Kinetic Study of Limonene and Glucose Adsorption on Immobilization and Co-immobilization Beads
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Abstract

Rotten oranges contain glucose and limonene, which is an inhibitor of microorganisms. Immobilization of mixed culture using entrapment method is the easiest method to protect the mixed culture from inhibitors. Entrapment method with extrusion drip is an efficient and effective technique to produce beads. This study aims to determine the adsorption rate of adsorbate (glucose and limonene) on the adsorbent surface (beads). Materials used in this study were glucose, DL-limonene, mixed culture, and beads. Three types of beads consisted of alginate-no mixed culture (A), alginate and activated carbon-no mixed culture (CA), alginate and activated carbon-free mixed culture (CB). Adsorption column consist of 30 ml nutrient, 15 mL substrate, and 5 mL beads. If the beads do not contain mixed culture, nutrients and substrate were replaced by distilled water, the reactor was done in a batch system at 37°C. The order of glucose adsorption capabilities starting from the lowest were AG, CAG, and CBG, while to limonene adsorption were AL, CBL, and CAL. Lagergren model was used to determined kinetic biosorption on limonene and glucose. The adsorption rate of the glucose in the pseudo-second order \( (k_{2,ad}) \) ranged between 0.025 to 0.087 min\(^{-1}\), while the limonene ranged between 2.084 to 5.233 min\(^{-1}\). Adsorption of glucose and limonene on three types of adsorbent surfaces reached the steady state at the 60th minute.
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INTRODUCTION

Orange is the largest commodity in Indonesia with more than 1.8 million tons in 2014 (FAO, 2014). It is assumed that 40% of the fresh oranges become rotten and it can lead to the degradation of environmental quality. Orange waste still contains glucose (Pourbafrani et al., 2010; Sanjaya et al., 2015), so it is a potential substrate for hydrogen production through anaerobic fermentation. Unfortunately, orange peel contains antimicrobial compounds called limonene that can inhibit gas production (Mizuki et al., 1990). One attempt to protect microbes/cells from limonene is by microbial immobilization (Kumar et al., 1995). Physical application of microorganisms (immobilization) with polymers is one of the most widely used techniques to protect the mixed culture through anaerobic fermentation.
from limonene. Entrapment in polymer matrix is the most common method as well as the easiest one to immobilize microorganism (Nawaz et al., 2015). It can happen when a mixture containing immobilization materials and microorganism was dropped into the solution containing calcium cations (such as CaCl₂) during polymerization (Drichoutis et al., 2007) to form beads (Lee et al., 2013). Immobilization material/matrix derived from natural polymers, i.e. alginate. Alginate beads are nontoxic (Hassan et al., 2014) and hydrophilic due to the presence of carboxylic groups (Kumar et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2005). But, it also has weakness that it is easily. In this case, it is necessary to have activated carbon as supporting material (Dumitriu, 1998; Mesran et al., 2014). Beads derived from two or more immobilized materials are called co-immobilization bead (Siahpush et al., 1992). Cells immobilized in alginate gel have been applied to microbial degradations of toxic chemicals such as p-chlorophenol (Lin et al., 2005). On the other hand, activated carbon has the high specific surface area, so it is able to efficiently adsorb many kinds of pollutants (Lin et al., 2005).

A natural mixed culture is often chosen as hydrogen production of microorganism because it is easier to control, cheaper to operate, and has a broader choice of substrate (Li and Fang, 2007). Amanekan et al. (2014) reported that the combination of three different digester sources, i.e cow dung, tofu waste, and fruit waste could produce the highest hydrogen concentration (231.02 mL/gVS) compared to mixed culture from one and two digester sources only. However, the diversity of hydrogen-producing bacteria (HPB) must be selected from mixed culture as well as acidification (Chen et al., 2002; Cheong and Hansen, 2006) and with HPB enrichment (Marone et al., 2012; Sivagurunathan et al., 2014) repeatedly so the HPB life cycle is more stable (Sivagurunathan et al., 2014).

Adsorption has been the most efficient and effective method for the removal of pollutant (Hassan et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2013). Lagergren adsorption kinetics models have been widely used, for example the adsorption of pesticides with activated carbon from scrap tires (Hamadi et al., 2004), dyestuff adsorption with activated carbon from coconut husk (Tan et al., 2008), and adsorption of toxin Patulin using activated carbon-alginate bead (Yue et al., 2013). However, adsorption of limonene and glucose on empty beads (without cells) and cells beads has not been widely studied.

