

# REPRESENTATION OF SM OPERATORS ON SEQUENCE SPACES WITH A NEW NORM

Muslim Ansori  
Mathematics Department, FMIPA Lampung University  
Jl. Soemantri Brodjonegoro No. 1 Bandar Lampung  
e-mail: [ansomath@yahoo.com](mailto:ansomath@yahoo.com)

**Abstract.** We give some representations of SM-operator on sequence spaces  $1 < p < \infty$  using a new norm different from that of ones in the beginning and some examples are given.

**Keywords:** Norm, SM-operator, Sequence Spaces

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is a continuation and modification norm of SM-operator on Banach Spaces [2] and [3] by modifying one of the most important class of bounded operators called Hilbert-Schmidt operator. This also have been done in different way in [1]. The papers are also motivated by some application in statistics [6] and in Physics [4]. The work is positive whenever we preserve some intrinsic properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators i.e, reflexivity and separability of the Banach spaces. The point is, reflexivity and separability of the Banach spaces are to guarantee the existence of its bases with which used to construct the new operator.

## 2. PRELIMINARY

Let  $X, Y$  be reflexive and separable Banach spaces and  $X', Y'$  be their duals. For any  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , let  $(x, y)$  be denoted by  $(x, y)$  and vice versa. It is observed that a linear independent sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called a bases of  $X$  if for each vector  $x \in X$ , there is a unique scalars  $\{a_n\}$  such that  $x = \sum a_n x_n$ . For,  $\|x_n\| = 1$  for each  $n$ , a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be biorthonormal with respect to a bases  $\{y_n\}$  if  $(x_n, y_m) = \delta_{nm}$ , where  $\delta_{nm} = 1$  for  $n = m$  and  $= 0$  for  $n \neq m$ . If the pair

$\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  is biorthonormal system of then  $(x_n, y_m) = \delta_{nm}$  for each  $n, m$ .

Let  $\{T_n\}$  be a collection of all linearly continuous operator from  $X$  into  $Y$ . If  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  then  $(T_n x, y_m) = (x, T_n' y_m)$ , where  $T_n'$  is adjoint of  $T_n$ . Hence, if  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  are two bases of  $X$  and  $\{y_n\}$  is a bases of  $Y$ , then every  $(T_n x, y_m)$ , we have

$$(T_n x, y_m) = \sum (x, x_k) (T_n x_k, y_m) \\ = \sum (x, x_k) (T_n' y_m, x_k)$$

and

$$(T_n x, y_m) = \sum (x, x_k) (T_n' y_m, x_k) \\ = \sum (x, x_k) (T_n' y_m, x_k)$$

Hence, if  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  are two bases of  $X$  and  $\{y_n\}$  is a bases of  $Y$  and  $(T_n x, y_m)$ , then we have

$$(T_n x, y_m) = \sum (x, x_k) (T_n' y_m, x_k)$$

**Definition 2.1 [3]** An operator  $(T_n x, y_m)$  is called SM-operator from a Banach space  $X$  into  $Y$ , if

$$(T_n x, y_m) < \infty$$

for every bases  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$ .

This condition gave a norm in the space of collection of all SM-operators from Banach space into by  

$$= \left\| \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) \right\|$$
.  
 The norm is more stronger than that of one in Darmawijaya et al, 2006 and Ansori et al, 2008 by using

$$= \left\| \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) \right\|$$

### 3. MAIN RESULT

Let  $(X, Y)$  is a collection of all SM-operators from Banach space into  $Z$ .

**Theorem 3.1** For every  $(X, Y)$  we have

- (i).  $(X, Y)$  is a normed Banach Space.
- (ii).  $(X, Y)$  is a normed Banach Space.

**Proof:**

- (i). Let  $\{e_i\}$  be a bases of  $X$  and  $\{f_j\}$  be a bases of  $Y$ . For every  $x \in X$ , we have

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i$$

$$\left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$= \left\| \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) \right\|$$

$$=$$

or

- (ii).  $(X, Y)$  is a norm space with respect to  $\left\| \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) \right\|$ , because:

- (ii.a) For every  $\left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$ , we have

$$= \left\| \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) \right\|$$

$$0$$

and

$$= 0$$

$$\left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) = \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

