2}$. Then the last part of $\mathbf{P}$ will be of the form $\frac{\Delta \circ\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right), \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}}{\Delta \circ\left(\Gamma_{1}, \mathrm{~A}, \Gamma_{2}\right), \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}}$ (weak).

Let us consider the upper sequent. By the hypothesis of induction there exists a formula C ' such that:

1) $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ is provable,
2) $\Delta, C^{\prime}, \Sigma \rightarrow D$ is provable,
3) $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathrm{V}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right) \cap \mathrm{V}(\Delta, \Sigma, \mathrm{D})$.

From 1), by an application of wekening rule, we can get the following proof of $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime}:$

$$
\frac{\vdots}{\frac{\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime}}{\Gamma_{1}, \mathrm{~A}, \Gamma_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime}} \text { (weak) }}
$$

Next, obviously $\mathrm{V}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right) \subset \mathrm{V}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \mathrm{~A}, \Gamma_{2}\right)$. Then from 3) we can easily seen that $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathrm{V}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right) \cap \mathrm{V}(\Delta, \Sigma, \mathrm{D})$. Here $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ become the interpolation of $\Delta \circ \Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$.

In the same way we can get the interpolation $\Delta \circ \Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ for the case the last inference of the proof $\mathbf{P}$ of it is weakening rule and the principal formula A appears in $\Delta$ or in $\Sigma$.

Then by the above lemma we get,
Theorem 2. The interpolation theorem holds BB'IK. More precisely, suppose A and $B$ are formulas in $\mathbf{L B B}$ 'IK such that $A \rightarrow B$ is provable. Then there exists $a$ formula $C$ such that both $A \rightarrow C$ and $C \rightarrow B$ are provable (in LBB'IK) and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C}) \subset \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A}) \cap \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{B})$.

Proof. Note that by using cut rule we can easily show that the formula $A \supset B$ is provable if and only if the sequent $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ is provable. Now, take $\Delta$ is empty, $\Gamma=$ $\mathrm{A}, \Sigma$ is empty and $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{B}$. Then by Lemma 1 , if $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ is provable then there exists a formula C such that

1) $A \rightarrow C$ is provable,
2) $C \rightarrow B$ is provable,
3) $V(C) \subset V(A) \cap V(B)$.

Similarly to the proof of the interpolation theorem for BB'IK, we can also show that the interpolation theorem holds for BB'IW. In fact, Lemma 1 for BB'IW can be proved similarly to that of BB'IK. Note however that for the case when the last inference is contraction rule, the last part of proof P of $\Delta \circ \Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ may be as the following form

$$
\frac{\left(\Delta_{1}, \mathrm{~A}, \Delta_{2}\right) \circ\left(\Gamma_{1}, \mathrm{~A}, \Gamma_{2}\right), \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}}{\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}\right) \circ\left(\Gamma_{1}, \mathrm{~A}, \Gamma_{2}\right), \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{con}),
$$

Here $\Gamma=\Gamma_{1}, \mathrm{~A}, \Gamma_{2}$ and $\Delta=\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$. However, since in the application of (con) the indicated occurrences of the formula $A$ in the antecedent of the upper sequent must occur consecutively, then we can also write this by the following form

$$
\frac{\mu\left(\Delta_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right), \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~A}, \Delta_{2} \circ \Gamma_{2}, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}}{\mu\left(\Delta_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right), \mathrm{A}, \Delta_{2} \circ \Gamma_{2}, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}} \text { (con) }
$$

where $\mu\left(\Delta_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right)$ denotes the sequences obtained by merging $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$. Then we can find the interpolant of $\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}\right) \circ\left(\Gamma_{1}, \mathrm{~A}, \Gamma_{2}\right), \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ by the following way.

First let us consider the upper sequent. By the hypothesis induction there exists a formula $C$ ' such that

1) $\mu\left(\Delta_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right), \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}, \Delta_{2} \circ \Gamma_{2}, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ is provable,
2) $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ is provable,
3) $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C}) \subset \mathrm{V}\left(\mu\left(\Delta_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right), \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}, \Delta_{2} \circ \Gamma_{2}\right) \cap \mathrm{V}(\Sigma, \mathrm{D})$.

From 1), by an application of contraction rule, we can get the following proof of $\mu\left(\Delta_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right), \mathrm{A}, \Delta_{2} \circ \Gamma_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$

$$
\frac{\vdots}{\frac{\mu\left(\Delta_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right), \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~A}, \Delta_{2} \circ \Gamma_{2}, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime}}{\mu\left(\Delta_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right), \mathrm{A}, \Delta_{2} \circ \Gamma_{2}, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime}}(\text { con })}
$$

Next, it is obvious that $\mathrm{V}\left(\mu\left(\Delta_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right), \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}, \Delta_{2} \circ \Gamma_{2}\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(\mu\left(\Delta_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right), \mathrm{A}, \Delta_{2} \circ \Gamma_{2}\right)$. Then from 3) we can easily seen that $V\left(C^{\prime}\right) \subset V\left(\mu\left(\Delta_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right), A, \Delta_{2} \circ \Gamma_{2}\right) \cap V(\Sigma, D)$. Here $C^{\prime}$ become the interpolant of $\mu\left(\Delta_{1}, \Gamma_{1}\right), \mathrm{A}, \Delta_{2} \circ \Gamma_{2}, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$.

By the similar way, we can also find the interpolant of $\Delta \circ \Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ for the case when the last part of the proof $\mathbf{P}$ is as the forms
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$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\left(\Delta_{1}, \mathrm{~A}, \Delta_{2}\right) \circ\left(\Gamma_{1}, \mathrm{~A}, \Gamma_{2}\right), \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}}{\left(\Delta_{1}, \mathrm{~A}, \Delta_{2}\right) \circ\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right), \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{con}), \quad \frac{\Delta \circ\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}\right), \mathrm{A}, \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}}{\Delta \circ\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}\right), \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{con}) \quad \text { and } \\
\frac{\Delta \circ(\Gamma, \mathrm{A}),\left(\mathrm{A}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}}{\Delta \circ \Gamma,\left(\mathrm{~A}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{con})
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, since Lemma 1 holds for LBB'IW, then by the same way to the proof of Theorem 2 we get the following

Theorem 3. The interpolation theorem holds BB'IW. More precisely, suppose $A$ and $B$ are formulas in $\mathbf{L B B} \mathbf{' I W}^{\prime}$ such that $A \rightarrow B$ is provable. Then there exists $a$ formula $C$ such that both $A \rightarrow C$ and $C \rightarrow B$ are provable (in LBB'IW) and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C}) \subset \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A}) \cap \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{B})$.

Finally, from the proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 we directly get the following theorem

Theorem 4. The interpolation theorem holds BB'IKW. More precisely, suppose $A$ and $B$ are formulas in LBB'IKW such that $A \rightarrow B$ is provable. Then there exists $a$ formula $C$ such that both $A \rightarrow C$ and $C \rightarrow B$ are provable (in LBB'IKW) and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C}) \subset \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{A}) \cap \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{B})$.
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