In previous research biohydrogen was produced by rotten orange and egg shell using immobilized mixed culture (Damayanti et al., 2017). Therefore, this research intends to determine the adsorption capacity of adsorbent which consisted of three types of beads (alginate without mixed culture, activated carbon-alginate without mixed culture, and activated carbon-alginate with mixed culture) to adsorbate i.e glucose and DL-limonene.

**Materials and Methods**

**Substrate and Medium Composition**

The initial concentrations of glucose (Merck, 97.5%) and DL-limonene (Merck, >99.5%) was 10,000 ppm and 60 ppm, respectively. The same compositions of the fermentation enrichment medium and nutrients were used on the previous experiment (Damayanti et al., 2015).

**Mixed Culture**

Mixed culture was obtained from biodigester of tofu waste, cow dung, and fruit waste in Indonesia. The characteristics and pretreatment of mixed culture were similar to the previous experiment (Damayanti et al., 2015).

**Preparation of Immobilization and Co-immobilization Beads**

The bead making in this study was similar to that of the previous experiment (Damayanti et al., 2015). Beads consisted of three types i.e no mixed culture of immobilization and co-immobilization beads, and at last, free mixed culture co-immobilization beads.

**Batch Biosorption System**

A batch reactor using 500 ml vial bottle which consisted of 60% (v/v) nutrient, 30% (v/v) substrate (glucose or DL-limonen), and 10% (v/v) beads. Medium composition was only used for beads containing mixed culture. If no mixed culture of beads, nutrient and substrate were substituted by distilled water. Five ml mixed culture was equivalent with 88 immobilization beads and 60 co-immobilization beads (Damayanti et al., 2015).

The adsorption time calculation was started as the adsorption reactor containing the medium was flushed by N₂ for 3 minutes, then the reactor was placed on the water bath at 37°C (Figure 1). Glucose and limonene samples were taken by a hypodermic syringe per 5 minutes and per 15 minutes, respectively. Each time 10 ml was taken for analysis. The glucose sample was filtered using a filter syringe with a pore size of 0.2 μm. The limonene sample was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 min. This supernatant was taken for analysis. Limonene 0.2 ml was added by 2 ml n-hexane p.a and then it was shaken by vortex mixer Thermolyne Type 37600 for 5 min and then it was put into a bottle for GC analysis. The standard limonene solutions (ppm) were 10, 20, 30, 50, and 70. The sampling and analysis of each solution was duplicated.

![Figure 1. Biosorption reactor](image)
The adsorption capacity of glucose and limonene at equilibrium was calculated by (1):

\[ q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{m} \]  

(1)

With \( q_e \) is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed on the surface of the adsorbent at equilibrium (mg/g); \( C_0 \) is the concentration of adsorbate at 0 minute (mg/L); \( C_t \) is the concentration of adsorbate at equilibrium (mg/L).

**KINETIC MODELING**

To evaluate the biosorption kinetics of limonene and glucose, two kinetic models were used to fit experimental data on three types of beads.

**Model 1. Pseudo first order-Lagergren model**

\[ \frac{dq}{dt} = k_{1,ad}(q_e - q) \]  

(2)

With \( k_{1,ad} \) is the first order adsorption rate constant (min\(^{-1}\)); \( q \) is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed (mg/g); \( q_e \) is the adsorption capacity at equilibrium (mg/g); \( t \) is the time in minute. After rearrangement, equation (1) can be written as follow:

\[ q = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{m} \]  

(3)

\[ q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_{eq})V}{m} \]  

(4)

With \( C_0 \) is the concentration of adsorbate at minute 0 (mg/L); \( C_t \) is the concentration of adsorbate at t minute (mg/L); \( C_{eq} \) is the concentration of adsorbate at equilibrium (mg/L). The mass of immobilization beads (adsorbate) without mixed culture and co-immobilized mixed culture were 3.3088, 3.717, and 3.7453 g, respectively.

Equation (2) was integrated into

\[ \ln \left( \frac{(C_0 - C_{eq})}{(C_0 - C_t)} \right) = -k_{1,ad}t \]  

(5)

Equation (2) was a linear regression equation, \( Y = mX \).