( $O$  is null vector) for every  $m$

$$= (O \text{ is null operator})$$

$$= (O \text{ is null operator})$$

- (ii.b) For every  $\left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$  and scalar  $\alpha$ , we have

$$= \left\| \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) \right\|$$

(ii.c) If  $\left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$ , then

$$+ \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$+ \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$= \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$+ \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$= \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$+ \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$+ \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

or

$$+ \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

Based on ii.a, ii.b, danii.c the space  $(X, Y)$  is a normed space with respect to norm  $\left\| \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) \right\|$ . Now, for the completeness of  $(X, Y)$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  is any Cauchy sequence in  $(X, Y)$ . For every real number  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a positive integer  $N$  such that for every two positive integer  $m, n$ , we have

$$\left\| \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) \right\| < \epsilon.$$

We will prove that there is  $\left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) \right\| = 0.$$

Since,

$$\left\| \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) \right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for every  $n, m$ ,  $\left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$  with  $n, m > N$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is also a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, Y)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . Hence,

$$\left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$= \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{matrix} \right) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for every positive integer  $n$ . It has shown that  $\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| < \frac{1}{2^n}$ , for  $x_n = (x_n^1, x_n^2, \dots)$  and  $x_{n+1} = (x_{n+1}^1, x_{n+1}^2, \dots)$ . Hence,  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent to  $x$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  and  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space.

Now let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $Y = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $- + - = 1$ . Sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $1 < p < \infty$  are spaces of vectors given by

$$x_n = (x_n^1, x_n^2, \dots) : \|x_n\|_p < \infty$$

and  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $- + - = 1$  with norm

$$\|x\|_p = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

and

$$(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i$$

for every  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $\{e_i\}$  have bases and to simplify we can take standard bases of  $X$  i.e.,  $\{e_i\}$  where

$$e_i = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

**Theorem 3.2[5]** Let  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $- + - = 1$ . A linearly continuous functional of infinite matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| < \infty$$

**Theorem 3.3** A linearly continuous operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $- + - = 1$  is an SM-operator if and only if there is a infinite matrix  $A$  satisfying:

i.  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| < \infty$  for every  $i$

ii.  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| < \infty$

iii.  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| < \infty$

**Proof:**

(i) Since  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $- + - = 1$  is linearly continuous operator then by Theorem 1.2 automatically (i) and

(ii) hold. Since  $T$  is an SM-operator then we have

$$T e_i = y_i$$

$$= (y_i^1, y_i^2, \dots)$$

$$\|T e_i\|_p < \infty$$

(ii) Based on (i) and (ii) we have  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $- + - = 1$  is linearly continuous operator and based on (iii) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| < \infty$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| < \infty$$

The proof is complete.

For examples: Let matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$  with

$$a_{ij} = \frac{1}{2^i}$$

0 others

$T : X \rightarrow Y$  is an SM-operator

since:

(i) For every  $x \in X$  we have

$$\|Tx\|_p = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} x_j \right|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} x_j \right|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

$$< \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j| \right)^p \right)^{1/p}$$

$$< \infty$$

So we have  $\|Tx\|_p < \infty$  for every  $x \in X$ .

$$\cdot$$

(ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} < \infty$

(iii)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} < \infty$

#### 4. CONCLUSION

A linearly continuous operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $- + - = 1$  is an SM-operator if and only if there is a infinite matrix  $A$  satisfying: (i).

$=$  for every  
 (ii).  $<$  and (iii).  
 $<$  .

### 5. REFERENCES

- [1]. Ansori, M., Darmawijaya S., Supama, (2006), *On The SM-operators*, BerkalalIlmiah MIPA, UGM.
- [2]. Ansori, M., Darmawijaya S., Supama, (2008), *Representations of SM-operator on Classical Sequences*,  $1 < <$  , Seminar Nasional Mahasiswa S3 Matematika, UGM, Yogyakarta.
- [3]. Ansori, M., Darmawijaya S., Supama, (2009), *Modification of Schmidt Operator into the sense of Banach Spaces*, Proc. Of IndoMS International Conference on Math. And Stat. and its Applications (IICMAA), Yogyakarta.
- [4]. Beukema, R, (2003), *Positive Operator-Valued Measures and Phase-Space representations*, Prefschrift, TechnischeUniversiteit Eindhoven.
- [5]. Lee, P.Y, (1989), *Zeller Theory and Classical Sequence Spaces*, NUS Research Report, no 396, Singapore.
- [6]. Vito, E.D., Rosasco, L., Caponneto, A., Giovannini U.D., Odone, F., (2005), *Learning From Examples as an Inverse Problem*, *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6, 883-904.
-