**Model 2. Pseudo second order-Lagergren model**

\[ dq = k_{2,ad}(q_e - q)^2dt \]  

(6)

Equation (6) was integrated into

\[ \frac{(C_0 - C_t)}{(C_0 - C_{eq})^2} \frac{V}{m} \frac{1}{(C_t - C_{eq})} = k_{2,ad}t \]  

(7)

Equation (7) was a linear regression equation, \( Y = mX \).

**Sample Analysis**

The limonene samples were analyzed by Shimadzu 14B gas chromatography with temperature of column, detector, and injector were 80, 250, and 220°C, respectively. It used flame ionization detector (FID) and 2 m column packed with FFAP. The carrier gas was ultra high purity nitrogen. Reducing sugar was analyzed by Nelson-Somogy method (Nelson, 1944; Somogy, 1951).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of the adsorption test on three types of beads on glucose and limonene were presented in Figure 2 and Figure 3.
Glucose and limonene degradation in Figure 2 and Figure 3 show that they have a stark difference of as much as 1200 times. The small adsorption of limonene in this study is similar to the research done by Fabra et al. (2012) who stated that limonene released from the iota-carrageenan matrix into water was lowest at 37°C although rising temperatures lead to increased limonene diffusion through the matrix. In addition, the properties of iota-carrageenan was used by Fabra et al. (2012) was similar to alginites beads because they were derived from natural polysaccharides, hydrophilic, and at the same temperature, it can be indicated that the amount of limonene attached to the three types of beads were low.

The values of the adsorption constant (k_{ad}) and adsorption capacity at equilibrium (q_e) for both glucose and limonene were presented in Table 1 and Table 2.

Table 1 and Table 2 show that negative values of k_{1ad} indicate that pseudo-first order cannot represent the kinetic data. Both of them that the correlation coefficient (R^2) of the pseudo-second order was above 0.7 means. It mean that the pseudo-second order of Lagregren models can be used to study the kinetics of sugar adsorption and limonene on the three beads. The value of R^2 above 0.5 to 0.99 means that the correlation coefficient is strong (Sarwono, 2007).

The suitable kinetic model was the pseudo-second order because the adsorption rate constant (k) of the pseudo-second order is positive, whereas the pseudo-first order was negative. Although the pseudo-first order k values for CBG and CBL are positive, their R^2 value was less than R^2 at the pseudo-second order.

Table 1 and Table 2 explain that the adsorption velocity contants (k_{2ad}) at pseudo-second order for glucose solution was ranged between 0.025-0.087 min^{-1}, while the adsorption velocity contants (k_{2ad}) of limonene solution was ranged between 2.084-5.233 min^{-1}. It suggests that the adsorption rate of glucose solution was much faster 60-83 times than the adsorption rate of limonene solution due to the molecular size of glucose, limonene, and sodium alginate gel (nm) was 2.845 (ChemSketch); 3.2570 (ChemSketch); and ~5 (Lee and Mooney, 2012), respectively.

Table 1 and Table 2 show that the value of the adsorption capacity at equilibrium at 60 minute are 75.468-84.769 mg/g for glucose solution and 0.086-0.137 mg/g for limonene solution. This suggests that the ability of three types of beads at equilibrium to adsorb glucose solution ranged between 619-877 times higher than limonene solution due to the smaller size of the glucose molecule.

**CONCLUSION**

It is concluded that AG beads had the highest adsorption capacity for glucose followed by CAG and CBG, while for highest limonene adsorption was AL followed by CBL and CAL. The suitable kinetic model was pseudo second order-Lagergren model because it resulted the best value of coefficient of correlation (R^2), i.e above 0.7. The mean of the reaction constants (min^{-1}) for glucose and limonene on the three beads (A, CA, and CB) were 0.051 and 3.15, respectively.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beads type</th>
<th>Pseudo-first order</th>
<th>Pseudo-second order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R^2</td>
<td>k_{1ad} (minute^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.8157</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>0.9849</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBG</td>
<td>0.0434</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beads type</th>
<th>Pseudo-first order</th>
<th>Pseudo-second order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R^2</td>
<td>k_{1ad} (minute^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBL</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAL</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


